

Reviewer A:

Firstly thank you for the very good article

very clear and straight forward title, clear objectives and methodology.

Adequate briefing in the introduction, well presented results and nice discussion according to objectives given.

my comments going to be few.

- 1. Some abbreviation is not well understood internationally. eg: RDA, UMR unless i'm not very familiar with it.
- 2. Some typing errors eg: BeforeCovid-19 should have a space before/Covid-19 and inconsistant spacing in most of the ">" when used. another one googleform should be having spacing
- 3. Maybe can check on spelling Covid-19 on last paragraph in the introduction... small letter fot he letter " \mathbf{C} " is used
- 4. All big capital COVID-19 also being used in results... 2nd paragraph

Recommendation: Revisions Required

Reviewer B:

Bismillah and Assalam.

Such a good paper, just right on time to discuss about food security during this time around. Congratulation.

- 1. Firstly, structural and flow are good and up to the standard.
- 2. From the manuscript the most glaring to my eyes is too many punctuation marks mistakes (full stop, commas, small letter for town's name etc).
- 3. I may suggest if p value can be written as p=0.001 or p=.001 instead of p=0.000
- 4. Objectives are well stated and all answered towards the end.
- 5. In Methodology, for me the sampling method is not well mention, I may consider it is universal sampling or purposive sampling because those who ever willing to answer the questionnaires via phone is enrolled.
- 6. May consider to make some correction on table 1. Under variable Mother age. <25, 26-35, 36-45 age group were selected which those who are at 25 years old is not included.</p>
- $7.\ In\ table\ 3\ under\ CI95\%,\ result\ can\ be\ written\ as\ -15.495,\ -14.431\ instead\ of\ -15.495-(-)14.431.$
- 8. Some abbreviation is not mention anywhere in the study, example UMR, PSBB..
- 9. Statistical and analysis are also appropriate and interpreted well in the study.
- 10. Discussion is adequate and conclusion is clearly made according to study objective.

Other than that, I find it is okay.

Thank you very much.

Recommendation: Revisions Required



[MJPHM] Editor Decision

2021-08-21 02:46 PM

Ahmad Faridi Faridi:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Malaysian Journal of Public Health Medicine, "HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS, CONSUMPTION PATTERN AND HOUSEHOLD FOOD SECURITY BEFORE AND DURING COVID-19 IN BANTEN PROVINCE".

Our decision is to: Accept Submission

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abed Al-abed

Editorial Assistant

Malaysia Journal of Public Health Medicine

Malaysian Journal of Public Health Medicine

Notifications



[MJPHM] Editor Decision

2022-01-04 03:33 PM

Ahmad Faridi Faridi, Mohammad Furqan:

The editing of your submission, "HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS, CONSUMPTION PATTERN AND HOUSEHOLD FOOD SECURITY BEFORE AND DURING COVID-19 IN BANTEN PROVINCE," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

 ${\bf Submission\ URL:}\ \underline{http://mjphm.org/index.php/mjphm/authorDashboard/submission/755}$

Fikri Rosely

Dr

fikrirosely4@gmail.com

Malaysian Journal of Public Health Medicine

Reminder on the article revision and chance for ealy publication



Participants

Fikri Rosely (fikri_rosely)

Ahmad Faridi Faridi (faridiahmad71)

Messages

Note

Dear author,

From

I would like to ask regarding the revised manuscript following reviewer feedback
If you manage to send back the article before 20th Disember 2020, your article may
have the chance to be publish early in the incoming MJPHM volume in January 2021.
Or else next publication would be on April 2021

fikri_rosely 2020-12-18 11:37

Thank you

▶ insha Allah, today I will submit corrections to the article according to reviewer input

faridiahmad71 2020-12-18 06:48



