### AN INVESTIGATION OF ENGLISH AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE (EFL) PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS' TECHNOLOGICAL PEDAGOGICAL AND CONTENT KNOWLEDGE (TPACK)

### THESIS

# Submitted to Fulfill Requirement for The Degree of Master of Education

By

IZZA AISYAH NURMAHATI 1609067024



### DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH EDUCATION GRADUATE SCHOOL UNIVERSITY OF MUHAMMADIYAH PROF. DR. HAMKA 2018

### ABSTRAK

**IZZA AISYAH NURMAHATI. 1609067024.** An Investigation of English as a Foreign Language (EFL) Pre-Service Teachers' Technological Pedagogical and Content Knowledge (TPACK). Tesis, Jakarta: Program Studi Magister Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris. Sekolah Pascasarjana Universitas Muhammadiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA. 2018.

Penggunaan teknologi dalam proses belajar mengajar sangat ditekankan di pendidikan guru Bahasa Inggris di masa kontemporer. Meskipun begitu, dibutuhkan sebuah kerangka bekerja untuk mengarahkan guru dan para calon guru menggunakan teknologi dengan benar. Banyak kerangka bekerja yang telah diperkenalkan oleh para ahli dan praktisi dalam dunia pendidikan, dan salah satunya yang juga paling sering dibahas oleh para peneliti adalah *Technological*, Pedagogical and Content Knowledge (TPACK). Hasil dari penelitian ini menunjukkan penilaian persepsi dan tingkat TPACK para calon guru Bahasa Inggris serta bagaimana penerapannya di dalam kelas. Dengan membagikan survey yang berjudul TPACK-EFL kepada 80 calon guru Bahasa Inggris, penulis lalu menghitung hasilnya dan 6 dari 80 calon guru Bahasa Inggris yang mendapatkan nilai tertinggi selanjutnya diwawancarai serta diobservasi cara mengajarnya di dalam kelas. Penelitian ini menggunakan metode campuran. Data kuantitatif diperoleh dengan menggunakan instrumen survey sedangkan data kualitatif dikumpulkan dari hasil wawancara dan observasi. Hasil dari penelitian ini menunjukkan bahwa tingkat TPACK para calon guru dipengaruhi oleh jenis kelamin sementara mata kuliah mengenai pendidikan dan Indeks Prestasi Kumulatif (IPK) tidak terlalu mempengaruhi hasil dari survey TPACK tersebut. Dari hasil penelitian, dapat disimpulkan pula bahwa para calon guru Bahasa Inggris memahami cara penggunaan teknologi dengan baik. Meskipun begitu, saat pengaplikasiannya di dalam kelas, mereka menjadi sedikit kesulitan ketika menggabungkan pengetahuan teknologi dengan pengetahuan lainnya yang terdiri di dalam kerangka TPACK.

Kata kunci: Pre-service teacher, English as a Foreign Language (EFL), TPACK framework.

### ABSTRACT

**IZZA AISYAH NURMAHATI. 1609067024.** An Investigation of English as a Foreign Language (EFL) Pre-Service Teachers' Technological Pedagogical and Content Knowledge (TPACK). A Thesis, Jakarta: Department Of English Education, Graduate School University Of Muhammadiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA. 2018.

The use of technology in instruction is highly emphasized in the contemporary education of English as a Foreign Language (EFL) teachers. However, to use technology properly, there must be a framework which can guide the teacher or pre-service teacher in using it. Many frameworks have been introduced by some experts and practitioners, and one of them and the most well-known one among researchers is Technological, Pedagogical and Content Knowledge (TPACK). This study reports an assessment of EFL pre-service teachers' perception and level of TPACK and its application in the class. By distributing a TPACK-EFL survey to 80 pre-service teachers who are majoring in English education, the writer later scored and ranked them, the six pre-service teachers who got the highest result were interviewed and observed. This study employed mixed-method which combines quantitative and qualitative method. The quantitative data were gathered by using the survey while the qualitative data were collected by doing interview and observation. The writer used SPSS in checking the data from the survey. Results from the study confirmed that TPACK level was influenced by gender while teaching courses and Grade Point Academic (GPA) did not contribute significantly on it. The observation data reveals that pre-service teachers had acquired technology integration skills but demonstrated relatively low competencies in blending the components of TPACK.

Key words: Pre-service teacher, English as a Foreign Language (EFL), TPACK framework.



### THESIS COMMITTEE APPROVAL

### AN INVESTIGATION OF ENGLISH AS A FOREIGN LANGUAGE (EFL) PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS' TECHNOLOGICAL PEDAGOGICAL AND CONTENT KNOWLEDGE (TPACK)

#### THESIS

#### By

### IZZA AISYAH NURMAHATI

1609067024

The Thesis Committee and Oral Defense Committee have approved this Thesis as partial fulfillment of the requirements of the Master of Education Degree in English Date November 27<sup>th</sup>, 2018

Thesis Committee	Signatures	Date		
Prof. Dr. H. Abd. Rahman A. Ghani, M.Pd. (Chair) Hamzah Puadi Ilyas, Ph.D. (Secretary) Hamzah Puadi Ilyas, Ph.D. (Thesis Advisor 1) Herri Mulyono, Ph.D. (Thesis Advisor 2) Dr. Burhayani, M.Pd. (Oral Defence Committee 1) Dr. Ir. Suciana Wijirahayu, M.Pd.	Sagurfie Sagurfie Sagurfie An Chieffen	$ \begin{array}{c}                                   $		
(Oral Defence Committee 2)				

Prof. Dr. H. Abd. Rahman A. Ghani, M.Pd.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

These past two years have turned me into who I am, the better me. It has given me the precious lesson in life. This journey was challenging even from the beginning, yet I say this is worth doing. I gained positive things through this journey and I do not know whether I could be the person who I am if I did not decide to take this journey. Those sleepless nights to study and accomplish assignments was not something enjoyable, yet that was all part of precious moments in my life. I never thought that I could get in this phase without the support of the following individuals.

My parents, who have believed in me since the day I born and taught me to struggle in getting everything I wish for. They never felt tired to remind me to finish this paper and give me support, morally and financially. To my mother, special thanks to you who never give up on me even though sometimes I made you disappointed, you are still there for me and become my number one supporter. You are the real hero in my life. Without you, this never would have happened. I feel grateful to have you as my mother.

My sister and brother, who motivate me to keep struggling in life as I know it is my responsibility to draw a smile on their faces. Thank you for rooting on me even I know I am not a good sister yet. Your happiness is mine as well so that I will struggle to make you happy.

My advisors, Hamzah Puadi Ilyas, Ph.D. and Herri Mulyono, Ph.D., who guided me, mentored me, advised me and made sure I did not take on more than I could handle. They are not only a supervisor for me, they are also an inspiration for me to be someone who can give impact especially in education field. Thank you for giving me such a map in doing this research and making my paper better than it has ever been.

To my friends, Dais, you listen to all my problems and helped me during my difficult times. Ami, you support me by accepting me to come to your place to share stories and asking for a help. Bundo, you are such a good listener, I thank you for listening to my stories and giving me an advice whenever I need it. Adel, thank you for being a good friend and making me eager to be a better person. Thanks also to Tatas and Silva who have become someone whom I run to when problems came. You guys are my favorite person who I love to talk to and discuss everything, from the simplest to the most complicated cases. You guys are really precious to me and I could not be more grateful for having you all in my life.

I realize that my life has significantly changed since I met these two figures, mbak Ami and mbak Lina. Thank you for being inspirational figures in my life. I never thought I would have elevated myself to this level if I did not meet you. I am really thankful that Allah has sent you to come into my life and made everything better.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRAKii
ABSTRACTiii
THESIS ADVISOR APPROVALiv
THESIS COMMITTEE APPROVAL
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
TABLE OF CONTENTS
LIST OF APPENDICES
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION
A. The Background of the Study
B. The Scope of the Study
C. Research Question
D. The Objective of the Study
E. The Significance of the Study7
CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW
A. Previous Studies
B. Theoretical Review
1. The Understanding of Pre-Service Teacher
2. The Understanding of Teachers' Competence
3. The Use of Technology in the EFL Classrooms
4. The Understanding of TPACK16
5. The Elements of TPACK

### **CHAPTER III: METHODOLOGY**

A.	Setting and Participants	22	)
----	--------------------------	----	---

B.	Research Design	23
C.	Data Collecting Techniques and Analysis	23
	1. Survey	24
	2. Observation	24
	3. Interview	25

### **CHAPTER IV: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

А.	Fir	ndings	
	1.	Survey	
		Interview	
	3.	Observation	
B.	Dis	scussion	

## CHAPTER V: CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

	A. Conclusions.	50
	B. Limitation of the Study	
	C. Suggestions	51
B	BIBLIOGRAPHY	53
A	PPENDICES.	58

### **CHAPTER I**

### **INTRODUCTION**

### A. Background to the Study

Digital era has forced us to integrate technology in all aspects in life; it is from the simplest activity to the complicated one. The growing digital era has made people do everything with technology. However, the technology integration has proven that it does help people to do their activities. Technology integration cannot be denied as it is our way out to make our life easier and more leading. From all aspects in people life, technology has been also included in educational environment.

Technology integration has become a new and promising way in helping teachers delivering their material to students. Not only does it assist teachers in delivering material but also in preparing or creating the teaching material and media. Many teachers agree that by integrating technology into their classrooms, instructional process can achieve its objective more effectively. Moreover, teachers also admit that by bringing technology into classroom, the students who are digital natives, can become more engaged and interested in the lesson.

Nowadays, numerous teacher training program to improve teachers' quality have been done by some government and non-government educational organization. One of the improvement that is intended here is to train teachers in applying technology in their teaching process as it is a high demand in this digital era. Moreover, many countries have also applied some project to support the use of technology in education field: Australia, United Kingdom, USA, Singapore, Korea and so on (Hunter, 2015: 13). And currently, Indonesia has also started to optimize the use of technology in education field. For instance, technology literation has been also attached in Indonesia curriculum.

Moreover, in language teaching, the use of technology cannot be avoided since it needs more exposure in that language and it can be easily done by integrating technology into the classroom e.g. showing the students a video consists of daily expression in the authentic situation and spoken by the native speakers. On the other hand, it is pivotal for teachers to show and introduce their students the culture and everything that relates to the language itself besides teaching grammar and the four skills: Speaking, Writing, Listening and Reading. Teachers need a device which can help them in doing so and technology is the answer to the problem. Technology also can be beneficial for teachers to improve their own skill to become fluent in that language.

Knowing that, in this digital era, which the students are digital natives, it is highly suggested to integrate technology into classrooms. However, technology still cannot substitute the role of teacher in the class as stated by Clifford, "Technology cannot replace teachers, but teachers who do not use technology will soon be replaced." To that reason, many teachers respond to this phenomenon positively as it also helps them in many ways such as designing and preparing learning material and delivering the material itself to students. Moreover, as the demand of 21<sup>st</sup> century skills, it is necessary for teachers to "requiring and applying new knowledge with dexterity in problem solving, communication, teamwork, technology use and innovation. (Hunter, 2015:29). According to one of

the quotes in *Edutopia* website which is quoted by Hunter (Hunter, 2015: 30) in her book that "improving schools through technology planning impacts student achievement and academic performance in content learning, higher-order thinking and problem solving skills and preparation for the workforce.". Those impacts clearly define how important it is to integrate classroom with technology as we know that technological skill is quite important to suit the current era.

Realizing that technology integration is needed in education field, many scholars are interested in examining the use of technology in their studies (Davies et al, 2013; Morris, 2011; Tomlinson and Whittaker, 2013; Motteram, 2013). Those studies have successfully approved that technology integration is really effective in enhancing the learning achievement. Technology integration is gradually increasing and captivating many more scholars as it gives many positive impacts to educational field. Following that condition, there are also many ICT practitioners who create some platforms and courseware for educational purpose.

However, despite technology integration is considered pivotal in today's teaching and learning process and many studies have also approved it, one of the pivotal topic that seems never been explained is how to apply it properly. To that reason, many experts have been trying to construct a framework to underlie the use of technology in educational and research field. To date, there are some conceptual framework constructed by experts such as SAMR (Puentedura, 2006), HPC (Hunter, 2015) and the most recognized among researchers and teachers, TPACK (Mishra and Koehler, 2005). Among those framework mentioned earlier, TPACK is considered as the most reliable and practical framework since "the

central focus of TPACK framework is on a specialized kind of teacher knowledge, involving the interplay of three essential forms of knowledge: Content, Pedagogy, and Technology. The most purposeful and gainful use of technology in teaching subject matter means that teachers must understand and negotiate the relationships between these three forms of knowledge. As teachers develop such sophisticated and interrelated knowledge, they develop a form of expertise for teaching with technology." (Hunter, 2015).

Using PCK framework which is constructed by Shulman (1986) as the underlying theory, many researchers have tried to integrate technology into the framework with various terms e.g. Niess (2005) with technology-enhanced PCK and Angeli and Valanides (2005) with ICT-related PCK (ICT-TPCK). The combination of technology into PCK has not been recognized until Mishra and Koehler (2005) proposed a new framework by adding "T" abbreviation in the first order as it stands for technology in PCK framework which is constructed by Shulman (1986). Then it is extended into TPCK which stands for Technological, pedagogical and content knowledge. Years after it is extended into TPCK, Thompson and Mishra give their idea to add "A" as to make it easier to pronounce (Angeli and Valanides, 2015). Since then, TPACK is widely known among researchers, teachers and practitioners as a framework which can be used as a framework in technology integration and the assessment itself.

TPACK framework has been applied in many studies with various purposes. TPACK framework has been used to evaluate teachers' knowledge, framework in evaluating a technological-based teaching process or conduct a technological-based courses. Moreover, there are also some researchers who create and evaluating TPACK assessment in their studies.

Many researchers are captivated in doing a study in this topic. As the result, many studies have been conducted related to TPACK topic. Some studies focus on constructing and developing the instruments assessment. The rest of them focus on applying the TPACK framework into their teaching process. As many advantages given by TPACK framework, the writer is eager to conduct this research which will focus on pre-services teachers.

Nevertheless many TPACK studies done in Indonesia, there are only few which concentrate on pre-service teachers especially in English subject. To that reason, a research focusing in English pre-services teachers are needed to investigate their perceived level of TPACK. Thus, this research aims to know the pre-service teachers TPACK and it can tell whether or not they are ready to integrate technology in their own classroom and the way teacher educator program teach the pre-service teachers about technology.

### **B.** The Scope of the Study

From the background of the study, this study will only focus on TPACK assessment which was done in the pre-service teachers. From the assessment, the writer knew the pre-service teachers' perception on the technology integration in classroom and later, the writer found out whether the pre-service teachers apply the technology properly into their teaching process based on the TPACK framework.

### C. The Questions of the Study

In this study, the writer has formulated three questions which the writer is trying to answer it later.

- 1. How is pre-service teachers' perceived level of technological pedagogical and content knowledge (TPACK)?
- 2. How do pre-service teachers with highest level perceive the technology integration based on TPACK framework?
- 3. How do they apply their TPACK in the actual field?

### D. The Objective of the Study

There are three objectives in this study namely:

- 1. To find the answer to the questions stated above. By conducting this research, the writer will identify the participants or pre-service teachers level of TPACK.
- 2. For further objective, the writer will investigate whether the preservice teachers' perception on technology integration is in line with the TPACK framework.
- 3. Lastly, the writer is eager to know how TPACK framework can be applied in the actual field when they are doing the teaching practicum.

### E. Significance of the Research

The writer hopes that the result of the study can be useful in two aspects. Theoretically, the finding of the research can be useful to add and support the existing theory about Technological, Pedagogical and Content Knowledge (TPACK) that has been popular in technology-related research field lately. Practically, the writer hopes that this research gives many benefits to teachers who are in charge in teacher training school, pre-service teachers, next researcher, and especially to the writer. For teachers who teach in teacher educator program, to motivate them in shaping their students so that they are ready to face the real teaching situation, and for the pre-service teachers, to get a better learning process so that they can be more ready to educate their own students. For the readers or the next researchers, to get more information about the TPACK framework in order to do their research, and also for the writer who is a teacher too, to help me later using technology properly in my classrooms.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abbitt, J. (2011). Measuring technological pedagogical content knowledge in preservice teacher education: A review of current methods and instruments. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 43*(4), 281-300.
- Akkoyunlu, B. (2002). Educational technology in Turkey: past, present and future. *Educational Media International*, 39(2), 165-174.
- Angeli, C., & Valanides, N. (2005). Pre-service elementary teachers as information and communication technology designers: An instructional systems design model based on an expanded view of pedagogical content knowledge. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 21, 292–302.
- Baser, D., Kopcha, T. J. and Ozden, M. Y. (2015). Developing a Technological pedagogical and content knowledge (TPACK) assessment for pre-service teachers learning to teach English as a foreign language. *Computer* Assisted Language Learning.
- Baxter, J. A., & Lederman, N. G. (1999). Assessment and measurement of pedagogical content knowledge. In J. Gess-Newsome & N. G. Lederman (Eds.), *Examining pedagogical content knowledge: The construct and its implications for science education* (pp. 147–162). Boston, MA: Kluwer Academic.
- Brantley-Dias, L., Kinuthia, W., Shoffner, M. B., DeCastro, C., & Rigole, N. (2007). Developing pedagogical technology integration content knowledge in preservice teachers: A case study approach. *Journal of Research on Computing in Teacher Education*, 23(4), 143–149.
- Buckley J.W. and Chiang, H. (1976). *Research Methodology and Business Decisions*. Canada: Natl Assoc of Accat.
- Cahyono B.Y., Kurnianti, O.D. and Mutiaraningrum, Ira. (2016). Indonesian EFL Teachers' Application of TPACK in In-Service Education Teaching Practice. International Journal of English Language Teaching, 4 (5), 16-30.
- Cetin-Berber, D and Erdem, A. R. (2015). An investigation of Turkish pre-service teachers' technological, pedagogical and content knowledge. *Computers*, 4, 234-250.
- Chai, C.-S., Koh, J. H.-L., & Tsai, C.-C. (2013). A Review of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge. *Educational Technology & Society*, 16 (2), 31–51.

- Cox, S. (2008). A conceptual analysis of technological pedagogical content knowledge (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from ProQuest Dissertation and Theses. (AAT 3318618)
- Creswell, J.W. (2013). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design Choosing among Five Approaches.* Third Edition. United States of America: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Crotty, M. (1998). *The Foundations of Social Research: Meaning and Perspective in the Research Process.* Thousand Oaks, California: Sage.
- Davies et al. (2013). A single-column model ensemble approach applied to the TWP-ICE experiment. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118(12), 6544-6563.
- Doering, A., Veletsianos, G., Scharber, C., & Miller, C. (2009). Using the technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge framework to design online learning environments and professional development. *Journal of Educational Computing Research 41*, 319–346.
- Hacker, D. J., & Niederhauser, D. S. (2000). Promoting deep and durable learning in the online classroom. In R. E. Weiss, D. S. Knowlton, & B. W. Speck (Eds.), Principles of effective teaching in the online classroom (pp. 53– 64). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Hofer, M and Grandgenett, N. (2012). TPACK development in teacher education:
   a longitudinal study of pre-service in a secondary M.A.Ed. program.
   Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 45(1), 83-106.
- Hakim, A. (2015). Contribution of Competence Teacher (Pedagogical, Personality, Professional Competence and Social) On the Performance of Learning, The International Journal Of Engineering And Science (IJES), Volume 4 (2), 2319 – 1805.
- Hunter, Jane. (2015). *Technology Integration and High Possibility Classrooms*. New York: Taylor and Francis.
- Jamshed, S. (2014). Qualitative research method-interviewing and observation. *Journal of Basic and Clinical Pharmacy*, 5(4), 87–88.
- Kagan, D. M. (1990). Ways of evaluating teacher cognition: Inferences concerning the Goldilocks principle. *Review of Educational Research*, 60, 419–469.

- Kalpidou, Maria et al. (2011). The relationship between Facebook and the wellbeing of undergraduate college students. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking,* 4(4).
- Karaca, F. (2015). An investigation of pre-service teachers' technological pedagogical content knowledge based on a variety characteristics. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 4(4), 353-365.
- Koehler, M. J., & Mishra, P. (2005). What happens when teachers design educational technology? The development of technological pedagogical content knowledge. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 32(2), 131-152.
- Kose, Naran Kayacan. (2016). Technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK) of English language instructors. *Journal of Education and Instructional Studies, 6*(22), 12-19.
- Kunter, M., Kleickman, T., Klusmann, U. and Richter, D. (2013). Cognitive Activation in the Mathematics Classroom and Professional Competence of Teachers. New York: Springer Science Business Media.
- Lichtman, Marilyn. (2010). *Qualitative Research in Education*. United States of America: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Lynch, A. B. (2014). The use of technological tools in the EFL class. *Revista De Lenouas Modernas*, 20, 427-432.
- Marshall, C., and Rossman, G. B. (1989). *Designing Qualitative Research*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record*, 108, 1017–1054.
- Motteram, G. (2013). Innovations in learning technology for English language teaching. *British Journal of Education Technology*, 45(2).

Molcanova, Suzanna. 2017. https://educationblog.microsoft.com/2017/11/technology-will-neverreplace-teachers-but-a-teacher-who-cannot-teach-with-technology-will-bereplaced-by-another-one-who-can-zuzana-molcanovaslovakia/#XkuYR40PYkFRCLWG.99.

- Niederhauser, D. S., & Stoddart, T. (2001). Teachers instructional perspectives and use of educational software. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 17(1), 15–31.
- Niess, M. L. (2005). Preparing teachers to teach science and mathematics with technology: Developing a technology pedagogical content knowledge. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 21, 509–523.
- Noor, KB. (2008). Case study: A strategic research methodology. *Am J Appl Sci*, 5(4).
- Nunan, D. (1999). Second language teaching & learning. Boston: Heinle & Heinle Publishers.
- Oz, Huseyin. (2015). Assessing pre-service English as a foreign language teachers technological pedagogical content knowledge. *International Educational Studies*, 8(5), 119-130.
- Pantic, N., Wubbels, T. & Mainhard, T. (2011). Teacher competence as a basis for teacher education-comparing views of teachers and teacher educators in five Western Balkan countries. *Comparative Education Review*, 55(2), 165-188.
- Pierson, M. E. (2001). Technology integration practice as a function of pedagogical expertise. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education*, 33, 413–430.
- Puentedura, R. R. (2006). Transformation, technology, and education in the state of Maine [Web log post]. Retrieved from http://www.hippasus.com/rrpweblog/archives/2006\_11.html
- Solanki D. Shyamlee and M Phil. (2012). Use of Technology in English Language Teaching and Learning: An Analysis. *International Conference on Language, Medias and Culture IPEDR*, vol.33, IACSIT Press, Singapore.
- Schulman, L. S. (1986). Those who understand: Knowledge growth in teaching. *Educational Researcher*, 15(2), 4–14.
- Schulman, L. S. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: Foundations of the new reform. *Harvard Educational Review*, 57(1), 1–22.
- Syamsinar and Jabu, Baso. The problems in professional competence of teachers in teaching English subject at vocational high schools. *ELT Worldwide*, 2(2), 95-109.
- Tomlinson, Brian and Whittaker, Claire. (2013). Blended learning in English language teaching. *British Journal of Education Technology*, 49(1).

- Thompson, A. D., & Mishra, P. (2007). Breaking news: TPCK becomes TPACK! Journal of Computing in Teacher Education, 24, 38, 64.
- Voogt, J., Fisser, P., Pareja, N., Tondeur, J., & van Braak, J. (2012). Technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK): A review of the literature. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 29, 109–121.
- Wall, C. R. G. (2016). From student to teacher: changes in preservice teacher educational beliefs throughout the learning-to-teach journey, Teacher Development, 20(3), 364-379.
- Zikmund, W. G. and Babin, B. J. (2013). *Essentials of marketing research* (5th ed.). Louisiana: South Western.

