AN ERROR ANALYSIS OF RECOUNT TEXT WRITING OF THE EIGHTH GRADE STUDENTS OF SMPN 1 TARUMAJAYA IN THE 2018/2019 ACADEMIC YEAR

A PAPER

SUBMITTED AS A PARTIAL FULLFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGRRE OF SARJANA PENDIDIKAN



BY YUSUF DIO 1301065187

THE STUDY PROGRAMME OF ENGLISH EDUCATION
THE SCHOOL OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
THE UNIVERSITY OF MUHAMMADIYAH PROF. DR. HAMKA
JAKARTA
2020

VALIDATION SHEET

: AN ERROR ANALYSIS OF RECOUNT TEXT Title

WRITING OF THE EIGHT GRADE STUDENTS OF

SMPN 1 TARUMAJAYA IN THE 2018/2019

ACADEMIC YEAR

Name

: YUSUF DIO

NIM

: 1301065187

This paper has been presented, examined, and revised based on the advisor and

examiners' suggestions

The study programme: English Education

The school of Teacher Training and Education The Faculty

Muhammadiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA The University The Day and Date

Saturday, February 22nd, 2020

Validated by,

Chairperson : Drs. Zuhad Ahmad, M.Pd

Secretary

Silih Warni, Ph.D.

Advisor I

: Drs. H. Bahrul Hasibuan, M.Ed

Advisor II

: Neti Hartati, M.Pd

Examiner I

: Martriwati, M.Pd

Examiner II

Roslaini, Dr., M.Hum.

Signature

Date

18/02 2021

18/02 2021

19/12 2020

18/02 2021

Dr. Desvian Bandarsyah, M.Pd

NIDN 03.1712.6903

ABSTRACT

YUSUF DIO. 1301065187. An Error Analysis Of Recount Text Writing Of The Eight Grade Students Of SMPN 1 Tarumajaya In The 2018/2019 Academic Year. The school Training and Education, The University of Muhammadiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA. Jakarta, 2020.

This research was held at SMPN 1 Tarumajaya. The objective of the study is to find the empirical evidence of the most common types and sources of error that the eight grade students of SMPN 1 Tarumajaya made in their recount writing in the 2018/2019 academic years. The sample of this study were 30 students of VIII-2 class.

The writer used errors analysis and descriptive analysis as methods of research. He found that top three typical errors that the students made were capitalization with 69 or 16.1% errors, word choice with 66 or 15.4% errors, and verb tense 62 or 14.5% errors. The down three typical errors that the students made were Run on sentence with 10 or 2.3% errors, meaning not clear with 5 or 1.2% errors, incomplete sentence with 1 or 0.2% errors. Meanwhile, the highest source of the students' error was communication strategy with 166 or 38.7 % errors, and the lowest source of the students' error was context of learning with 19 or 4.4% errors.

It can be concluded that the teacher needs to give more explanation about the term capitalization, word choice, and verb tense to the students. It is proven that the top three types error are capitalization, word choice, and verb tense. Moreover, the benefits of the research result are to give the information about the types and the sources of error that the eighth grade students of SMPN 1 Tarumajaya made and to give appropriate remedial teaching in order to reduce the students' errors in capitalization, word choice, and verb tense.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VA	ALIDATION SHEET	i
ΑB	BSTRACT	ii
AC	CKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
TA	ABLE OF CONTENT	vi
	IST OF TABLES	
	IST OF FIGURES	
LIS	IST OF A <mark>PP</mark> ENDICES	X
CE	HAPTER I INTRODUCTION	
	A. The Background of the Study Problem	1
	B. The Question of the Research	
	C. The Objective of Study	
	D. The Significance of Study	
OT.	HADEED HURHEODERICAL EDAMENTODY	
CE	HAPTER II THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	
	A. Writing	5
	1. The Understanding of Writing	
	2. The Purposes of Writing	7
	3. The Types of Writing	
	B. Recount Text	10
	1. The Understanding of a Recount Text	10
	2. The Generic Structure of a recount text	
	C. Error	12
	1. The Understanding of Error	12
	2. The Differences between Error and Mistake	
	3. The Sources of Error	15
	4. Types of Error	18
	D. Error Analysis	
	1. The Understanding of Error Analysis	
	2. The Objectives of Error Analysis	

	3. The Procedures of Error Analysis	22
C	HAPTER III STUDY METHODOLOGY	
	A. The Place and Time of the Study	25
	B. The Population and Sample of the Study	25
	C. The Method of the Study	25
	D. The Instrument of the Study	
	E. The Technique of Data Analysis	26
	F. The Procedure of Research	
C	HAPTER IV RESEARCH FINDING	
	A. The Description and Analysis of Data	30
	B. Verbal description and Analysis	37
	C. The Sources of Errors	43
	D. The Interpretation of the Data	45
C	HAPTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	
	A. Conclusion	46
	B. Suggestion	46
REFERENCES		

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

A. The Background of the Study

In Indonesia, English is taught in every level of education. It is taught as one of the compulsory subjects in the secondary schools. English is not only taught to students as a part of curriculum or syllabus in educational system, but also as a need to join a global communication.

In Indonesia, English is the first foreign language. In formal education, English is learned from the elementary school up to the university level with different status. In elementary school, it is taught as a local-content subject, mata pelajaran Muatan lokal (Mulok). In junior schools, it is taught as a compulsory subject and while in university level, it is taught as a complementary subject. Students are expected to be able to use English.

There are four skills that students have to learn, they are listening, speaking, reading, and writing. Listening and reading are receptive skills in which students receive information by listening to an oral text or reading a written text. Speaking and writing are productive skills in which students produce oral or written language. Of the four language skills, writing is considered as the most difficult skill to learn since it does not only require a lot of knowledge of language component but also a lot energy and time to practice.

There are some kinds of texts that students have to learn, among others recount text, descriptive text, narrative text, etc. A recount is a piece of text

that retells past events, usually in the order in which they occurred. Its purpose is to provide the audience with a description of what occurred and when it occurred Anderson and Anderson (1998, p.24). One of the texts that close to the student's life is recount text because it is a test that retells past events which the place and occurred events flow smoothly based on the sequence of time. It differs from narrative text which the climax and the resolution of problem must be created in the story. Therefore the students can explore their interesting or unforgettable experiences they already had or people around them in recount text. Due to the events they already went through smoothly, so they do not need to struggle on how to make it happen, and it could have motivated them in writing. In fact, most of them considered writing as a burden because of some reasons related in using the proper lexical and grammatical rules. Therefore the writer decided to choose recount text as students' writing activity this research.

The writer is an alumnus of SMPN 1 Tarumajaya. This school is located on Jl. Tarumajaya Raya No.2, Pantai Makmur, Tarumajaya, Bekasi, Jawa Barat 17212. On January 2008, the writer did many mistakes and errors at this school when he wrote a short paragraph about his activity.

Most of the students make errors in many areas of grammatical structure such as; singular – plural, word form, word choice, verb tense, add a word, omit a word, word order, incomplete sentence, spelling, punctuation, capitalization, article, meaning not unclear, and run on sentence Azar (1989, p. A29-A30).

According to Brown (2000,p.224-227), the sources of those errors are Interlingual Transfer, Intralingual Transfer, Context of Learning, and Communication strategies.

This condition inspires the writer to conduct research in analyzing students' grammatical error in writing and finding out the sources of their errors. That is the reason why the writer choose "An Error Analysis of Recount Text Writing of the Eighth grade students of SMPN 1 Tarumajaya in the 2018/2019 Academic years" as the title of this paper. This research is conducted by using a case study in the eighth-grade students of SMPN 1 Tarumajaya.

B. The Question of the Research

Based on the background of the study above, the problem of this study can be formulated as follow"What types of errors do the eighth grade students of SMPN 1 Tarumajaya in the 2018/2019 academic years mostly make in their writing of recount text and what are the sources of the errors?"

C. The Objective of Study

Based on the formulation of the problem above, the objectives of this study mainly intend as follow:

- 1. To find empirical evidence of the grammatical errors made by eighth grade students of SMPN 1 Tarumajaya in their recount text writing.
- 2. To find the sources of errors made by the students in their recount text writing.

D. The Significance of Study

It is expected that the study will be useful for the writer himself, in particular improvement of his understanding about error analysis and of the readers general.



REFERENCES

- Anderson, Mark and Kathy Anderson. 1998. *Text types in English 3*. South Yarra: Macmillan Education Australia PTY LTD.,
- Azar, Betty Schrampfer. 1998. *Understanding and Using English Grammar*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Anderson, Mark and Kathy Anderson. 1997. Text Types in English 1. Malaysia City: Macmillan.
- Anker, Susan. 2010. Real Writing with Readings: Paragraphs and Essays for Collage, Work, and Everyday Life 5th Edition. Boston: Bedford/St.Martin's.
- Brown, H. Douglas. 2000. *Principles of Language Learning and Teaching* 4th Edition. (New York: Addison Wesley Longman Inc.
- Bowkett, Steve. Countdown to Non-Fiction: Step by Step Approach to Writing Techniques for 7-12 Years. New York: Routledge.
- Celce-Murcia, Marianne and Ellite Olshtain. 2000. Discourse and context in language Teaching. Cambridge: Cambridge University press.
- Corder, S.P. 1981. Error Analysis and Interlanguage. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Eliot, Norbert., et al., 1990. A Program Development Handbook for the Holistic Assessment of Writing. London: Blackwell Publishing.
- Ellis, Rod. 1997. Second Language Acquisition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ellis, Rod and Garry Barkhuzein. 2005. *Analyzing Learner Language*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Fisiak, Jacek. 1981. Contrastive Linguistic and Language Teacher. New York: Pergamon Press Ltd.
- Grenville, Kate. 2001. Writing from Start to Finish: A Six-Step Guide. Sydney: Allen & Unwin.
- Gass, M. Susan and Larry Selinker. 2008. *Second Language Acquisition, an Introductory Course* 3rd Edition. New York: Routledge Taylor & Francis Group.

- Hyland, Ken. 2003. *Second Language Writing*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Hyland, Ken. 2003. Writing from Start to Finish: A Six-Step Guide. Sydney: Allen & Unwin.
- Hubbard, Peter et al. 1983. A Training course for TEFL. New York: Oxford University press.
- Harmer, Jeremy. 2001. *The Practice of English Language Teaching* 3rd Edition. New York: Longman.
- James, Carl. 1998. *Error in Language Learning and Use*. New York: Pearson Education Limited.
- Knapp, Peter and Megan Watkins. 2005. Genre Text Grammar: Technologies for Teaching and Assessing Writing. Sydney: University of New South Wales Press Ltd.
- Keshavarz, Mohammad Hossein. 2012. Contrastive Analysis & Error Analysis. New Edition. Tehran: Rahnama Press.
- Kurikulum Tingkat Satuan Pendidikan (KTSP). 2006. Grenville, Kate. 2001. Silabus Pembelajaran Bahasa Inggris Sekolah Menengah Pertama.
- Profesional Development Service for Teacher. 2013. "Writing genre A structured Approach" Retrived: May, 21st 2017 from: http://www.pdst.ie/sites/default/files/Writing%20Booklet%20to%20circulate.pdf.
- Palmer, Sue. 2011. *How to Teach Writing Across Curriculum* 2nd Edition. New York: Routledge.
- Rogers, Henry. 2005. Writing Systems: A Linguistic Approach. Malden: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Ur, Penny. 1996. A course in Language Teaching: Practice and Theory. New York: Cambridge University Press.