Ni Putu Ermi Hikmawanti-The Effect of Ethanol Concentrations as The Extraction Solvent on Antioxidant Activity of Katuk (Sauropus androgynus (L.) Merr.) Leaves Extracts

by Ni Putu Ermi Hikmawanti Uploaded By Wida

Submission date: 16-Sep-2021 09:47AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1649566442

File name: ti Farmasi Turnitin ke-1 Katuk pro - Ni Putu Ermi Hikmawanti.pdf (328.95K)

Word count: 4207

Character count: 22603

PAPER OPEN ACCESS

The Effect of Ethanol Concentrations as The Extraction Solvent on Antioxidant Activity of Katuk (Sauropus androgynus (L.) Merr.) Leaves Extracts

To cite this article: Ni Putu Ermi Hikmawanti et al 2021 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 755 012060



doi:10.1088/1755-1315/755/1/012060

The Effect of Ethanol Concentrations as The Extraction Solvent on Antioxidant Activity of Katuk (Sauropus androgynus (L.) Merr.) Leaves Extracts

Ni Putu Ermi Hikmawanti*, Sofia Fatmawati, Anindita Wulan Asri

Faculty of Pharmacy and Science, Universitas Muhammadiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA Klender, East Jakarta, DKI Jakarta, 13460, Indonesia

Abstract. Katuk is widely popular with its ber 23 s for breastfeeding mothers. Katuk is also known as a plant with a high antioxidant content. This study aims to determine the 24 feet of using variations in the ethanol concentration as an extracting solvent in producing Total Phenolics Content (TPC) and Total Flavonoids Content (TFC) and their activities in reducing DPPH free radicals. The dried katuk leaves were extracted by cold maceration method. The solvent used for extraction is ethanol with 3 variations in concentration: 50%, 70%, and 96% (absolute ethanol). TPC and TFC were determined by colorimetric method using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. TPC 25s stated to be equivalent to gallic acid, while TFC was stated to be equivalent to quercetin. DPPH free radical scavenging activity was measured based on the IC50 value. The results showed that Katuk leaf extract produced from 50% ethanol solvent was able to produce TPC (42.18 \pm 0.30 mgGAE / g), TFC (11.18 \pm 0.38 mgQE / g) and reduction activity against DPPH radicals (IC50 = 88.33 \pm 3.53 ppm). These were higher than ethanol with other concentrations. However, various things need to be considered when using this solvent given the high water content in the solvent.

1. Introduction

Sauropus androgynus (L.) Merr in Indonesia is known as katuk (family Phyllanthaceae). Katuk contains high nutrients in the form of vitamins, minerals, fiber, lipids, carbohydrates, as well as bioactive compounds such as phenolics, tannins, flavonoids, anthocyanins, phytosterols, and so on. Katuk is included in the group of green vegetables with ethnomedicine potential, including as an antitussive, tonic, antipyretic, breast milk facilitator, and others (Petrus, 2013). Katuk was also reported to have aphrodisiac activity (Rusdi et al., 2018) and fertility enhancers in male rats given orally (Hikmawanti et al., 2020). The antioxidant capacity of katuk leaves associated with various pharmacological activities has also been discovered such as antimicrobial, cytotoxic against cancer cells, anti-inflammatory and wound healing (Petrus, 2013; Khoo et al., 2015).

Antioxidants are substances that are used in small concentrations to inhibit and/or to reduce oxidation caused by an oxidant. Plants are a source of natural ingredients with great natural antioxidant potentials, such as phenolic compounds (phenolic acids, flavonoids, tannins, anthocyanins, liginins), carotenoids, vitamins (vitamins A, C, E) and so on. To obtain these antioxidant compounds, an extraction pocess is necessary (Alternimi et al., 2017). Extraction is a procedure performed to obtain metabolites in plants such as alkaloids, phenolics, flavonoids, glycosides, and others using selective solvents. Solvents

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd

^{*}ermy0907@uhamka.ac.id

ACHOST 2020 IOP Publishing

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/755/1/012060

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 755 (2021) 012060

selection is one of the stages of preparation for extraction (Azwanida, 2015). The selectivity of the solvent to extract the target compound from a plant material is related to the polarity compatibility of the two. Ethanol is a solvent that is safe for human consumption as a solvent partial substances for both food and natural medicinal purposes. Absolute ethanol and aqueous ethanol have been used successfully to extract phenolic derivative antioxidant compounds from natural ingredients with good results. (Sultana et al., 2009).

The purpose of this study is to determine the total phenolic content (TPC), total flavonoid levels (TFC), and the antioxidant activity against DPPH radicals from katuk leaf extract produced from three types of variations in the ethanol concentrations as an extracting solvent (50%, 70% and 96%). It is important to discover ethanol concentrations to produce katuk leaf extract with a high content of antioxidant compounds. In addition, with the right solvent, extracts with guaranteed safety and quality can be produced and applied widely.

2. Methodology

Materials

Fresh leaves of katuk were obtained from the Biopharmaca Cultivation Conservation Unit (UKBB), Center for Tropical Biopharmaca Study, LPPM IPB, Bogor, 13st Java, Indonesia. Plants were determined in the same place. Ethanol as a solvent 12action in analytical grade was obtained from Merck in Darmstadt, Germany. Gallic acid, quercetin, and DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co. in St. Louis, the US.

Extraction

The extraction of dried katuk leaf powder (150.0 g) was carried out by cold maceration method following the Indonesian Herb Pharmacopoeia. The solvent used was ethanol 22 ith various concentrations, namely 50%, 70% and 96% (absolute ethanol). Each extraction process was carried out for 24 hours. The filtrate was separated from the residue using Whatmann fils paper. The residue was remacerated 3 times. The filtrate from each solvent was collected and evaporated using a rotary evaporator N-1200 BS series from EYELA (Shanghai, China) at 50 ° C and using a water bath at 50 ° C until a thick extract was obtained (Ministry of Health Republic of Indonesia, 2008).

Determination of the Physico-Chemical Properties of the Extract

The physico-chemical parameters of katuk leaf extract including organoleptic, percentage of extract yield, drying loss, and total ash content were determined according to the procedure stated in the Indonesian Herb Pharmacopoeia (Ministry of Health Republic of Indonesia, 2008).

Phytochemical screening

Phytochemical screening was carried out qualitatively using detection r₄₅ ents based on the procedures explained in Hanani (2015) and the Indonesian Herb Pharmacopoeia (Ministry of Health Republic of Indonesia, 2008). The classes of compounds identified in the extract included phenolics, flavonoids, tannins, saponins, alkaloids, steroids and triterpenoids.

Determination of Total Phenolics Content (TPC)

TPC determination followed Yang et al., (2007)'s procedure with modification using Folin-Ciocalteu Reagent (FCR) and gallic acid as standard. The calibration curve was made using gallic acid solution with a concentration range of 18-66 ppm. The relationship between the concentration of gallic acid (x) and its absorbance (y) was plotted to produce a linear line equation ($y = bx \pm a$). Each 1000 ppm katuk leaf ethanol extract in ethanol was pipetted as much as 0.3 mL. It was then added 1.5 mL FCR reagazit (which has been diluted 1:10) and homogenized. The solution was 13 ubated for 3 minutes, then 1.2 mL of 7.5% Na₂CO₃ solutio 17 nd water were added to obtain a total volume of 10 mL. The mixture was incubated again for 60 minutes at a room temperature. The absorbance of the extract solution was measured using a UV-Vis Shimadzu UV-1601 Series (Kyoto, Japan) spectrophotometer with a

IOP Publishing

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 755 (2021) 012060

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/755/1/012060



maximum wavelength of 756.5 nm at room temperature. The absorbance obtained was plotted into a linear line equation and then the TPC was calculated using the formula: phenolic content in solution (µg / mL) multiplied by the dilution factor and the solution volume (mL) and divided by the extract weight (g). TPC was expressed in mg which is equivalent to gallic acid per gram of extract. Each extract was tested with 5 repetitions and reported as mean \pm SD.

Determination of Total Flavonoids Content (TFC)

TFC determination followed the procedure in Chang et al., (2002) with modifica sons. The standard used was quercetin. Quercetin solutions with a concentration range of 33-129 ppm were used to create a calibration curve. The relationship between the concentration of quercetin (x) and its absorbance (y) was plotted to produce a linear line equation (y = bx a). Each ethanol extract of katuk leaves with a concentration of 7500 ppm in methanol was pipetted 0.5 mL. It was then added 1.5 mL of methanol and 0.1 mL of 10% AlCl₃. 0.1 mL of sodium acetate 1M was added and made sufficient with aquadest up to 5 mL. The solution was incubated for 60 minutes at room temperature. The absorbance of the extract solution was measured with a UV-Vis Shimadzu UV-1601 Series (Kyoto, Japan) spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 434 nm. The absorbance obtained was plotted into a linear line equation and then the TFC was calculated using the formula: the level of flavonoids in solution (µg / mL) multiplied by the dilution factor and the solution volume (mL) and divided by the extract weight (g). TFC was expressed in mg which is equivalent to quercetin per gram of extract. Each extract was tested with 5 repetitions and reported as mean \pm SD.



Antioxidant Activity Testing



The antioxidant activity test of katuk leaf extract was carried out using the DPPH method following the procedure of Wan et al., (2011) with modifications. Quercetin was used as a comparison. The extract was dissolved in meta and diluted into 5 variations of concentration: 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 ppm. Quercetin solution was dissolved in methanol and diluted to 2, 4, 6, 20 and 10 ppm. Each variation of the concentration extract solution or quercetin was pipetted 1 mL and was added 1 mL of fresh 0.5 mM DPPH in methanol. The solution was made sufficient 4 vith methanol to a total volume of 5 mL. The mixture in a tube lined with aluminum foil paper was incubated for 30 minutes at a room temperature in d 32 conditions. Blank solution was made from 1 mL DPPH mixed with 4 mL methanol. Furthermore, the absorption was neasured at a wavelength of 515.5 nm. Each concentration series of each sample was tested triplo. Results were reported as mean ± SD. The calculation of the percentage of DPPH radical inhibition used the formula:

Inhibition of DPPH (%) =
$$\frac{Ab - As}{Ab} \times \frac{11}{100}$$

where Ab is the absorbance of the blank and As is the absorbance of the sample.

Pata Analysis

Data were analyzed with a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's test ($\alpha \le 0.05$).

3. Result and Discussion

The ethanol extract of katuk leaves in this study had organoleptic characteristics with a distinctive odor, thick shape, slightly sweet taste and dark brown color (Table 1). The three extracts had an ash content value in the range 5.74-8.23%, w / w. Ash content describes the amount of inorganic material contained in the katuk leaf extract, both obtained internally by plants and during the extraction process. The percentage of ash content of the katuk leaf ethanol extract obtained in this study (<10%) indicated that the extract had a fairly good quality. Ash content is a contaminant parameter that is difficult to remove in extracts but can still be controlled by proper post-harvest handling of plants and a maintained extraction process (Kunle et al., 2012). The drying shrinkage value describes the amount of compound lost during the 105°C heating process for several hours. The drying shrinkage value of the three katuk IOP Publishing

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 755 (2021) 012060

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/755/1/012060

leaf extracts was in the range of 1.96-4.96%, w/w (<5%) (Table 1.). This value range showed that the ethanol extract of katuk leaves had a good quality.

The characteristic parameter 16 he extract determined was the chemical content of the ethanol extract of katuk leaves. The results of phytochemical screening of the three ethanol extracts of katuk leaves showed the presence of alkaloids, phenolics, flavonoids, tannins, saponins and steroids (Table 2.). Although the ethanol solvent used for extraction was from different concentrations, it was still able to attract the same type of compound class to the katuk leaves. Ethanol has the ability to attract glycosides (Houghton & Raman, 1998), polygiz tylenes, sterols (Tiwari et al., 2011), polyphenols, tannins, flavonols, terpenoids, and alkaloids (Azmir et al., 2013).

The choice of ethanol as the extraction solvent was considered to provide many advantages over other organic solvents, which is relatively safer (less toxic). Ethanol is a protic organic solvent with a polarity index value of 5.2 (Synder, 1974; Abarca-Vargas et al., 2016) and a dielectric constant of 24.55. Absolute ethanol contains 0.01% by volume of water (Covington & Dickinson, 1973). The 50% ethanol solvent in this study was able to extract more metabolites from the dry powder of katuk leaves (37.77%) than other ethanol solvents (70% ethanol> 96% ethanol). The ethanol solvent polarity of the three concentrations used in this study was influenced by the high concentration of water contained in ethanol. The more water contained in it, the higher its polarity compared to absolute ethanol (Tiwari et al., 2011). Solvents with high polarity had the ability to extract a class of compounds with a wider polarity. This allowed non-phenolic polar compounds such as carbohydrates and proteins to be dissolved during the extraction process which resulted in increased extraction yields (Do et al., 2014).

Phenolic is a plant-produced metabolite with a distinctive structure in the form of an aromatic that binds to one or more -OH rings. Meanwhile, flavonoids are phenolic derivative pigment metabolites which are widely found mainly in higher plants. One of the quantitative determinations of phenolics and flavonoids can be done colorimetrically using the UV-Vis spectrophotometer technique (Khoddami et al., 2013). This technique is considered relatively simple, fast, and is able to provide satisfactory 44 intitative results (Cong-cong et al., 2017). Quantitative determination of phenolics was carried out using the Folin-Ciocalteu Reagent (FCR) containing tungsten and molybdenum. The product of this reaction was a blue solution ((PMoW11O40)4) which was absorbed at a wavelength of about 760-765 nm (Prior et al., 2005; Khoddami et al., 2013). FCR has a weakness, namely that this reagent is also able to react with other compounds such as vitamin C, aromatic amines and saccharides (Cong-cong et al., 2017). Meanwhile, the quantitative determination of flavonoids was carried out using 10% AlCl₃ reagent which was carried out under alkaline conditions such as if 7.5-20% Na₂CO₃ was added. 136 uptake of this reaction product can be measured at a wavelength of about 410-423 nm (Khoddami et al., 2013). Based on the results in Table 3., the best TPC (42.18 mgGAE / g) and TFC (11.18 mgQE / g) were obtained in katuk leaf extract extracted with 50% ethanol (70% ethanol> 96% ethanol) solvent. These results indicated that 50% ethanol solvent is the best solvent for extracting antioxidant compounds from katuk leaves. Petrus (2013) explained that dried katuk leaves had TPC and TFC of 1150.95-2300.00 $mgGAE\,/\,100$ g and $1040\,mgRE\,/\,100$ g, respectively.

Antioxidant activity testing in this study was carried out using DPPH radicals. This method is considered relatively inexpensive, effective, efficient and has good sensitivity of a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. DPPH is a free radical with a dark blue color. With the presence of an antioxidant, the antioxidant would donate its Hydrogen atom to the DPPH radical (becoming 40)PPH-H). This reaction is characterized by a decrease in absorbance due to DPPH loses its reactivity (Alam et al., 2013; Huyut et al., 2017). The range of quercetin concentrations as a comparison used in this test was 2-10 ppm. Meanwhile, the extract concentration used was 20-100 ppm. The concentration range used was adjusted to the reactivity of each material against the DPPH radical. In addition, other considerations were based 29 he limitations of the minimum and maximum sample absorption values that can still be read by the UV-Vis spectroph 43 meter instrument at a wavelength of 515-517 nm (Maesaroh et al., 2018). The antioxidant activity in this study was determined based on the IC₅₀ value of the sample. The IC50 value showed the sample concentration needed to inhibit 50% of DPPH radicals. This value was obtained from the results of calculations using linear regression analysis. The smaller the IC₅₀ value of IOP Publishing

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 755 (2021) 012060

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/755/1/012060

an antioxidant, the higher its power to in 37 it free radicals (Do et al., 2014). Based on the results in Table 3., the ethanol extract of 50% katuk leaves had the highest antioxidant activity with an IC50 value of 88.33 ppr 3370% ethanol> 96% ethanol). This showed that the ethanol extract of 50% katuk leaves had a strong DPPH radical scavenging activity (<100 pp14).

The phenolic and flavonoid contents in katuk leaves play an important role in its activity as a source of antioxidants. The number of hydioxyl groups in a phenolic molecule affects its capacity as an antioxidant. Phenolic has a tendency to donate hydrogen ato 31 or electrons from its hydroxyl groups to free radicals (Dai & Mumper, 2010). As for flavonoids, the number and location of the aromatic hydroxyl groups in their structure affect their antioxidant capacity (Fernandez-Panchon et al., 2008).

4. Conclusion

Based on this study, the variation in the concentration of ethanol used as the extraction solvers was able to have an effect on the acquisition of phenolic and flavonoid compounds as well as the DPPH free radical scavenging activity from the katuk leaf extract. The 50% ethanol solvent was able to extract high amounts of phenolic and flavonoids from katuk leaf powder using the cold maceration method compared to ethanol solvent with a concentration of 70% and 96%. The best antioxidant activity was also obtained from katuk leaf extract which was extracted using 50% ethanol solvent. Thus, the selection of this solvent was proven to be easy and efficient to use to produce katuk leaf extract which is rich in antioxidants, both as a raw material for functional food and for natural medicine. However, it is necessary to consider again the amount of time and high energy required in the evaporation process, as well as the stability associated with the safety of the extract produced from the solvent so that the quality and quality of the extract are guaranteed.

5. Acknowledgment

48e authors would like to thank Dr. apt. Hadi Sunaryo, M.Si. as Dean of the Faculty of Pharmacy and Science, Universitas Muhammadiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA for the support of laboratory facilities which made this research completed properly. Our gratitude are also directed to the Scientific Publication Development and Development Unit, Universitas Muhammadiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA who facilitated the publication of this research.

References

- [1] Abarca-Vargas, R., Malacara, C. F. P., & Petricevich, V. L. (2016). Characterization of chemical compounds with antioxidant and cytotoxic activities in bougainvillea x buttiana holttum and standl, (Var. rose) extracts. Antioxidants, 5(45), 1-11.
- [2] Alam, M. N., Bristi, N. J., & Rafiquzzaman, M. (2013). Review on in vivo and in vitro methods evaluation of antioxidant activity. Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal, 21(2), 143-152.
- [3] Altemimi, A., Lakhssassi, N., Baharlouei, A., & Watson, D. G. (2017). Phytochemicals: Extraction, Isolation, and Identification of Bioactive Compounds from Plant Extracts. Plants, 6(42), 1–23.
- Azmir, J., Zaidul, I. S. M., Rahman, M. M., Sharif, K. M., Mohamed, A., Sahena, F., ... Omar, [4] A. K. M. (2013). Techniques for extraction of bioactive compounds from plant materials: A review. Journal of Food Engineering, 117(4), 426-436.
- [5] Azwanida, N. N. (2015). Medicinal & Aromatic Plants A Review on the Extraction Methods Use in Medicinal Plants, Principle, Strength and Limitation. Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, 4(3), 1–6.
- [6] Chang, C.-C., Yang, M.-H., Wen, H.-M., & Chern, J.-C. (2002). Estimation of Total Flavonoid Content in Propolis by Two Complementary Colorimetric Methods. Journal of Food and Drug Analysis, 10(3), 178-182.
- [7] Cong-cong, X. U., Bing, W., Yi-qiong, P., Jian-sheng, T. A. O., & Tong, Z. (2017). Advances in extraction and analysis of phenolic compounds from plant materials. Chinese Journal of

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 755 (2021) 012060 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/755/1/012060

- Natural Medicines, 15(10), 0721-0731.
- [8] Covington, A. K. ., & Dickinson, T. (1973). Introduction and Solvent Properties. In Physical Chemistry of Organic Solvent Systems (p. 5). New York: Plenum Press.
- [9] Dai, J., & Mumper, R. J. (2010). Plant phenolics: Extraction, analysis and their antioxidant and anticancer properties. *Molecules*, 15(10), 7313–7352.
- [10] Do, Q. D., Angkawijaya, A. E., Tran-Nguyen, P. L., Huynh, L. H., Soetaredjo, F. E., Ismadji, S., & Ju, Y.-H. (2014). Effect of extraction solvent on total phenol content, total flavonoid content, and antioxidant activity of Limnophila aromatica. *Journal of Food and Drug Analysis*, 22, 296–302.
- [11] Fernandez-Panchon, M. S., Villano, D., Troncoso, A. M., & Garcia-Parrilla, M. C. (2008). Antioxidant Activity of Phenolic Compounds: From In Vitro Results to In Vivo Evidence. Critical Review in Food Science and Nutrition, 48, 649–671.
- [12] Hanani, E. (2015). Analisis Fitokimia (In Bahasa). Jakarta: Buku Kedokteran EGC.
- [13] Hikmawanti, N. P. E., Rusdi, N. K., & Yulida, S. (2020). Evaluation of sperm quality in male rats treated with Sauropus androgynus (L.) Merr. leaf fractions. *Pharmaciana*, 10(2), 193–200.
- [14] Houghton, P. J. ., & Raman, A. (1998). Laboratory Handbook for the Fractionation of Natural Extracts. In Laboratory Handbook for the Fractionation of Natural Extracts. London: Springer Science Business Media.
- [15] Huyut, Z., Beydemir, F. ., & Gülçin, E. (2017). Antioxidant and Antiradical Properties of Selected Flavonoids and Phenolic Compounds. *Biochemistry Research International*, 2017, 1– 10.
- [16] Khoddami, A., Wilkes, M. A., & Roberts, T. H. (2013). Techniques for analysis of plant phenolic compounds. *Molecules*, 18(2), 2328–2375.
- [17] Khoo, H. E., Azlan, A., & Ismail, A. (2015). Sauropus androgynus Leaves for Health Benefits: Hype and the Science. *The Natural Products Journal*, 5, 115–123.
- [18] Kunle, O. F. ., Egharevba, H. O. ., & Ahmadu, P. O. (2012). Standardization of Herbal Medicines - A Review. *International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation*, 4(3), 101–112.
- [19] Maesaroh, K. ., Kurnia, D. ., & Anshori, J. A. (2018). Perbandingan Metode Uji Aktivitas Antioksidan DPPH, FRAP, dan FIC terhadap Asam Askorbat, Asam Galat dan Kuersetin. Chimica et Natura Acta, 6(2), 93–100.
- [20] Ministry of Health Republic of Indonesia. (2008). Farmakope Herbal Indonesia (Indonesian Herb Pharmacopoeia) (1st Ed). Jakarta: Ministry of Health Republic of Indonesia.
- [21] Petrus, A. J. A. (2013). Sauropus androgynus (L.) Merrill a potentially nutritive functional leafy-vegetable. Asian Journal of Chemistry, 25(17), 9425–9433.
- [22] Prior, R. L., Wu, X., & Schaich, K. (2005). Standardized Methods for the Determination of Antioxidant Capacity and Phenolics in Foods and Dietary Supplements. *Journal of Agricultural* and Food Chemistry, 53, 4290–4302.
- [23] Rusdi, N. K., Hikmawanti, N. P. E., Maifitrianti., Ulfah, Y. S., & Annisa, A. T. (2018). Aktivitas Afrodisiaka Fraksi dari Ekstrak Etanol 70% Daun Katuk (Sauropus androgynus (L.) Merr) Pada Tikus Putih Jantan. *Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research (PSR)*, 5(3), 123–132.
- [24] Sultana, B., Anwar, F., & Ashraf, M. (2009). Effect of Extraction Solvent/Technique on the Antioxidant Activity of Selected Medicinal Plant Extracts. *Molecules*, 14, 2167–2180.
- [25] Synder, L. R. (1974). in The Netherlands. *Journal of Chromatography*, 92, 223–230.
- [26] Tiwari, P., Kumar, B., Kaur, M., Kaur, G., & Kaur, H. (2011). Phytochemical screening and Extraction: A Review. *Internationale Pharmaceutica Sciencia*, 1(1), 98–106.
- [27] Wan, C., Yu, Y., Zhou, S., Liu, W., Tian, S., & Cao, S. (2011). Antioxidant activity and free radical-scavenging capacity of Gynura divaricata leaf extracts at different temperatures. *Pharmacognosy Magazine*, 7(25), 40–45.
- [28] Yang, J., Paulino, R., Janke-Stedronsky, S., & Abawi, F. (2007). Free-radical-scavenging activity and total phenols of noni (Morinda citrifolia L.) juice and powder in processing and storage. Food Chemistry, 102(1), 302–308.

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science 755 (2021) 012060 doi:10.1088/1755-1315/755/1/012060

Table 1. Characteristics of Katuk Leaf Ethanol Extract

| No | Parameter | Katuk Leaf Extract | | | |
|----|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| | | Ethanol 50% | Ethanol 70% | Ethanol 96% | |
| 1 | Organoleptis Organoleptic | | | | |
| | Smell | Typical | Typical | Typical | |
| | Shape | Thick extract | Thick extract | Thick extract | |
| | Taste | Little sweet | Little sweet | Little sweet | |
| | Color | Dark brown | Dark brown | Dark brown | |
| 2 | Extraction Yield (%, w/w) | 37.77 ± 0.93 | $36.26 \pm 3,38$ | 33.55 ± 2.77 | |
| 3 | Ash content (%, w/w) | 5.74 ± 0.48 | 7.13 ± 1.08 | 8.23 ± 0.61 | |
| 4 | Shrink drying (%, w/w) | 4.96 ± 1.19 | 1.96 ± 0.75 | 4.06 ± 0.82 | |

Note: Data presented are mean $(n = 5) \pm SD$.

Table 2. Results of Phytochemical Screening of Ethanol Extract of Katuk Leaves

| No 9 | Compound Group | Katuk Leaf Extract | | | |
|---------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | | Ethanol 50% | Ethanol 70% | Ethanol 96% | |
| 1 | Alkaloids | + | + | + | |
| 2 | Phenolic | + | + | + | |
| 3 | Flavonoids | + | + | + | |
| 4 | Tannin | + | + | + | |
| 5 | Saponins | + | + | + | |
| 6 | Steroids | + | + | + | |
| 7 | Terpenoids | - | - | - | |

Description: (+) = detected compound identified; (-) = no identified compound was detected

Table 3. Total Phenolic and Flavonoid Levels of Katuk Leaf Ethanol Extract

| Parameter | | Katuk Leaf Extract | |
|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Ethanol 50% | Ethanol 70% | Ethanol 96% |
| TPC (mgGAE/g) | $42.18 \pm 0.30^*$ | 25.33 ± 0.13 | 16.25 ±0.16 |
| TFC (mgQE/g) | $11.18 \pm 0.38^*$ | 8.87 ± 0.14 | 5.68 ± 0.34 |
| IC ₅₀ (ppm) | 88.33 ± 3.53 | 90.04 ± 1.00 | $95.73 \pm 2.95^*$ |

Note: Data presented are mean $(n = 5) \pm SD$. * It differs significantly from other extracts $(\alpha = 0.05)$.

Ni Putu Ermi Hikmawanti-The Effect of Ethanol Concentrations as The Extraction Solvent on Antioxidant Activity of Katuk (Sauropus androgynus (L.) Merr.) Leaves Extracts

| ORIGINA | LITY REPORT | | | |
|---------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | 0% RITY INDEX | 16% INTERNET SOURCES | 14% PUBLICATIONS | 9% STUDENT PAPERS |
| PRIMARY | / SOURCES | | | |
| 1 | publikat Internet Source | ionen.bibliothek | k.kit.edu | 2% |
| 2 | umpir.u Internet Source | mp.edu.my | | 2% |
| 3 | Submitt Student Pape | ed to Segi Unive | ersity College | 1 % |
| 4 | llufb.llu. | | | 1 % |
| 5 | ijpsr.cor | | | 1 % |
| 6 | WWW.res | searchgate.net | | 1 % |
| 7 | WWW.MC | | | 1 % |
| 8 | www.hir | ndawi.com | | 1 % |
| | | | | |

mafiadoc.com

Abdulmalik, IA, MI Sule, AH Yaro, MI Abdullahi, ME Abdulkadir, and H Yusuf. "Evaluation of analgesic and anti-inflammatory effects of ethanol extract of *Ficus iteophylla* leaves in rodents", African Journal of Traditional

Complementary and Alternative Medicines, 2011.

Publication

| 17 | Submitted to University of Wolverhampton Student Paper | <1% |
|----|--|-----|
| 18 | journal.uad.ac.id Internet Source | <1% |
| 19 | www.39kf.com Internet Source | <1% |
| 20 | KARLA M.M. DA SILVA, ANDREA B. DA NÓBREGA, BRUNO LESSA, MARIA CAROLINA ANHOLETI et al. "Clusia criuva Cambess. (Clusiaceae): anatomical characterization, chemical prospecting and antioxidant activity", Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências, 2017 Publication | <1% |
| 21 | pertambangan.fst.uinjkt.ac.id Internet Source | <1% |
| 22 | Tasnuva Sarwar Tunna, Md. Zaidul Islam Sarker, Kashif Ghafoor, Sahena Ferdosh et al. "Enrichment, , and quantification study of antidiabetic compounds from neglected weed using supercritical CO and CO -Soxhlet ", Separation Science and Technology, 2017 Publication | <1% |

31

M. S. Fernandez-Panchon, D. Villano, A. M. Troncoso, M. C. Garcia-Parrilla. " Antioxidant Activity of Phenolic Compounds: From Results to Evidence ", Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 2008

<1%

Publication

32

Ni Luh Putu Mita Rismayanti, Amir Husni. "Antioxidant activity of methanolic extract of Eucheuma spinosum extracted using a microwave", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2021

<1%

Publication

33

Pier-Giorgio Pietta. "Flavonoids as Antioxidants", Journal of Natural Products, 2000

<1%

Publication

34

Wachiraya Juttuporn, Patcharin Thiengkaew, Akkaratch Rodklongtan, Mangkorn Rodprapakorn, Pakamon Chitprasert. "Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction of Antioxidant and Antibacterial Phenolic Compounds from Steam-Exploded Sugarcane Bagasse", Sugar Tech, 2018 <1%

Publication

35

White, Pollyanna, Rita Oliveira, Aldeidia Oliveira, Mairim Serafini, Adriano Araújo, Daniel Gelain, Jose Moreira, Jackson Almeida,

<1%

Jullyana Quintans, Lucindo Quintans-Junior, and Marcio Santos. "Antioxidant Activity and Mechanisms of Action of Natural Compounds Isolated from Lichens: A Systematic Review", Molecules, 2014.

Publication

| 36 | eprints.nottingham.ac.uk Internet Source | <1% |
|----|--|------|
| 37 | idoc.pub Internet Source | <1% |
| 38 | repositorio.ufla.br Internet Source | <1% |
| 39 | repository.um.edu.my Internet Source | <1% |
| 40 | researchspace.ukzn.ac.za Internet Source | <1 % |
| 41 | studentsrepo.um.edu.my Internet Source | <1 % |
| 42 | studylib.net Internet Source | <1% |
| 43 | tsukuba.repo.nii.ac.jp Internet Source | <1% |
| 44 | www.ijcmas.com Internet Source | <1% |

46

I L P Mursal, V A Hermana, Farhamzah.
"Physical Properties of Liquid Soap using
Katuk Leaf Extract (sauropus androgynus (l)
merr.) as an Alternative to Natural
Surfactants", IOP Conference Series: Materials
Science and Engineering, 2021

<1%

Publication

47

Mallappa Kumara Swamy, Greetha Arumugam, Ravinder Kaur, Ali Ghasemzadeh, Mazina Mohd. Yusoff, Uma Rani Sinniah. " GC-MS Based Metabolite Profiling, Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Properties of Different Solvent Extracts of Malaysian Leaves ", Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2017 <1%

Publication



repository.uhamka.ac.id

Off

Internet Source

<1%