

REVIEW

Title of the article	AN INVESTIGATION BETWEEN INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL ON FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE: PANEL EVIDENCE FROM BANKING INDUSTRY IN INDONESIA
-----------------------------	--

I. TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS

Requirement	YES	NO
1. Size of the article:		
• main text (without references and annexes) – not less than 15 standard pages (27000 characters with spaces)	✗	
• whole article (with references and annexes) – not more than 40 standard pages (72000 characters with spaces)	✗	
2. Tables and figures in black & white	✗	
3. References (list at the end of the article and citation in the text) in Harvard style. Should include ONLY sources that are cited inside the text.	✗	
4. Abstract in English	✗	
5. Keywords and JEL codes	✗	

II. COMMON REMARKS

Questions and comments	BOLD one of the alternatives
1. Congruity to the sciences field	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate
2. Actuality of the research	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate
3. Level of the investigation of the chosen problem	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate
4. The research aim and tasks congruence between the title and content of the article	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate
5. Appropriateness of the research methods used	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate
6. The quality of the scientific literature analysis	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate
7. The scientific level of the research	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate
8. Validity of the research findings and conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate

Questions and comments	BOLD one of the alternatives
9. Fullness of the reference and bibliography list; freshness of the sources	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate
10. Appropriateness of the summary	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate
11. Language correctness	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate
12. Rating of this paper in comparison to similar papers published in top-rated scientific journals	<input type="checkbox"/> Top 20% <input type="checkbox"/> Above average <input type="checkbox"/> Below average <input type="checkbox"/> Bottom 20%

III. EVALUATION OF THE ARTICLE SUBMISSION

Questions and comments	BOLD one of the alternatives
13. Formulation of the article title	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate
14. Annotation of the article	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate
15. Length of the article	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate
16. Length of the summary	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate
17. Presentation of tables and pictures	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate
18. Bibliography list presentation	<input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Basically appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Inappropriate

IV. COMMENTS

The title of the article does not sound right, as what was really studied was the impact of IC on financial performance, and this should be reflected in the title. **The author(s) should further elaborate on the limitations of the proposed model and how they can be overcome.** The article needs editing and proofreading as there are some spelling mistakes.

V. CONCLUSION

- ☐ **Propose to accept after some small corrections**