

---

**[IJLTER] Submission Acknowledgement**

8 messages

---

**Professor (Dr) Antonio Silva Sprock** <editor@ijlter.org>  
To: Syarif Hidayatullah <syarifbahagia@upi.edu>

Fri, Jun 30, 2023 at 5:41 PM

Syarif Hidayatullah:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Effectiveness of Dialogical Reading Literacy Programs In Improving Language Skills and Literacy of Early Students" to International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL:

<https://www.ijlter.org/index.php/ijlter/author/submission/8302>

Username: syarif

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Professor (Dr) Antonio Silva Sprock  
International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research

---

International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research  
<http://ijlter.org/index.php/ijlter>

---

**IJLTER ORG** <ijlter.org@gmail.com>  
To: Syarif Hidayatullah <syarifbahagia@upi.edu>

Tue, Jul 4, 2023 at 7:05 AM

Dear Author,

Thank you for your submission.

The paper is relevant to IJLTER and has passed the preliminary assessment stage.

The notification will be sent on 31st July for possible publication in the August 2023 issue if accepted and all procedures are followed on time.

Kindly do not submit this paper elsewhere while we are busy reviewing it.

Our fees are as follows. Please check it.

<http://ijlter.org/index.php/ijlter/about/submissions#authorFees>**If the paper is accepted, you will be asked to pay the publication fees of \$1100 USD.**

Are you agreeable to pay this?

Your paper will be placed under review after we receive your reply on the payment of the fees.

Prof. Antonio Sprock

CE

[Quoted text hidden]

--

**Editorial Office****International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research****ISSN: 1694-2116 (Online)****ISSN: 1694-2493 (Print)**

Website: <http://ijlter.org/>

Email: [ijlter.org@gmail.com](mailto:ijlter.org@gmail.com)

---

**SYARIF HIDAYATULLAH** <[syarifbahagia@upi.edu](mailto:syarifbahagia@upi.edu)>  
To: IJLTER ORG <[ijlter.org@gmail.com](mailto:ijlter.org@gmail.com)>

Tue, Jul 4, 2023 at 7:59 AM

Yes. I agree. Please continue the process.

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**IJLTER ORG** <[ijlter.org@gmail.com](mailto:ijlter.org@gmail.com)>  
To: SYARIF HIDAYATULLAH <[syarifbahagia@upi.edu](mailto:syarifbahagia@upi.edu)>

Wed, Jul 5, 2023 at 6:49 AM

Noted with thanks.

Your paper is now under review.

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**SYARIF HIDAYATULLAH** <[syarifbahagia@upi.edu](mailto:syarifbahagia@upi.edu)>  
To: IJLTER ORG <[ijlter.org@gmail.com](mailto:ijlter.org@gmail.com)>

Tue, Aug 1, 2023 at 6:57 AM

Dear Editor

Do you have the results of my article review with ID: 8302? Thank You

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**SYARIF HIDAYATULLAH** <[syarifbahagia@upi.edu](mailto:syarifbahagia@upi.edu)>  
To: IJLTER ORG <[ijlter.org@gmail.com](mailto:ijlter.org@gmail.com)>

Wed, Aug 2, 2023 at 6:11 AM

Dear Editor

Sorry, based on previous information the results of the review will be given at the end of July. Are the results of my article review available now? Thank You

On Tue, Jul 4, 2023 at 7:06 AM IJLTER ORG <[ijlter.org@gmail.com](mailto:ijlter.org@gmail.com)> wrote:

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**IJLTER ORG** <[ijlter.org@gmail.com](mailto:ijlter.org@gmail.com)>  
To: SYARIF HIDAYATULLAH <[syarifbahagia@upi.edu](mailto:syarifbahagia@upi.edu)>

Wed, Aug 2, 2023 at 2:21 PM

It was sent 3 hours earlier. Have you received it?

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**SYARIF HIDAYATULLAH** <[syarifbahagia@upi.edu](mailto:syarifbahagia@upi.edu)>  
To: IJLTER ORG <[ijlter.org@gmail.com](mailto:ijlter.org@gmail.com)>

Thu, Aug 3, 2023 at 1:26 PM

Received, thank you

[Quoted text hidden]

---

## [IJLTER] Editor Decision

5 messages

---

IJLTER .ORG <ijlter.org@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2023 at 10:58 AM

To: Syarif Hidayatullah <syarifbahagia@upi.edu>

Cc: Yeti Mulyati <yetimulyati@upi.edu>, "Vismaia S. Damaianti" <vismaia@upi.edu>, Tedi Permadi <tedipermadii@upi.edu>

Syarif Hidayatullah:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, "Effectiveness of Dialogical Reading Literacy Programs In Improving Language Skills and Literacy of Early Students".

Our decision is to: accept the paper if the requested changes are made  
Language editing is required.

IJLTER .ORG

[ijlter.org@gmail.com](mailto:ijlter.org@gmail.com)

---

Reviewer A:

Paper length::  
Ok

Originality::  
Good

Scope of paper::  
Relevant to IJLTER

Related work::  
Acceptable

Language::  
ok

References::  
ok

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:

The paper is well written and good for publication only I observed that there are words that are misspelled, hence, kindly proof read thoroughly.  
see 27305

---

Reviewer L:

Paper length::  
Ok

Originality::  
Acceptable

Scope of paper::  
Relevant to IJLTER

Related work::  
Poor

Language::  
Good

References::  
APA referencing style respected

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:

-Abstract to be retouched (giving clarification on the analysis, validity and reliability of the instruments)

-In results part, refer to tables

Clarification and more details needed on the implications.

It is also advisable to relate findings with other more previous studies  
see 27404

---

---

Reviewer T:

Paper length::  
Ok

Originality::  
Acceptable

Scope of paper::  
Relevant to IJLTER

Related work::  
Acceptable

Language::  
The authors must review the text in English, due to having grammatical and syntactic deficiencies.

References::  
The authors should mention other works related to initial education at home, as it is a topic that is widely discussed in the academic world.

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:

The formation and education of the family is fundamental, although they mention it, it should be considered fundamental in the study. In fact, it conditions the study.

The working condition of the family and parents is essential in home education. It must be analyzed.

---

---

Reviewer Y:

Paper length::  
Quite long

Originality::  
Good

Scope of paper::  
Highly relevant

Related work::  
Acceptable

Language::

easily understood

References::  
up to date

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:

using quasi-experimental with a big number of respondents as well as the different locations of study (different kindergartens chosen) actually breach the validity of the research. The various number/category of respondents also breach the validity.

Try to rewrite the methodology using the correct way of doing the quasi-experimental method.

-----  
Reviewer Z:

Paper length::  
Quite long

Originality::  
Good

Scope of paper::  
Relevant to IJLTER

Related work::  
Acceptable

Language::  
The language is academically accepted.

References::  
Most references are new (within the last ten years).

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:

The research is original and presents valuable insights on the field. The presentation of literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implication, and the quality of communication is okay. Some minor revisions are needed to clarify the content.  
see 27717

-----  
Reviewer J]:

Paper length::

Originality::

Scope of paper::

Related work::

Language::

References::

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:

-----  
Reviewer d:

Paper length::  
Ok

Originality::

Acceptable

Scope of paper::

Relevant to IJLTER

Related work::

Acceptable

Language::

Language Clarity: Review the language to ensure clarity and coherence of ideas. Some sentences appear lengthy and could be broken down into smaller ones for better readability.

References::

very good, more than enough

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:

Theoretical Framework: While the discussion mentions some theories that support the research findings, it would be beneficial to provide a brief explanation of these theories and how they align with the study's results.  
see 27678

-----

-----

Reviewer i:

Paper length::

Quite long

Originality::

Acceptable

Scope of paper::

Relevant to IJLTER

Related work::

Acceptable

Language::

Language is clear and correct. Pay attention to Grammarly and Consistence with term of tenses

References::

References are cited as directed by APA

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:

Originality, is not so good because there are not sufficient sampling method, 55% female responded not to analysis. Therefore, the percentage of participants reached 25% of the research population is not suitable with collecting data

Literature review: is well written, but it's suggested to add point 2.3.

Dialogical literacy skills in early childhood students????

Methodology

Pay attention to 3.1 participant (check tense in line 9), In fact, it's

It's a control group

Evaluation of result are not clearly, because the author do not express evaluation in inconsistent with the result

Research implications, is ok

Quality of communication is not so good because the aim and research problem and conclusion are not consistent

-----

-----

Reviewer j:

Paper length::  
Quite long

Originality::  
Good

Scope of paper::  
Relevant to IJLTER

Related work::  
Acceptable

Language::  
only minor grammatical error

References::  
ok

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:  
see attached commented/edited paper  
see 27599

-----

-----

Reviewer k:

Paper length::  
Ok

Originality::  
Good

Scope of paper::  
Relevant to IJLTER

Related work::  
Acceptable

Language::  
excellent

References::  
good

Additional comments along the following lines: originality, literature review, methodology, evaluation of results, research implications, quality of communication, etc.:

Originality: It is novel and researchable.

Literature review: The researchers tried their best to review recent studies and relevant literature. Thus, it is good.

Methodology: The quasi-experimental method should be rewritten as: Quasi-experimental design. Also, the researchers did not show the ground how they assigned the participants of the study as experimental and controlled groups. This should be clearly stated.

Also, the instructional method used to carry out the intervention was not clear. For example, instructional model utilize, and the experience of the trainer should be clearly stated.

Evaluation of results: The presentation of the results is good. However, it is vague to make oneself clear about the presentations of Tables and their analyses and elaborations. Sometime, I came across tables with no presentations of the analyses. Therefore, the researcher should put the tables and their respective presentations of the analyses consecutively.

The way the discussions of the study presented is very coherent, organized and scientific. This is, therefore, the good side of this study.

Research implications: It has been briefly presented.

Quality of communication: It is very good.

**IJLTER ORG** <ijlter.org@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 2, 2023 at 10:59 AM

To: Syarif Hidayatullah <syarifbahagia@upi.edu>

Cc: Yeti Mulyati <yetimulyati@upi.edu>, "Vismaia S. Damaianti" <vismaia@upi.edu>, Tedi Permadi <tedipermadii@upi.edu>

Dear Authors,

The decision for your paper is: **accept the paper if the requested changes are made.**

You need to do the following and submit the revised paper **by email by 20th August 2023** for possible publication in the **August 2023** issue if the requested changes are made. The paper will undergo another review process to make sure all requested changes have been incorporated as far as appropriate before the final acceptance decision is taken. References need some improvements to conform to APA 7th edition. Language **editing** is required. If the revised paper is not submitted on time, it may be moved to the August 2023 issue.

#### Editor's Note

1. Format the paper **strictly** according to the template (attached). Ensure that the main sections are numbers (1., 2., 3., etc), including the references section.

Add the orcid of all authors. If you do not have one, please create it on [orcid.org](https://orcid.org)

2. Follow APA style strictly for the references. **References must strictly follow APA format 7th edition, otherwise publication will be delayed.**

[Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association, Seventh Edition \(2020\) \(apa.org\)](https://pubs.apa.org)

[Getting Started in APA 7th - APA 7th Referencing - Library Guides at Victoria University \(vu.edu.au\)](https://libraryguides.vu.edu.au)

The document for the 6th edition is also attached as it is still relevant and useful in the majority of cases.

**3. Cross-check if all references mentioned in the text are also present in the references list and vice-versa.**

The paper must have at least 25 references.

Failure to correct the references will delay the review process.

Also include recent papers (2020-2023) in the references.

Any non-English words must have their equivalent inside square brackets [ ].

References must be ordered in alphabetical order.

**Foreign sources (sources not in English) must be referenced as appropriate. The original names of the source must be included followed by its English translation in square brackets.**

<https://libguides.msvu.ca/apa/foreign>

**When writing the references in APA 7th edition style, please pay attention to all details. Everything matters to us (a space, a comma, a full-stop, a bracket, a hyphen, lowercase vs uppercase, etc, etc).**

4. Address all the concerns of the reviewers, as far as appropriate. Additional comments are provided in the attachments. See note 6 below.

5. Mention the doi of each reference where available.

a. Go to <http://search.crossref.org/>

b. Copy and paste the title of the paper in the search box

c. Press the Enter key.

d. Copy and paste the doi back to the paper (into the reference section) if one is available. DOI may not be available for some of the references. This is fine.

e. There are two ways you can write the doi (1) doi:10.1037/a0028240 or (2) <http://doi.org/10.1037/a0028240> [preferred option]

**6. Prepare a separate word document to indicate the changes that were made as a result of each reviewer's comments. This is compulsory. The revised paper will not be considered without this. You must explain how you responded to EACH comment from EACH reviewer. A template is attached. It does not suffice to write done or fulfilled next to a review comment. You need to explain in detail how the comment was responded to and provide page numbers as well. Also highlight the changes made in the paper.**

**7. Paper must be at least 5500 words (inclusive of references)** and must not usually exceed 10,000 words.

8. The abstract must be between 175-250 words. The abstract must preferably be in one paragraph only.

9. The conclusion must be between 175-300 words. One or two paragraphs is preferred but not compulsory.

10. All tables and figures must be included at the required locations within the paper. Captions for figures must be placed below the figure while captions for tables must be placed above the table. All table and figure numbers must be included/referenced in the text as well. Ensure that table and figure numbers are not missing.

11. You are **required** to have the paper professionally **edited** before submitting the final revised version.

Evidence in the form of track changes must be provided if editing is done. Editing certificate only is not acceptable.

One such good service is: <https://www.proofers.co.uk/editing-process/>

Note that we are not affiliated in any way with them but we know they do a good job in good price and fast. We got this information from our authors.



**Note that proof-reading and editing are not the same thing.** Proof-reading is a very minor check on the language of the paper. The proof-reader will only correct minor mistakes in the paper while an editor (who performs editing) will also rephrase certain sentences or replace certain words where appropriate. It is a more in-depth correction of the language.

## 12. Similarity score is ok.

13. If you have any supplementary files (such as a survey questionnaire), please send them to us via email or **include them in your main paper (recommended)**.

**14. The final paper & other documents must be submitted by REPLYING to this email.**

15. After finalising the paper, kindly update all the metadata of the paper in the portal, The full names and affiliation of all authors must be updated. Failure to update the metadata will delay the publication of the paper.

16. The names of all documents submitted must start with the Paper ID (**8302\_**).

17. Additional documents from reviewers are attached. Please take into consideration.

The paper will undergo a second round of review to ensure that all requested changes were made to the full satisfaction of the reviewers and IJLTER.

**Failure to make the requested changes will delay the publication of the paper and it will be moved to the September 2023 issue.**

If you have any queries, please let us know.

Prof. Antonio Sprock  
CE

 [Response-to-Reviewers-Template-IJLTER-2020.docx](#)

 [Template\\_IJLTER\\_2022.docx](#)

 [APA\\_Guide\\_6th\\_edition.pdf](#)

 [APA 7th Edition.pdf](#)

[Quoted text hidden]

--

## Editorial Office

**International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research**

ISSN: 1694-2116 (Online)


ISSN: 1694-2493 (Print)


Website: <http://ijlter.org/>


Email: [ijlter.org@gmail.com](mailto:ijlter.org@gmail.com)


---


### 6 attachments


 **8302-27678-1-RV.docx**  
139K

 **8302-27599-1-RV.docx**  
132K

 **8302-27602-1-RV.docx**  
152K

 **8302-27717-1-RV.docx**  
119K

 **8302-27404-1-RV.docx**  
116K

 **8302-27305-1-RV.docx**  
117K

**SYARIF HIDAYATULLAH** <syarifbahagia@upi.edu>  
To: IJLTER ORG <ijlter.org@gmail.com>

Thu, Aug 17, 2023 at 1:48 PM

Dear Editor

I have corrected the article according to the suggestions of all the reviewers. The article as a whole has been corrected through proofreading by an institution recommended by the IJLTER Journal Editor. Here I am attaching again all the documents for consideration. Thank You

[Quoted text hidden]

---

#### 4 attachments



**8302\_Final Article.docx**  
109K



**8302\_Response-to-Reviewers-Template-IJLTER-2020.docx**  
78K



**8302\_certificate of proofreading.pdf**  
185K



**8302\_Proofreading with\_corrections.docx**  
104K

---

**IJLTER ORG** <ijlter.org@gmail.com>  
To: SYARIF HIDAYATULLAH <syarifbahagia@upi.edu>

Fri, Aug 18, 2023 at 7:14 AM

Thank you.  
We will revert back to you very soon.

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**IJLTER ORG** <ijlter.org@gmail.com>  
To: SYARIF HIDAYATULLAH <syarifbahagia@upi.edu>

Sun, Aug 20, 2023 at 10:59 PM

Dear Syarif,

We have received your payment for paper 8302. Thank you.  
Your paper will now be finalised for publication in the August 2023 issue.  
You will be kept informed.

regards  
Prof. Sprock  
CE

On Sun, Aug 20, 2023 at 7:06 PM SYARIF HIDAYATULLAH <syarifbahagia@upi.edu> wrote:

Dear Editor

I have paid the IJLTER publication fee. Here I attach proof of payment. Thank You

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

---

## [IJLTER] Effectiveness of Dialogical Reading Literacy Programs in Improving Language Skills and Literacy of Early Students

1 message

---

IJLTER .ORG <ijlter.org@gmail.com>

Wed, Aug 30, 2023 at 3:14 PM

To: Syarif Hidayatullah <syarifbahagia@upi.edu>, Yeti Mulyati <yetimulyati@upi.edu>, "Vismaia S. Damaianti" <vismaia@upi.edu>, Tedi Permadi <tedipermadii@upi.edu>

Dear Author,

Your paper has been published. You may check it at:

<https://ijlter.org/index.php/ijlter/article/view/8302/pdf>

---

International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research

<http://ijlter.org/index.php/ijlter>