



**AsiaTEFL
TEFLIN
iNELTAL**
2022 INDONESIA

Hosted by Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia

The 20th AsiaTEFL - 68th TEFLIN - 5th iNELTAL



PROCEEDINGS



in partnership with:



sponsored by:





AsiaTEFL
TEFLIN
iNLTAL
2022 INDONESIA



PROCEEDINGS

A joint international conference of the 20th AsiaTEFL –
68th TEFLIN – 5th iNELTAL

Malang, 5 – 7 August 2022
Universitas Negeri Malang

PROCEEDINGS

A JOINT INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCES THE 20th AsiaTEFL – 68th TEFLIN – 5th iNELTAL 2022

“Hindsight, Insight, and Foresight in ELT in Multilingual Asia”

Malang, 5 – 7 August 2022
Universitas Negeri Malang

Keynote Speakers:

Nizam	Director-General of Higher Education, Indonesia
Ismunandar	Ambassador/Permanent Delegation of the Republic Indonesia to UNESCO

Plenary Speakers:

Ken Hyland	University of East Anglia, United Kingdom
Christine Goh	National Institute of Education, Singapore
Greg Kessler	Ohio University, USA
Emilia Djonov	Macquarie University, Australia
Quifang Wen	Beijing Foreign Studies University, China

PROCEEDINGS

INTERNATIONAL SEMINAR ON LANGUAGE, EDUCATION, AND CULTURE

Steering Committee:

Prof. AH. Rofi'uddin, Universitas Negeri Malang
Prof. Dr. Budi Eko Soetjipto, M.Ed., M.Scc. Universitas Negeri Malang
Prof. Dr. Heri Suwignyo, M.Pd., Universitas Negeri Malang
Dr. Mu'arifin, M.Pd., Universitas Negeri Malang
Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Bafadal, M.Pd., Universitas Negeri Malang
Prof. Utami Widiati, Universitas Negeri Malang
Dr. Primardiana Hermilia Wijayati, M.Pd., Universitas Negeri Malang
Dr. Moch. Syahri, S.Sos, M.Si., Universitas Negeri Malang
Prof. Yusuf Hanafi, S.Ag., M.Fil.I., Universitas Negeri Malang

Advisory Board:

Prof. Ravinder Gargesh, Samarkand State University, Uzbekistan
Prof. Kilryoung Lee, Hankuk University of Foreign Studies, Korea
Prof. Masaki Oda, Tamagawa University, Japan
Prof. Qiufang Wen, Beijing Foreign Studies University, China
Prof. Suwarsih Madya, State University of Yogyakarta
Prof. Joo-Kyung Park, Honam University, Korea
Prof. Fuad Abdul Hamied, Ph.D, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung
Prof. Ali Saukah, Ph.D., Universitas Muhammadiyah Kalimantan Timur, Samarinda
Prof. Dr. Joko Nurkamto, M.Pd., Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta
Prof. Dr. Wuri Andhjani Soedjatmiko, Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala, Surabaya
Dra. Helena Indyah Ratna Agustien, M.A., Ph.D., Universitas Karangturi, Semarang

Reviewers:

Ali Saukah, Universitas Muhammadiyah Kalimantan Timur, Indonesia
Anik Nunuk Wulyani, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Bambang Yudi Cahyono, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Dyah Setyowati Ciptaningrum, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Indonesia
E.D. Laksmi, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Emilia Djonov, Macquarie University, Australia
Evi Eliyanah, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Evynurul Laily Zen, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Francisca Maria Ivone, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Fuad Abdul Hamied, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia

Greg Kessler, Ohio University, USA
Harumi Manik Ayu, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia
Ika Lestari Damayanti, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia
Johannes A. Prayogo, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Joko Nurkamto, Universitas Negeri Sebelas Maret, Indonesia
Lukman Hakim, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia
M. Zaim, Universitas Negeri Padang, Indonesia
Nihta Vera Frelly, Universitas Negeri Manado, Indonesia
Nunung Suryati, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Nur Arifah Drahati, Universitas Negeri Sebelas Maret, Indonesia
Nur Hayati, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Nur Mukminatien, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Prihantoro, Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia
Quifang Wen, Beijing Foreign Studies University, China
Sary Silvhiany, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia
Sisilia Setiawati Halimi, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia
Siti Muniroh, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Sri Andreani, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Suharyadi, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Utami Widiati, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Utari Praba Astuti, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Yazid Basthomi, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Zulfadli A. Aziz, Universitas Syiah Kuala, Indonesia
Zuliati Rohmah, Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia

Boards of Editors

In-Chief Editor:

Utami Widiati, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia

Publishing Editor:

Maria Hidayati, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia

Associate Editors:

Ahmad Heki, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Aulia Apriana, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Elvira Rosyida MR, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Hasti Rahmaningtyas, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Herditya Wahyu Widodo, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Hilda Rakerda, IAIN Pontianak, Indonesia
Inayatul Fariha, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Ira Maria Lumbanbatu, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia

Lestari Setyowati, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Masitowarni Siregar, Universitas Negeri Medan, Indonesia
Mirjam Anugerahwati, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Nabhan F. Choiron, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Nanang Zubaidi, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Niamika El Khoiri, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Nova Ariani, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Rahmati Putri Yanafari, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Sari Karmina, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Sudarman, Universitas Muhammadiyah Kalimantan Timur, Indonesia
Yusnita Febrianti, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia

Layout:

Della Zukhrufi
Disya Citra N.
Giska Namira
Gita Aulia
Ibnuz Zaki
Juanita Maharani
Nindyasari Nastiti
Satya Trisnasari

Cover:

Himawan Prakoso

ISSN: 2656 – 680X



FOREWORD



FOREWORD

Dear Presenters and Participants,

Welcome to the 20th AsiaTEFL – 68th TEFLIN – 5th iNALTAL 2022 conference. On behalf of Universitas Negeri Malang, I would like to extend my greatest gratitude to AsiaTEFL and TEFLIN presidents and board members, for giving us the pleasure to hold this prestigious event.

Since 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic has impacted everyone globally. The educational system worldwide is not spared from being affected by the pandemic. Without a choice, teaching and learning activities have been forced to migrate online and conducted remotely to control the spread of the virus. Despite these challenging times, the education sector has shown resilience and perseverance in adapting to these changes to ensure that the teaching and learning survive.

Now, working from home and remote online learning have become part of our new normal. Along this new norm, innovative approaches, techniques, and methods of online teaching, learning, assessment, and evaluation have been developed to cater to this rapidly changing world.

The AsiaTEFL 2022 conference provides an excellent platform for the dissemination of knowledge, research ideas, and innovation on English language teaching which help educators, researchers, practitioners, students, and education stakeholders to overcome the challenges and meet the demands of this 21st century. I believe that the presenters and participants will enjoy the stimulating conference and later leave it with better insights that will help to enhance the teaching and learning discourses and activities.

I would also like to express my greatest appreciation for our partners: British Council, RELO, and ETS for their generous support, for the conference as well as other programs at the university. You are important to us, and we hope that our partnership will continue to grow in the future.

Last but not least, I would like to convey my deepest gratitude and congratulations to the AsiaTEFL-TEFLIN-iNALTAL 2022 committee and their partners and sponsors - for their effort and hard work in making this hybrid conference a reality. I wish great success for this conference, and we look forward to future collaborations with Universitas Negeri Malang.

Thank you.

Malang, 5 August 2022



Prof. Dr. AH. Rofi'uddin
Rector, Universitas Negeri Malang



ORGANIZERS



LIST OF ORGANIZERS

Steering Committee:

- Prof. AH. Rofi'uddin, Universitas Negeri Malang
- Prof. Dr. Budi Eko Soetjipto, M.Ed., M.Scc. Universitas Negeri Malang
- Prof. Dr. Heri Suwignyo, M.Pd., Universitas Negeri Malang
- Dr. Mu'arifin, M.Pd., Universitas Negeri Malang
- Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Bafadal, M.Pd., Universitas Negeri Malang
- Prof. Utami Widiati, Universitas Negeri Malang
- Dr. Primardiana Hermilia Wijayati, M.Pd., Universitas Negeri Malang
- Dr. Moch. Syahri, S.Sos, M.Si., Universitas Negeri Malang
- Prof. Yusuf Hanafi, S.Ag., M.Fil.I., Universitas Negeri Malang

Advisory Board:

- Prof. Ravinder Gargesh, Samarkand State University, Uzbekistan
- Prof. Kilryoung Lee, Hankuk University of Foreign Studies, Korea
- Prof. Masaki Oda, Tamagawa University, Japan
- Prof. Qiufang Wen, Beijing Foreign Studies University, China
- Prof. Suwarsih Madya, State University of Yogyakarta
- Prof. Joo-Kyung Park, Honam University, Korea
- Prof. Fuad Abdul Hamied, Ph.D, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung
- Prof. Ali Saukah, Ph.D., Universitas Muhammadiyah Kalimantan Timur, Samarinda
- Prof. Dr. Joko Nurkamto, M.Pd., Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta
- Prof. Dr. Wuri Andhjani Soedjatmiko, Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala, Surabaya
- Dra. Helena Indyah Ratna Agustien, M.A., Ph.D., Universitas Karangturi, Semarang

Reviewers:

- Ali Saukah, Universitas Muhammadiyah Kalimantan Timur, Indonesia
- Anik Nunuk Wulyani, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Bambang Yudi Cahyono, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Dyah Setyowati Ciptaningrum, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Indonesia
- E.D. Laksmi, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Emilia Djonov, Macquarie University, Australia
- Evi Eliyanah, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Evynurul Laily Zen, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Francisca Maria Ivone, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Fuad Abdul Hamied, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia
- Greg Kessler, Ohio University, USA

- Harumi Manik Ayu, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia
- Ika Lestari Damayanti, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia
- Johannes A. Prayogo, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Joko Nurkamto, Universitas Negeri Sebelas Maret, Indonesia
- Lukman Hakim, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia
- M. Zaim, Universitas Negeri Padang, Indonesia
- Maria Hidayati, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Nihta Vera Frelly, Universitas Negeri Manado, Indonesia
- Nunung Suryati, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Nur Arifah Drajadi, Universitas Negeri Sebelas Maret
- Nur Hayati, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Nur Mukminatien, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Prihantoro, Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia
- Quifang Wen, Beijing Foreign Studies University, China
- Sary Silvhiany, Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia
- Sisilia Setiawati Halimi, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia
- Siti Muniroh, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Sri Andreani, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Suharyadi, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Utami Widiati, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Utari Praba Astuti, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Yazid Basthomi, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Zulfadli A. Aziz, Universitas Syiah Kuala, Indonesia
- Zuliati Rohmah, Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia

Boards of Editors:

In-chief Editor:

Utami Widiati, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia

Publishing Editor:

Maria Hidayati, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia

Associate Editors:

- Ahmad Heki, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Aulia Apriana, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Elvira Rosyida MR, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Hasti Rahmaningtyas, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Herditya Wahyu Widodo, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Hilda Rakerda, IAIN Pontianak, Indonesia

- Inayatul Fariha, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Ira Maria Lumbanbatu, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Lestari Setyowati, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Masitowarni Siregar, Universitas Negeri Medan, Indonesia
- Mirjam Anugerahwati, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Nabhan F. Choiron, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Nanang Zubaidi, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Niamika El Khoiri, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Nova Ariani, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Rahmati Putri Yaniafari, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Sari Karmina, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
- Sudarman, Universitas Muhammadiyah Kalimantan Timur, Indonesia
- Yusnita Febrianti, Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia

Organizing Committee:

Chairperson : Francisca Maria Ivone, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*

Vice Chairperson : Yusnita Febrianti, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*
Nanang Zubaidi, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*

Secretary : Sari Karmina, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*
Nova Ariani, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*

Treasurers : Niamika El Khoiri, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*
Elvira Rosyida M.R., *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*
Dyah Triajeng Pamungkas P.R., *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*
Rochmayati, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*

Secretariat : Rahmati Putri Yaniafari, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*
Hasti Rahmaningtyas, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*
Ira Maria Fran Lumbanbatu, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*

Sessions & Presentations : Francisca Maria Ivone, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*
Nanang Zubaidi, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*
Yusnita Febrianti, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*

Ceremony & Entertainment : Hartono, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*
Tri Wahyuningtyas, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*
Johannes Ananto Prayoga, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*
Mirjam Anugerahwati, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*
Nabhan Fuad Choiron, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*

Abstracts & : Nunung Suryati, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia* Nurenzia Yannuar, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*

Publications Lestari Setyowati, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia* Yazid Basthomi, *Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia*

	Anik Nunuk Wulyani, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	Nur Hayati, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>
	Maria Hidayati, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	Suharyadi, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>
	Evynurul Laily Zen, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	Yusnita Febrianti, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>
	Herditya Wahyu Widodo, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	Siti Muniroh, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>
Public Relation & Cooperation	: Ifa Nursanti, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	Anik Nunuk Wulyani, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>
	Maria Hidayati, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	
Promotion & Documentation	: Nabhan F. Choiron, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	M. Luqman Hakim Rofi'i, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>
	M. Ian Fajrin, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	
Food and Beverages	: Utari Praba Astuti, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	Sri Andreani, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>
	Lestari Setyowati, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	
Properties,	: Faul Hidayatunnafiq, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	Herditya Wahyu Widodo, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>
Transportation	Doni Darmawan, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	Khalid Mawardi, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>
& Accommodation	Yoga Galih Arraja, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	Mohammad Zaini, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>
	Robby Yunia Irawan, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	Ahmad Heki Sujiatmoko, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>
	Febri Imam Fauzi, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	
Information, Communication & Technology	: Mahmuddin Yunus, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	Eko Wahyu Setiawan, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>
	Febri Yohanes Aldi Wicaksono, <i>Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia</i>	



TABLE OF CONTENTS



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
Foreword	vii
List of Organizers	viii
Table of Contents	xii
Anda Roofi' Kusumaningrum, Suparno, & Dewi Rochsantiningsih	Cultural representation and intercultural interaction in national English textbook 1 – 7
Ane Dwi Septina	Non-formal early childhood English education: Mother's efforts in introducing English to children 8 – 12
Ayu Pratiwi & Nina Inayati	Pharmacy students' perception of the use of Canvas learning management system in ESP course 13 – 20
Barry Kavanagh	Teacher support and lesson material creation in the construction of a new academic writing course 21 – 29
Basri Wello, Asfah Rahman & Restu Januarty Hamid	Students' perception of the hybrid learning model using e- magazines in teaching writing at a higher education 30 – 41
Cahya Komara & M. Farizal Azhar	A reflective study of learning management system (Moodle) used for teaching EFL students' English grammar 42 – 56
Cheewala Badklang	Attitude expressed from online news discourse on Russia – Ukraine war: A perspective of appraisal theory 57 – 64
Chenming Lyu	Teacher professional development in materials development from an expansive learning perspective 65 – 75

Chihiro Nomura & Kenta Sugawara	Personality within the new big five model and motivational persistence of Japanese EFL students	76 – 81
Chisato Matano & Emiko Izumi	Perceptions of classroom teachers in Japanese primary schools who have experience in EFL teaching	82 – 88
Danning Sun	Transitivity analysis for ecological discourse – take news headline as an example	89 – 97
Deira Ayu Kusmana & Sri Sumarni	Content analysis of critical thinking and problem-solving skills in English learning material of senior high school	98 – 108
Dewi Christa Kobis & Gyöngyi Fábíán	Students' attitude towards translanguaging practice in Indonesian EFL classrooms: A case study in multilingual environment	109 – 126
Diah Kurniati	The level of parental involvement of the intellectually disabled student in learning English	127 – 134
Dwiyani Pratiwi	Student teachers' pedagogical decision-making about their initial teaching challenges	135 – 140
Eka Yuni Kurniati & Sholihatul Hamidah Daulay	Adolescents' success in learning English as a second language: A case study of adult learners' experience	141 – 149
Eko Suhartoyo, Nur Mukminatien & Johannes Ananto Prayogo	Fostering EFL students' structure complexity writing through synchronous teacher and peer feedback	150 – 160
Gao Xinran	Development of intercultural communicative competence in English language teaching	161 – 167

Hamamah, Alifa Camilia Fadillah & Kiantoro Andiek Setiawan	Designing audio/video commentary and cover sheet for personalized feedback practice in academic writing classroom	168 – 178
Henny Indira & Hadiatus Sholihah	The method in teaching vocabularies to young learner during online class in Covid-19 era	179 – 192
Hong Nguyen Thi Thanh, Ly Nguyen Thi Khanh & Hao Vu Thi	A study on factors affecting students' achievement in learning English for medical purpose in Vietnam	193 – 203
Huyen Nguyen Thi Thuong	Applying Quizziz application to improve students' medical vocabulary achievement	204 – 213
I'anutul Avifah & Nur Laily Lupita Sari	Perceptions and challenges of utilizing LMS in EFL classes amid Covid-19 outbreak	214 – 224
Ika Hariarningsih & Zailani Jusoh	Cooperative learning in English language classroom: Seen from male and female students' perception	225 – 236
Ira Irzawati	The phenomena of gramobia in EFL classroom: Causes, effects, and remedies	237 – 242
Irsyad Nugraha & Wawan Gunawan	Indonesia's language policy on English	243 – 248
Israa Qari	Saudi EFL university students' knowledge and perceptions of writing academic research (pre-and post-survey approach)	249 – 253
Istanti Hermagustiana, Satyawati Surya, Nur Adheliya Septiarananda & Desy Rusmawaty	Students' perceptions of the use of web-based test in an English class	254 – 264

Jiyoung Han & Kilryoung Lee	Validity argument for post-entry oral performance assessment for international students in Korean higher education	265 – 275
Jookyong Jung	The effects of input enhancement and frequency manipulation on incidental collocation learning from computer-mediated reading	276 – 279
Ka Long Roy Chan & Nok Chin Lydia Chan	Preliminary study on conflation in Hong Kong English	280 – 285
Kammer Tuahman Sipayung	Students' perception on asynchronous learning during the Covid-19 pandemic at English Department of Nommensen Medan	286 – 290
Kazuko Takahashi	Output-based instruction on improving the logic of arguments by Japanese EFL senior high school students	291 – 293
Kenta Sugawara & Chihiro Nomura	The characteristics of role models and vision-directed motivational persistence of Japanese EFL students	294 – 298
Khanh Dinh Phuong Ho & Ngoc Thi Nhu Truong	Exploring Vietnamese first-year non-English major freshmen's English-speaking anxiety at a public university in Vietnam	299 – 318
Kidai Hasegawa	Analysis of chants used in elementary school English textbooks: Vocabulary and phonetics	319 – 326
Kyaw Sein	The role of individual and contextual factors in promoting English language teachers' professional development	327 – 338
Loh Chin Ee & Kelly Sng	"The world was fleshed out a bit better": Supporting extensive reading with culturally relevant books	339 – 351

Louisa Sarah Kamanasa	Teachers' beliefs about classroom-based assessment: Challenges and possible solutions for EFL teachers in Indonesia	351 – 366
Luan Lan	A study on the diagnostic teaching of ethnic minority students' English argumentative writing based on Toulmin model	367 – 374
Lynsey Mori	How social emotional learning can enhance the education system in Japan	375 – 387
Malikhatul Lailiyah & Karina Karadila Yustisia	Collaborative concept mapping: A study of group work satisfaction in vocational higher education	388 – 391
Maria Vineki Riyadini & Anita Triastuti	Promoting the development of instructional design by integrating technology in language learning	392 – 404
Maria Virginia A. Kitan	The application of digital literacy skills in multimodal advocacy campaign projects in purposive communication	405 – 413
Mariana Ulfah Hoesny, Umi Anis Roisatin & Yani Ratnawati	The correlation of self-efficacy and speaking performance: A case study in Electronic Engineering study program	414 – 421
Miki Nakano	Verbal and nonverbal interaction in the communication of Japanese college students	422 – 436
Mitsue Allen-Tamai	Developing an innovative English reading program for young EFL learners at an early stage	437 – 439
Mitsue Allen-Tamai	The story-based curriculum for young EFL learners: From oral language to literacy development	440 – 442

Monika Widyastuti Surtikanti, Djatmika, Riyadi Santosa & Diah Kristina	Genre analysis of USA Presidential Debate	443 – 451
Muhammad Irwanadri Azhari, Sintha Tresnadewi & Mirjam Anugerahwati	Language feature errors made in the Indonesian seventh graders' descriptive texts and the possible causes	452 – 462
Muliati, Syarifuddin Dollah & Sultan	Students' perceptions on CBLT in ESP courses: A case study in Indonesian higher education	463 – 475
Nandyan Ayu Nooryastuti	Incorporating personal approach in English course to enhance students' motivation	476 – 479
Nasrin Pervin & Nishat Zarin Haque	How the higher education policy emerged from human capital orthodoxy to boost economic success in Bangladesh	480 – 486
Natanael Bania Asaf Putra	Investigating hate speech in the Instagram comment: How about the regulation of hate speech cases in Indonesia?	487 – 499
Ni Kadek Sri Widiastuti & Vera Syamsi	Integrating literary works in an English class to improve students' critical thinking	500 – 508
Nihta V. F. Liando, Devilito P. Tatipang, Yofri Karisi & Fergina Lengkoan	Teacher's talk and students' talk toward classroom interaction in teaching English for young learners	509 – 514
Nihta Vera Frelly Liando, Sanerita Tresnawaty Olli, Tirza Kumayas, Fivy Andries & Rinny Rorimpandey	English of Bahasa Indonesia? Parents' perception towards children second language learning context	515 – 523
Nunun Indrasari & M. Sayid Wijaya	Engaging autonomous listening and reading activities in EFL online environment	524 – 531

Nur Halimah, Indah Wardaty Saud & Fadhlan Saini	Students' perceptions on ICT employment in EFL classroom: A survey study at MAN Model 1 Manado	532 – 540
Nurfaizah Sahib, Nurdin Noni & Haryanto Atmowardoyo	Students' perception on blended learning model in writing course: A case study for Indonesian higher education	541 – 551
Nurindah	Students' experiences in using the plot generator in creating literary works	552 – 560
Oktariyani, Yumna Rasyid & Ratna Dewanti	English teacher competence in vocational school in the era of technology disruption	561 – 579
Pipit Prihartanti Suharto, Ika Lestari Damayanti & Nenden Sri Lengkanawati	Looking into metacognitive strategies used in young Indonesian EFL learners' online learning	580 – 591
Rachmi Retno Nursanti	English language acquisition of an Indonesian – Dutch toddler in Intercultural living: A case study	592 – 602
Raden Aulia Utami Hidayat	Challenges in ELT in Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini (PAUD) level in rural area in Indonesia	603 – 614
Rahmadila Kurniasari	Teaching reading comprehension with TPACK 21 st CL framework: An autobiographical narrative	615 – 627
Reski Pilu, Purnama Cahya & Misnawati	Project-based learning context: The practice in tertiary education in EFL	628 – 634
Restu Mufanti	Designing a standardized-English test: A self-report	635 – 643

Reza Fauzi Najib, M. Rafli, M. Tegar & Ratu Utami	Students' affective strategies and anxiety in speaking class by high school public speaking students	644 – 652
Rizka Safriyani & Zulfikri Malik	Using QR code to promote interactive experience in EFL learning: A qualitative study	653 – 660
Rosinta Norawati & Yunita Puspitasari	Project-based learning and case method in Indonesian EFL classroom: Students' perspectives	661 – 670
Saki Suemori	English teacher demotivation in Japanese secondary schools: A qualitative case study	671 – 680
Setyo Prasiyanto Cahyono & Nina Setyaningsih	Attitudinal lexis in online undergraduate thesis examination: An appraisal perspective	681 – 686
Supawadee Jaijon	Effects of topic familiarity on critical thinking of Thai secondary students at different writing abilities	687 – 700
Titin Kustini	How is the student's interest in learning English specific purpose for law	701 – 707
Tiyas Saputri, Aslam Khan Bin Samahs Khan & Nafi'ah	The ASD students' perception toward the implementation of blended learning method during thesis consultation	708 – 714
Upik Hastuti	Implementing Canva and Padlet to enhance students' activities and their reading competence in online learning	715 – 722
Verren Pandoh & Michael Recard	The use of mobile instant messaging (MIM) in improving academic performance	723 – 731

Vithuja Rajaram	The impact of educational transformation as an ideal platform to enhance learner autonomy	732 – 738
Weihua Lan	Parental visions on children’s L2 self-development at early age	739 – 748
Wint Khin Sandar Chit	The challenges of academic publishing: An experience from Myanmar researcher	749 – 756
Wuryani Hartanto	An investigation of students’ perception of virtual student exchange program	757 – 768
Yasamiyan Alolaywi	Learning English from movies: An exploratory study	769 – 787
Yenni Rozimela, Sitti Fatimah & Nora Fudhla	EFL teachers’ needs reflective practice model	788 – 803
Yosinta Christie Setiabudi	Best practice in teaching engaging online writing to Indonesian high-school students	804 – 808
Yulia Tria Hapsari, Nur Arifah Drajadi & Endang Setyaningsih	Self-assessment process in academic writing facilitated by Artificial intelligence: Pre-service teachers’ experiences	809 – 816
Yulie Asni & Maemuna Muhayyang	Students’ perception on the instructional and managerial role performance of EFL teacher	817 – 830
Yurong Zheng	Development of nominalization in EFL learners’ writing: A learner corpus-based study	831 – 843



Zarni Mar	Influence of organizational culture on the knowledge sharing practices of EFL teachers in higher education sector	844 – 853
Zhou Xiaoxi	Study on the features and translation skills of sentences in scientific English translation	854 – 861



PAPERS



A REFLECTIVE STUDY OF LEARNING MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (MOODLE) USED FOR TEACHING EFL STUDENTS' ENGLISH GRAMMAR

Cahya Komara¹ and M. Farizal Azhar²

^{1,2}University of Muhammadiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA, Indonesia

¹cahya.komara@uhamka.ac.id; ²azhar.farizal@gmail.com

Abstract: This study presents a reflection of Learning Management System (Moodle) used for teaching EFL students' English grammar at one private university in Jakarta. The aim is to reveal the quality of LMS Moodle creation by asking the EFL students (as users) about the technical aspects of the LMS Moodle such as its features and appearance, its system quality, its usability, its satisfaction, and its potential impact of English grammar competence. Besides, this study tries to evaluate the LMS Moodle content creation in context of how strength the materials are and the variety of assignments/tests developed in the LMS Moodle from the experts' judgement point of view (as evaluator). So, methodologically, this research applied quantitative approaches by giving closed-ended instrument to 70 students about the Learning Management System (Moodle) they used during online class. Then, the Learning Management System (Moodle) that has been created for teaching and learning English grammar was objectively assessed by 2 experts based on the prepared rubrics. The findings showed that majority students viewed positively towards the LMS Moodle that they had used in learning English grammar. Also, the results of 2 experts revealed that from total 27 scoring indicators in the rubric, the LMS Moodle was given by 206 average score of 270 maximum score. It means, the LMS Moodle creation for teaching EFL students' English grammar was considered to be satisfactory or in moderate category.

Keywords: *EFL students, grammar, Learning Management System, Moodle, reflection*

1. INTRODUCTION

In December 2019, the world was disturbed by a new virus, namely 2019-nCoV or commonly called the corona virus (covid-19), where this virus can spread easily through droplets. With the spread of this disease, WHO has declared COVID-19 as a health emergency that must be of global concern. In the case of Indonesia, to prevent the transmission of the COVID-19 virus, the government has implemented large-scale social restrictions, where the things that are restricted are work activities which are usually in the office having to work at home, public facilities that are usually easy to use must be distanced, as well as for teaching and learning activities that were previously carried out in the classroom must be carried out online (Putri, 2020). This regulation for learning from home was released in mid-March 2020 by the Indonesian Minister of Education (<https://setkab.go.id>).

This situation requires every educator at various levels to be able to teach online, one of which is by using the Learning Management System (LMS). On Asyifa (2021), LMS can be adopted for online learning. In recent years, the acceptance and use of LMS in several universities has grown and successfully propagated as a platform for online learning. LMS is an information system that can facilitate students in online learning. This system stores and disseminates educational materials and supports administration and communication related to teaching and learning activities (McGill & Klobas, 2009). This LMS system allows students to be able to study online, collaborate with each other, have access to learning materials using students' devices. LMS are usually integrated with other tools and applications such as video, text, audio, email, chat and discussion, as well as assessment tools (Cavus et al., 2021). So that, students can learn to use Zoom, Google meet, Edmodo, and others that can be integrated with LMS where they can do video

meetings, text in a group, and other learning activities. Then, the Covid-19 pandemic variable generates extra acceleration of the use of LMS in education matters. This is a new posture of education system that we face today, and it should be embraced in the positive way. The growth of LMS users and activities are definitely for the good of online teaching and learning practices as a response of the emerge of a pandemic situation happening in Indonesia.

LMS has potential for the education sector; one of which is for learning English. As in Azzahra Ramadania (2021) and Komara (2021), they mentioned that LMS has succeeded in gaining interest and popularity in the context of English Language Learning and Teaching, where students enjoy using LMS because it makes them easier to learn English. In line with learning English grammar, it is also potential to be applied. Grammar is simply understood as a study of language structure or pattern; how words fit together in meaningful constructions that is important in both spoken or written communication (Williams, 2005). In this LMS particular used for teaching students' grammar competence, LMS can cover also the students' problems and difficulties such as providing materials of parts of speech, tenses, or other things like plurality, article, and proposition (Ameliani, 2019). It can also become the solution to reduce the discomfort of learning grammar as it is found in many literatures (Al-mekhlafi & Nagaratnam, 2011). LMS can be an alternative for learning English grammar, this system can help students understand English grammar. In addition, this system can motivate and increase students' self-confidence when working on questions (Safitri & Lestari, 2021).

Within its potential side, however, there is still found issue whether or not students who are studying grammar through online virtual learning or LMS generally got success than conventional learning or face-to-face they usually did. The study of the use of LMS in teaching grammar has been done by Komara and Annisa (2021). It is then exciting to do the evaluation or reflection of learning Grammar through LMS from learners' point of view and experts' judgement. Shortly, this research focuses on evaluating the technical and contents aspect existed in Learning Management System (Moodle) for teaching students' English Grammar. The selection of Moodle is based on statistical fact that Moodle is the largest open source LMS with a total of 318 million users in 242 countries (<https://stats.moodle.org/>). Besides, it claims as number 1 or top LMS software used for teaching and learning online in Indonesia (<https://trends.builtwith.com>). The usage percentage is almost 65% compared to other LMS brands used by many lecturers, teachers, and students in Indonesia. So, additional research is needed to enrich the evidence about the benefits of Moodle LMS in teaching English grammar for students. The researchers put ultimate question: 1) What are the students' view of LMS Moodle used for teaching them English Grammar? 2) What is the score given by the experts' judgment about LMS Moodle created and used for teaching students' English Grammar. The findings will show how pleasant this LMS Moodle for the sake of students towards English Grammar in small scale context.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Learning Management System (LMS) is a software integration that provides features to support learning activities such as discussions, practice questions, final exams, and others. This LMS is available in various options, one of which is Moodle (Modular Object-Oriented Dynamic Learning Environment). Moodle is a learning platform used by educators to convey information, give assignments, share electronic journals and other references (Gunawan et al., 2019). Moodle has features such as an activity discussion forum, which is a place for students to discuss and ask questions. In addition, the lecturer can also provide feedback. Lecturers can provide content or learning modules through Moodle (Deepak, 2017).

This LMS has a lot of potential for the field of education, especially for learning English. Studies from Komara (2021) and Azzahra Ramadania (2021) were the examples that show how

significant Learning Management System was for teaching English. In Simanullang and Rajagukguk (2020), Moodle has features that can support student online activities such as discussions, chats, materials, and quizzes. This research has successfully conducted quasi-experimental research on LMS, where the results of his research state that LMS can improve student learning development. In addition, in Horvat et al (2015) study, they discussed the characteristics of LMS based on Moodle. The results of this study stated that both women and men were equally satisfied with the characteristics of the LMS-based Moodle. So, it can be said that the use of LMS for learning activities was quite effective. Further, students also feel satisfied when learning to use LMS.

However, in the Indonesian context, research questioning of students' perception about Learning Management System (Moodle) especially in the area of English grammar, has not been widely exposed. As it is already mentioned previously, teaching and learning grammar is challenging where students still have many difficulties to master the materials (Ameliani, 2019). Students think that English grammar lessons are difficult and uninteresting because of obstacles such as ineffective and interesting grammar learning, lack of time to practice, unsuitable books and so on (Komara & Tiarsiwi, 2021). A research by Wiratomo & Mulyatna (2020) and Thamrin et al (2019) are closely two of them. Their research succeeded in revealing the efforts made by teachers and students in improving English mastery through LMS and a survey on students' insight of LMS in grammar learning context. However, their study only revealed the opinion or input from students. Therefore, this study will explore quantitatively the evaluation and reflection of the use of the Moodle LMS as a whole that involves not only students but also involves external lecturers as experts so that they can reveal if there are weaknesses, shortcomings, and the possibility of adding features and developments, or innovation in the application of the Moodle LMS, both in terms of technical and content.

3. METHOD

This research was conducted in the English Education Study Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, University of Muhammadiyah Prof. Dr. HAMKA, Indonesia. This research involved 70 students on the second semester of the 2020/2021 Academic Year who had studied Basic English Structure Course through LMS (See LMS here: <https://onlinelearning.uhamka.ac.id/>). The completion time of this research were from May 3 to September 28, 2021. The method used in this study is a quantitative descriptive with the main design of the survey. This design or type of survey is useful for revealing students' views on learning English grammar through the use of the Learning Management System (Moodle) that they have used. This survey research is included in the quantitative type as a form of calculating the results of the closed questionnaire used. Cohen et al (2007) stated that a quantitative approach can be applied to this type of research by using a closed questionnaire. Meanwhile, to reveal the findings of quality in terms of technical and content, the researchers used a scoring rubric for the assessment of the LMS. The results of the LMS quantitative assessment were elaborated and described afterwards.

The instrument used in this study was closed-ended questionnaire with 20 items of questions adopted from Sánchez and Hueros (2010), Damjanovic et al (2015), and Pérez-Pérez et al (2019). There were 5 concentrations to ask in the LMS such as, Features and Display, System Quality, Perceived Usefulness, Satisfaction, and English Grammar Competence. For the closed questionnaire, the researcher used a Likert scale of Strongly Agree (5), Agree (4), Neutral (3), Disagree (2), and Strongly Disagree (1). The instrument was distributed by applying Google Questionnaire form service (online). Then, the researchers conducted data analysis as follows; 1) transfer the data obtained from the google form online questionnaire into Microsoft Excel, 2) calculate quantitatively from the item options in the questionnaire that is asked to students by

using SPSS Software Version 25, 3) tabulate the results of student responses to the research questions, 4) interpret and discuss the results findings.

For the LMS assessment, the researchers took rubric from <https://ncat.edu> which was licensed by longisght.com. Thus, it can be used as a benchmark for checking the weakness or success of the LMS used by lecturers in supporting students' English grammar competence as well as an indicator of the quality of the LMS Moodle for teaching and learning English Grammar. The LMS Moodle technical and content creation were scored by 2 valuable experts (See expert 1 and expert 2 and here). For this part, the researchers did some stages as follows; 1) informing the LMS Moodle creation (Grammar context) to the experts, 2) giving and discussing the LMS Moodle rubric or evaluation tool with experts, 3) getting the data or scoring from the experts, 4) tabulating and calculating the points, 5) interpreting the total average point or score.

3. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

It is crucial for the researchers to address reliability test first before distributing the closed-ended questionnaire to respondents in order to check the goodness of instrument. In this case, the researchers applied reliability test by using SPSS Software calculation. Below were the results:

Table 1. Reliability Test

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.920	20

Based on the table 1 above, the researchers obtained the reliability of Cronbach's Alpha score of 0.950. Vaske et al (2017) categorized a score of 0.920 as a high score. Therefore, it can be stated that the 20 question items in the instrument were reliable, and the item responses were positively correlated with each other. Next, the researchers presented closed questionnaire tabulation data to 70 students about the LMS Moodle they used in the online grammar learning class. The researcher used a Likert scale with five answer points that students could choose such as Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Neutral (N), Disagree (D), and Strongly Disagree (SD). Below was the result:

Table 2. Close-Ended Questionnaire Calculation

No	Statements	X	SA	A	N	D	SD
1.	LMS Moodle I use is good and interesting.	4.17	30 (42.86)	28 (40)	8 (11.43)	2 (2.86)	2 (2.86)
2.	I have got a new experience learning English Grammar using LMS Moodle.	4.04	25 (35.71)	33 (47.14)	5 (7.14)	4 (5.71)	3 (4.29)
3.	I find quite easy to learn English Grammar with the LMS platform I use.	4.07	27 (38.57)	30 (42.86)	7 (10)	3 (4.29)	3 (4.29)
4.	I like learning English Grammar with the LMS Moodle I use.	4.06	25 (35.71)	33 (47.14)	6 (8.57)	3 (4.29)	3 (4.29)
5.	Using LMS Moodle is more fun for me.	3.93	20 (28.57)	37 (52.86)	5 (7.14)	4 (5.71)	4 (5.71)
6.	I feel motivated to learn English using LMS Moodle.	4.13	24 (34.29)	37 (52.86)	5 (7.14)	2 (2.86)	2 (2.86)
7.	I feel actively learning English Grammar using LMS Moodle.	4.14	34 (48.57)	22 (31.43)	7 (10)	4 (5.71)	3 (4.29)

No	Statements	X	SA	A	N	D	SD
8.	I find quite easy to understand English Grammar material presented in the LMS Moodle.	4.07	22 (31.43)	39 (55.71)	3 (4.29)	4 (5.71)	2 (2.86)
9.	I feel my English Grammar competence improved after learning using LMS Moodle.	4.13	25 (35.71)	34 (48.57)	7 (10)	3 (4.29)	1 (1.43)
10.	My English Grammar scores after learning with LMS Moodle are still good and they are even improving.	4.17	30 (42.86)	29 (41.43)	6 (8.57)	3 (4.29)	2 (2.86)
11.	Features in the LMS Moodle I use are quite easy in facilitating my English learning.	3.94	27 (38.75)	26 (37.14)	8 (11.43)	4 (5.71)	5 (7.14)
12.	LMS Moodle I use provides adequate communication space and interactive discussions between friends and teachers.	4.20	32 (45.71)	28 (40)	4 (5.71)	4 (5.71)	2 (2.86)
13.	Features in the LMS Moodle (materials, assignments, quizzes, forums / chat, audio, video, live chat) are complete and adequate.	4.13	29 (41.43)	30 (42.86)	5 (7.14)	3 (4.29)	3 (4.29)
14.	LMS Moodle display is nice.	3.91	23 (32.86)	31 (44.29)	7 (10)	5 (7.14)	4 (5.71)
15.	Grammar Assignment submission and quiz completion through LMS Moodle become faster, more effective and efficient.	4.14	26 (37.14)	35 (50)	4 (5.71)	3 (4.29)	2 (2.86)
16.	LMS Moodle I use is quite stable and easily accessible.	4.49	33 (47.14)	24 (34.29)	6 (8.57)	4 (5.71)	3 (4.29)
17.	Quality of teaching and learning Grammar through the LMS Moodle I use is as good as face-to-face.	4.07	23 (32.86)	37 (52.86)	5 (7.14)	2 (2.86)	3 (4.29)
18.	In general, I am satisfied learning English Grammar using LMS Moodle.	4.23	32 (45.71)	29 (41.43)	4 (5.71)	3 (4.29)	2 (2.86)
19.	I want to keep learning English Grammar with LMS Moodle in the future.	3.26	13 (18.57)	12 (17.14)	32 (45.71)	6 (8.57)	7 (10)
20.	I recommend other students or teachers to use LMS Moodle for Learning Grammar.	4.16	31 (44.29)	30 (42.86)	2 (2.86)	3 (4.29)	4 (5.71)

From 20 statements that have been responded by 70 students shown in table 2 above, next the researchers put the results to recapitulation shown in table 3 below.

Table 3. Recapitulation of Close-Ended Questionnaire

Classification	Numbers	Percentage (%)		
		SA + A	N	D + SD
Features and Display for Grammar Context	1, 11, 12, 13, 14	81.14	9.14	9.71

Classification	Numbers	Percentage (%)		
		SA + A	N	D + SD
System Quality for Supporting Grammar Learning	16, 17	83.57	7.86	8.57
Perceived Usefulness in Learning Grammar	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 15	84.86	7.71	7.43
Satisfaction in Learning Grammar	2, 18, 19, 20	73.21	15.36	11.43
English Grammar Competence	9, 10	84.29	9.29	6.43
Total	20 Items			

Next, the researchers asked 2 experts to evaluate and give score of the LMS Moodle content created by researchers for teaching grammar. Below were the scores:

Table 4. LMS Evaluation Tools

No	Evaluation Rubrics	Fair= 5	Good= 8	Excellent= 10	Expert 1	Expert 2
1.	Design and layout	Functional interface with decent layout but somewhat complex and counterintuitive. Aesthetics are bland or distracting.	Good functional interface that can be navigated with minimal training. Good look and feel.	Simple, intuitive interface with minimal clicks to access materials, little or no training needed to get started, and the look and feel is inviting.	8	8
2.	Migration of existing courses	Some migration tools exist but the tools and documentation are either inadequate or difficult to use.	Good tools are provided and well documented, but the migrated material will need additional formatting.	Excellent migration tools with great documentation. All migrated course materials are ready to use.	10	10
3.	Content authoring	Provides a basic means for uploading and storing content in a hierarchical manner to support teaching and learning.	Allows basic content to be uploaded or created within an authoring system that is part of the LMS.	Provides a suite of tools for authoring media-rich content, importing content, drag-and-drop interfaces, as well as uploading rich content types such as podcasts, video clips, etc. Allows metadata creation for easier/better management.	8	10
4.	Content organization	LMS provides a basic repository for course content.	LMS provides a repository for content and basic tools for content organization.	LMS provides a framework for diverse storage and use strategies, from public, private and	5	8

No	Evaluation Rubrics	Fair= 5	Good= 8	Excellent= 10	Expert 1	Expert 2
				shared workspaces, to subscription-based content (e.g., podcasts and feeds) to archival content.		
5.	Course export	Permits course content to be exported and reimported into the LMS itself but may have limited ability to export to another LMS.	Allows course structure and content to be exported but in formats that constrain how the exported content may be imported elsewhere.	Exports course structure and content, as well as selected sub-elements of a course, using an industry-standard such as IMS Content Packaging so that courses can be imported into another LMS.	8	8
6.	Archives	Some archival tools but much of the process is manual. Archived courses are not available to be viewed by the instructor.	Good archival tools that support backup of completed courses with student submissions and discussions intact. The LMS administrator must set up instructor access to the completed course.	Powerful archive tools that support automatic backup of completed courses with student submissions and discussions intact. Instructors have full access and control of completed courses.	10	10
7.	Communication	LMS provides secure access to the email addresses that comprise the class roster, but individuals may not be selectable for private email.	Both asynchronous (email) and synchronous communication tools are present.	LMS provides a high level of flexibility for the use of email (asynchronous by roster, individual or group) as well as instant messaging, chat and threaded discussions.	8	10
8.	File exchange	LMS provides secure drop-box functionality so that students can exchange materials with instructors.	LMS provides drop-box and ability for students and faculty to upload resources to a central course repository.	LMS provides secure drop-boxes and shared folders for file exchange among students as well as instructors and allows for bulk downloads of attached files.	8	8
9.	E-portfolio	Basic tools allow students and instructors to	Tools allow students and instructors to	A full-featured e-portfolio tool is integrated into the	5	5

No	Evaluation Rubrics	Fair= 5	Good= 8	Excellent= 10	Expert 1	Expert 2
		gather student work products for assessment and presentation.	create ad-hoc or structured presentations of resources.	LMS and makes possible the gathering, review and presentation of work products to support any portfolio strategy (resumé, learning, tenure, etc). Reporting tools allow for individual, departmental or institutional assessments.		
10.	Discussion tools	Adequate speed and functionality with the ability to attach files	Quick and functional with user profiles or pictures, file attachments and html interface.	Extremely fast and highly functional with user profiles and pictures, files attachments and easy html interface.	8	8
11.	Testing and assessment tools	A simple test generator with the ability to add multiple choice, true/false, short answer and essay questions.	More than a simple test generator, this system provides tools for creating assessments with images or other attached files.	More than a simple test generator, this system provides tools for creating assessments with multimedia, learning games, and other interactive tools such as polls. Tests can provide immediate feedback with tips for remediation.	8	8
12.	Course evaluations	Basic survey tools for capturing student reflections on course, instructor	Anonymous evaluations that can be gathered by the faculty including question pools and templates.	Hierarchical and flexible system for anonymous evaluations at course, department and institutional level for either summative or formative purposes. Includes item pools, templating, announcements, reminders, and tools to easily target different audiences.	5	5
13.	Gradebook and student tracking	Moderately functional grade book that is relatively easy to	Functional grade book that is easy to use. Grades can be exported to a	Highly functional grade book that is easy to use. Grades can be exported to a	10	10



No	Evaluation Rubrics	Fair= 5	Good= 8	Excellent= 10	Expert 1	Expert 2
		use. Minimal tools for student tracking.	spreadsheet. Student tracking tools give the instructor some information about student progress.	spreadsheet or student information system. Student tracking tools give the instructor information about what pages the student has viewed and what tasks have been completed. The student can be automatically emailed when their participation is substandard.		
14.	Calendar and selective release	Basic calendar. Selective release is possible but may be cumbersome to set up.	Basic calendar with pop-up announcements. Release of course content and assessments can be scheduled for student access with moderate effort.	Collaborative calendar with pop-up announcements. Release of course content and assessments can be easily scheduled for student access.	8	10
15.	Collaboration	Allows shared access to files among users and some tools for asynchronous collaboration.	Provides access to shared files and some tools for asynchronous and synchronous collaboration and communication. Limited group functionality.	Provides a campus-wide framework that supports collaborative work such as wiki with version tracking, threaded discussion, instant messaging and chat, whiteboard, web conferencing (audio and video). Enables subgroups to be defined within courses for collaboration. Provides non-course sites to support special project work among small groups.	5	8
16.	Learning analytics	Grades and basic statistics are gathered for each learner, and basic	Grades, basic and fine-grained statistics are gathered for each learner, by course,	Provides in-depth data gathering and reporting on learning outcomes based on configurable rubrics,	8	5

No	Evaluation Rubrics	Fair= 5	Good= 8	Excellent= 10	Expert 1	Expert 2
		usage reports generated.	by department and across the institution. Forensic reports are available for resolving controversies.	and allows for longitudinal analysis of cohorts as well as individuals, including eportfolios.		
17.	Integration with Student Information System	Integration is possible but will require a high level of product customization.	Tools for integration are available but some tasks will need to be completed manually or in a batch process.	Seamless integration with automatic updating of student and faculty lists and all rosters. Students can be automatically emailed course access information. Student and faculty profiles with pictures and syllabi can be shared between the LMS and the SIS.	8	8
18.	Integration with Campus Authentication	Ability to batch load users from a campus central identity system.	Ability to batch load users but also to integrate a campus single sign-on system such as CAS.	A real-time connection with a campus central identity system (LDAP, AD, Shibboleth) that avoids the need for batch processes. Integration with campus single sign-on.	5	5
19.	Integration with campus portal	LMS is accessible through the campus portal but only by linking that requires a separate authentication by the user.	LMS is linked with the portal via single sign-on, but the only level of integration possible is the iFrame.	LMS and portal share single sign-on and select tools can be integrated with the portal via industry-standard integrations (JSR-168 or WSRP).	5	5
20.	Support	Email support only.	Email support and limited phone support.	24/7 phone and email support with tracking system to follow the progress of issue resolution.	5	5
21.	Textbook publisher support	Some textbook materials but difficult to find, request or install.	Several supported texts with good materials that can	Many supported texts, excellent well-organized materials, easily installed and	8	8

No	Evaluation Rubrics	Fair= 5	Good= 8	Excellent= 10	Expert 1	Expert 2
			be installed with moderate efforts.	based on industry or community standards (e.g., Common Cartridge)		
22.	Training materials	Fair printed materials, minimal online training or classroom training sessions available.	Good printed materials, some online training or classroom training sessions available.	Excellent printed materials and many opportunities for online and classroom training sessions.	8	8
23.	Online help resources	A users' manual is accessible online.	Help files are accessible at each step of a process, and system documentation is accessible online.	Contextually appropriate help files are accessible from all pages and provide assistance for students, faculty and system administrators as appropriate. Pop-ups or rollovers provide "just-in-time" information for specific actions.	8	8
24.	Speed of system	Course material access times are adequate on high speed connections but frustrating for dial-up users.	Access times are very good for students on high speed connections and adequate for dial-up users.	The fastest system available with support for streaming media and/or offline companion materials to better serve dial-up users.	5	8
25.	Server requirements	LMS only operates on one operating system and requires special configurations of hardware or supporting software.	LMS is available on multiple platforms but does not offer compatibility with an implementer's choice of application server or database.	Server software operates on a wide variety of operating systems (Windows, Linux/Unix, Mac) using commodity hardware and industry- standard web servers.	10	8
26.	Scalability	LMS has no problem meeting demands of a small institution on a single server.	LMS supports clustering and the ability for multiple servers to act in unison, but there are few installations supporting over a thousand concurrent users.	LMS clusters well and has been known to support installations well over ten thousand concurrent users.	8	8

No	Evaluation Rubrics	Fair= 5	Good= 8	Excellent= 10	Expert 1	Expert 2
27.	Browser setup and support	Supports the most popular browsers with end user set up and installation of necessary components. May have a “preferred” browser for proper operation.	Supports most browsers with minimal effort from the user.	Supports all browsers and platforms with no special setup requirements for the user. Is able to render the LMS experience in most browsers with consistency.	8	10
Total Points					200	212
Average Score					206	
Total Score					76.29	

From table 3 above, it can be seen that students' views on the Moodle Learning Management System (LMS), which they used in learning English Grammar during the covid-19 pandemic, were much more positive. The five aspects were responded dominantly with the options Strongly Agree (SA) and Agree (A). In terms of features and appearance, most students view that LMS Moodle has provided a good or interesting and easy-to-use grammar learning menu with a percentage of 81.94 compared to students who answered Neutral (N) namely 9.14 and Disagree (D) and Strongly Disagree (SD) which is 9.71. Furthermore, in terms of System Quality, most of the students also considered that the Moodle LMS works or runs very well and is stable to support grammar learning with a percentage of Strongly Agree (SA) and Agree (A) of 83.57 compared to students who answered Neutral (N) which is 7.86 and Disagree (D) and Strongly Disagree (SD) which is 8.57. These findings strengthened the result from Azzahra Ramadania (2021) and Komara (2021) about LMS Moodle features and system quality must be prepared well if creators would like to get the best of it for teaching and learning online.

Then, in terms of Perceived Usefulness, most students view that learning English grammar using LMS Moodle is considered effective and efficient, such as in accessing and understanding material or doing assignments and completing quizzes as evidenced by the dominant percentages Strongly Agree (SA) and Agree (A) of 84.86 compared to students who chose Neutral (N) which was 7.71 or Disagree (D) and Strongly Disagree (SD) which was 7.43. They also feel pleased and driven to learn English grammar in LMS Moodle which is known from the dominant response of Strongly Agree (SA) and Agree (A) in the context of Perceived Usefulness. Next, in terms of satisfaction, most students perceive that they have had a new experience. They want to learn more with LMS Moodle, and they highly recommend the use of LMS in the English learning process which is known from Strongly Agree (SA) and Agree (A) responses of 73.21 compared to students who choose Neutral (N) which is 15.36 or Disagree (D) and Strongly Disagree (SD), namely 11.43. Finally, the aspect of improving grammar competence is also seen as increasing after learning grammar at LMS Moodle which is known from the response Strongly Agree (SA) and Agree (A) of 84.29 compared to students who choose Neutral (N) which is 9.29 or Disagree (D). and Strongly Disagree (SD) which is 6.43. These findings supported the results from Thamrin et al. (2019) study who also found students felt enjoy, eager to learn grammar, effective, and satisfied with LMS used in learning grammar.

Meanwhile, from the total 27 assessment indicators in the Rubric above, the total score for each indicator was 10 maximums. So, the total score is 270. The score content given by 2 experts to LMS Moodle Basic English Structure Course was 200 and 212 (206 average score). So, the final score was $206/270 \times 100 = 76.29$. This score of 76.29 was in the satisfactory category.

4. CONCLUSION

This study examines the students' perception to 5 different facets of Moodle LMS by means of Reflective Study in support of deriving the information of both deficiencies and benefits of it. In line with the expectations of the study, it revealed that from 5 aspects examined using a survey questionnaire, most of the students chose SA and A options as well as the score given by the experts using scoring rubrics which appeared to have a positive result. Nevertheless, there are a few deficiencies as to the technical side of Moodle such as the lack of support to follow the progress of issue resolutions, speed of the system and collaboration feature to only allow shared access to files for asynchronous purpose.

In general, the researchers did not experience any major obstacles in the implementation and completion of this reflection study research. Researchers have established communication and collaboration with teams and 2 experts to complete the results of this research. In addition, in carrying out this research, researchers found the potential for developing research results in the form of making similar media or based on android applications that can support students' English grammar learning. This is revealed from the results of students' questionnaires which showed that the Moodle LMS was very good for learning grammar contexts but seems to be limited to the internal needs of campus and lectures'-based need. Students were dominant enough to answer neutrally when they were asked if they felt interested in learning grammar with this Moodle LMS. Researchers believed that students hope to learn grammar without being limited to the context of lectures or semesters with LMS Moodle. This data is very valuable as the input for the development or future research plans. Researchers also encourage the strengthening of the LMS Moodle related to the storage capacity so that LMS Moodle performance becomes stronger. This is very vital considering that updating and developing the capacity of platforms or network infrastructure, information systems, and features are the keys to successful learning through this online mode. By having this result, the future development of Moodle LMS may be at hand. This result supported the results from Haryani and Poniam (2021) study about LMS Evaluation that lecturers or creators should concern more about the quality of instruction in LMS as one, keeping and upgrading the new development in LMS features, system, and etc.

Lastly, since the study only gave an insight of perceptions toward Moodle LMS of EFL students, the future researches should also engage other types of study field wielding Moodle LMS based on its technical and content sides. Furthermore, there is a downside of using only a survey questionnaire as the instrument since it could not tell apart if the research subjects are honest when filling it especially for the fifth aspect which is the impact on students' English grammar competence. It is beyond the scope of this study to answer the question of that matter. Therefore, a future research involving a real test could be so fruitful in enhancing the credibility of the study.

REFERENCES

- Al-mekhlafi, A. M., & Nagaratnam, R. P. (2011). Difficulties in teaching and learning grammar in an efl context. *International Journal of Instruction*, 4(2), 14–17.
- Ameliani, A. N. (2019). Students' difficulties in grammar of seventh grade junior high school 1 magelang. *Conference of English Language and Literature (CELL)*, 1–8.
- Asyifa, D. I. (2021). Exploring Indonesian gen z digital reading issues. *UICELL Conference Proceeding*, 10–18.
- Azzahra Ramadania, D. (2021). Students' perception of learning management system (lms) utilized in online english learning situation during covid-19 pandemic. *ELLTER Journal*, 2(2), 36–46. <https://doi.org/10.22236/ellter.v2i2.7589>
- Builtwith. (2017). Learning management system usage distribution in indonesia. Retrieved from <https://trends.builtwith.com/cms/learning-management-system/country/Indonesia>.

- Cavus, N., Mohammed, Y. B., & Yakubu, M. N. (2021). Determinants of learning management systems during covid-19 pandemic for sustainable education. *Sustainability*, 13(9), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13095189>
- Cabinet Secretariat of the Republic of Indonesia. (2020). Education minister to coordinate online education upon schools closures. Retrieved from <https://setkab.go.id/en/education-minister-to-coordinate-online-education-upon-schools-closures/>.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2007). *Research methods in education*. New York: Routledge.
- Damjanovic, V., Jednak, S., & Mijatovic, I. (2015). Factors affecting the effectiveness and use of Moodle: students' perception. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 23(4), 496–514. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2013.789062>
- Deepak, K. C. (2017). Evaluation of moodle features at kajaani university of applied sciences-case study. *Procedia Computer Science*, 116, 121–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2017.10.021>
- Elearning Industry. (2019). Top LMS Softwares. Retrieved from <https://elearningindustry.com/directory/software-categories/learning-management-systems>.
- El-Seoud, Gunawan, G., Sahidu, H., Susilawati, S., Harjono, A., & Herayanti, L. (2019). Learning management system with moodle to enhance creativity of candidate physics teacher. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1417(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1417/1/012078>
- Haryani, F., & Poniam, B. (2021). Evaluation of learning management system (lms) canvas amidst pandemic: Students' perspectives. *Tarbawi: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, 17(2), 94–110. <https://doi.org/10.32939/tarbawi.v17i2.935>
- Horvat, A., Dobrota, M., Krsmanovic, M., & Cudanov, M. (2015). Student perception of moodle learning management system: a satisfaction and significance analysis. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 23(4), 515–527. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2013.788033>
- Komara, C. (2021). Students' view of learning management system (lms) used in online english learning class during covid-19 pandemic period. *Language Teacher Training and Education (LTTE) International Conference*, 71–85.
- Komara, C., & Annisa, A. N. (2021). The use of learning management system (moodle) for teaching students' english grammar. *Language and Language Teaching Conference (LLTC)*, 1–11.
- Komara, C., & Tiarsiwi, F. (2021). Exploring indonesian efl learners' perception of english learning grammar. *JELTL*, 6(2), 459–470.
- Ncat.edu. (2013). Lms evaluation tools. Retrieved from https://www.ncat.edu/_files/pdfs/provost/lms-evaluation-tool.pdf.
- McGill, T. J., & Klobas, J. E. (2009). A task-technology fit view of learning management system impact. *Computers and Education*, 52(2), 496–508. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2008.10.002>
- MoodleStatistics. (2022). History of lms. Retrieved from <https://stats.moodle.org/>.
- Pérez-Pérez, M., Serrano-Bedia, A. M., & García-Piqueres, G. (2019). An analysis of factors affecting students' perceptions of learning outcomes with moodle. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 00(00), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2019.1664730>
- Putri, R. N. (2020). Indonesia dalam menghadapi pandemi covid-19. *Jurnal Ilmiah Universitas Batanghari Jambi*, 20(2), 705. <https://doi.org/10.33087/jiubj.v20i2.1010>
- Safitri, I. N., & Lestari, P. Y. (2021). Optimizing learning management system to teach english grammar. *EduLink Education and Linguistics Knowledge Journal*, 3(1), 51-61. <https://doi.org/10.32503/edulink.v3i1.1490>

- Sánchez, R. A., & Hueros, A. D. (2010). Motivational factors that influence the acceptance of Moodle using TAM. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26(6), 1632–1640. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2010.06.011>
- Simanullang, N. H. S., & Rajagukguk, J. (2020). Learning management system (lms) based on moodle to improve students learning activity. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1462(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1462/1/012067>
- Thamrin, N. S., Suriaman, A., & Maghfirah, M. (2019). Students' perception on the implementation of moodle web-based in learning grammar. *IJOLTL: Indonesian Journal of Language Teaching and Linguistics*, 4(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.30957/ijoltl.v4i1.552>
- Vaske, J. J., Beaman, J., & Sponarski, C. C. (2017). Rethinking internal consistency in cronbach's alpha. *Leisure Sciences*, 39(2), 163–173. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01490400.2015.1127189>
- Williams, J. D. (2005). *The teacher's grammar book*. London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Wiratomo, Y., & Mulyatna, F. (2020). Use of learning management systems in mathematics learning during a pandemic. *Journal of Mathematical Pedagogy (JoMP)*, 1(2), 62–71.



ISSN 2656-680X