Reflective thinking ability in terms of mathematical resilience of mathematics student teachers

Cite as: AIP Conference Proceedings **2698**, 040002 (2023); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0122354 Published Online: 07 February 2023

Muntazhimah, Hikmatul Khusna and Restu Anjarwati



ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

Preface: International Conference on Mathematics and Mathematics Education (ICM2E 2021) AIP Conference Proceedings **2698**, 010001 (2023); https://doi.org/10.1063/12.0013942

Analysis of mathematics problem-solving ability of students in solving material system of three variable linear equations (SPLTV) class X SMA AIP Conference Proceedings **2698**, 030001 (2023); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0122558

The analysis of reflective thinking skill of mathematics education students on mathematical problem solving

AIP Conference Proceedings 2698, 040001 (2023); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0122471



APL Machine Learning

Machine Learning for Applied Physics Applied Physics for Machine Learning

Now Open for Submissions



AIP Conference Proceedings **2698**, 040002 (2023); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0122354 © 2023 Author(s).

Reflective Thinking Ability in Terms of Mathematical Resilience of Mathematics Student Teachers

Muntazhimah ^{a)}, Hikmatul Khusna and Restu Anjarwati

Universitas Muhammadiyah Prof Dr HAMKA, Jakarta, Indonesia

^{a)} Corresponding author: muntazhimah@uhamka.ac.id

Abstract. This study aims to describe and analyze mathematical reflective thinking ability in terms of mathematical resilience in mathematics student teachers. Three participants of this qualitative descriptive study were 4th-semester student teachers at one of the universities in Jakarta, selected using the purposive sampling technique. The research instruments used were a mathematical reflective thinking ability test, a mathematical resilience questionnaire, and an interview guideline. Data was validated using time triangulation and analyzed using the constant comparative method, with the following steps: (1) data reduction and categorization; (2) data presentation; and (3) concluding. The results showed that the student teachers with high mathematical resilience had good mathematical reflective thinking skills because they could fulfill all mathematical reflective thinking ability indicators and have high self-confidence and assurance. Meanwhile, student teachers with moderate resilience lacked mathematical reflective thinking ability because they could not meet all indicators, were less thorough, and lacked details even though they had good enthusiasm and self-confidence. Meanwhile, students with low resilience had poor mathematical reflective thinking ability, were careless and not detailed, and tended to quit when encountering difficult problems.

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic has influenced all sectors globally, including the education sector, to utilize digital technology in their daily lives. The optimal use of digital technology is one of the characteristics of the 4.0 Industrial Revolution [1], [2]. Dealing with the 4.0 Industrial Revolution requires high adaptation and consistency so that careful preparation is a must [3 - 4]. One of the important elements to consider is preparing and improving the competence of graduates who have 21st-century skills (Learning and Innovations Skills).

The 21st-century skills have five main domains; one of them is the thinking skill. In addition, the thinking skill is also an aspect of life skills that need to be developed through the educational process [5 - 7]. Through thinking skills, a person can observe and solve all life problems, including mathematics. When a person does mathematics, whether it is only to understand mathematical concepts, use them in solving mathematical problems, or use mathematics in everyday life, of course, must be accompanied by thinking skills. Therefore, thinking skill is essential that for every student at every level of education.

One of the thinking skills included in the Higher Order Thinking Skill (HOTS) is the ability to think reflectively [8]. The reflective thinking ability is called "the capacity of human minds and brains in understanding and creating knowledge" [9]. In comparison, [10] defined the reflective thinking skill as a process of interpreting one experience to the next one by making a deeper understanding of the relationship and connecting other experiences or ideas. Furthermore, it is stated that reflective thinking ability will certainly be critical in solving mathematical problems, called mathematics reflective thinking ability [11].

In addition to the ability of reflective thinking mathematically, a positive adaptive attitude is also needed [12] in solving mathematical problems, a quality attitude [13] reflected in a diligent and persistent attitude despite facing problems in learning mathematics. The attitude is mathematical resilience, one of the attitudes of internal factors affecting a person's success in learning mathematics [14 - 15]. This is evidenced by [16] research that students with

Proceedings of The 5th International Conference of Mathematics and Mathematics Education, 2021 AIP Conf. Proc. 2698, 040002-1–040002-12; https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0122354 Published by AIP Publishing. 978-0-7354-4320-4/\$30.00 high mathematical resilience could solve mathematical problems well, while students with low resilience have more weaknesses in solving mathematical problems.

Therefore, both mathematical reflective thinking skills and mathematical resilience are interesting and important to study. Several previous studies have been carried out, for example examining the mathematical reflective thinking ability with certain learning models or strategies, such as problem-based learning, metacognitive and Argument-Driven learning, scaffolding strategies, and knowledge sharing learning strategies [17 – 20]. Also, the study of mathematical reflective thinking ability was associated with other mathematical abilities, learning styles, and even students' initial abilities, including reflective thinking and problem-solving abilities [21 - 22], reflective thinking ability and prior knowledge [23 - 24], reflective thinking ability and learning styles, interest in learning and even self-confidence [25 - 27].

On the other hand, research related to mathematical resilience is also diverse. Many are also related to other mathematical abilities, for example, mathematical communication skills based on mathematical resilience [16], [28] and problem-solving and mathematical literacy in terms of resilience [29 - 30]. Creative thinking and critical thinking abilities are developed from students' mathematical resilience [31– 33] and reflective thinking skills in terms of students' mathematical resilience [34].

Previous studies mentioned above were conducted mostly on high school students. Only one study was related to mathematical reflective thinking ability in terms of mathematical resilience, conducted in Semarang with vocational students as the research subject. Thus, it is necessary to study mathematical reflective thinking ability in terms of the mathematical resilience of mathematics student teachers as the related study is limited. This study aims to describe and examine in-depth the mathematical reflective thinking ability in terms of the mathematics student teachers at a university in Jakarta.

METHOD

This research is a qualitative descriptive study. It was conducted at one of the universities in Jakarta, and the research participants were mathematics student teachers in the fourth semester. The instruments used were a mathematical resilience questionnaire, a mathematical reflective thinking ability test, and an interview guideline.

The mathematical resilience questionnaire consisted of 32 items, with positive and negative statements. It had been tested for validity and reliability so that it can be used to measure the mathematical resilience of mathematics student teachers. The indicators of the mathematical resilience used in this study are: (1) Having the belief that mathematics is valuable and worthy to be practiced and studied; (2) Having the will and persistence in learning mathematics, despite the difficulties, obstacles, and challenges; (3) Having the self-confidence to learn and master mathematics, based on an understanding of mathematics, the ability to create strategies, the assistance of tools and other people, and experience; (4) Have a defensive nature, never quitting and always give a positive response.

The mathematical reflective thinking ability test comprised five problems that satisfied the reliability and validity test and thus can be used to measure the reflective thinking ability of mathematics student teachers. The indicators of mathematical reflective thinking ability used in this study are: (1) Analyzing the truth of the problem/solution/analogy or generalization of mathematics; (2) Identifying mathematical concepts or formulas used in non-simple math problems; (3) Discovering various strategies in solving mathematical problems.

This study used a semi-structured interview because it is more flexible and can dig answers from the participants more sharply. Interviews were conducted with the participants selected based on their level of mathematical resilience. The questions asked were related to the mathematical reflective thinking ability test completed previously.

The researchers employed a triangulation method: the test, documentation, and interview to examine the data validity. The data analysis of this research used the constant comparative method. Data analysis is done by the constant comparative method, comparing one datum to another, then constantly comparing categories with other categories [35]. The steps in data analysis include: (1) reduction of data and categories by selecting data according to what is being studied and removing the unnecessary data from the data analysis process; (2) data presentation, where the reduced data is presented based on the needs in analyzing mathematical reflective thinking ability in terms of the mathematical resilience of mathematics student teachers; and (3) concluding and verification, where the data analyzed based on indicators of the mathematical reflective thinking ability test and adjusted to the level of mathematical resilience of research participants.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Result

Twenty-eight mathematics student teachers were selected as the participants in this research, and a mathematical resilience questionnaire was administered to determine the category of their mathematical resilience level. The level of resilience was determined by adopting Srifuddin in [16], as presented in Table 1. Students are in the high resilience category when their score is greater than or equal to 2.7, the moderate category if it is between 1.2 and 2.7, and the low resilience category when the score is less than 1.2.

Criteria	Score	Category			
$X \ge (M+1SD)$	$X \ge 2.7$	High resilience			
$(M-1SD) \le X \le (M+1SD)$	$1.2 \le X \le 2.7$	Moderate			
		resilience			
X < (M-1SD)	X < 1.2	Low resilience			

The score of the mathematical resilience was not an interval scale. Thus, the Rasch model approach, with Winstep 4.3.3 software, was employed to modify the scores into interval data to operate. Figure 1 presents the output of the winstep program.

	Person	STATIS	TICS: ME	ASURE ORDER							
	SCORE			MODEL IN S.E. MNSQ	ZSTD MNSQ	ZSTD	CORR.	EXP.	OBS%	EXP%	
99	112	32	3.67	.39 2.49	4.50 2.03	2.82	.78	.77	71.9	72.5	144PB
94	109	32	3.25	.36 1.28	1.31 1.13	.60	.90	.77	71.9	67.2	094PB
95 108	108 108	32 32	3.11 3.11		1.81 1.23 .50 1.01					65.5 65.5	
116	106	32	2.86	.35 1.49	2.22 1.32	1.49	.90	.77	53.1	62.3	274LA
84	105	32	2.74	.35 .95	20 .89	48	.85	.77	62.5	61.6	044PC
91 102	104 104	32 32	2.62	.35 .97 .35 1.07	11 1.07 .41 1.04	.41				61.0 61.0	
110	104	32	2.62	.35 1.01	.12 1.01	.11	.86	.77	53.1	61.0	214PB
54 54	103 103	32 32	2.50		32 .91 .12 .97					60.7 60.7	
93	102	32	2.38	.34 1.32	1.53 1.28	1.35	.84	.77	50.0	61.8	084PA
98	101	32	2.27	.34 .71	-1.50 .71	-1.49	.86	.77	71.9	62.5	134PA
111	100	32	2.15	.34 .75	-1.21 .74	-1.30	.86	.77	75.0	63.0	224PA
101	99	32	2.03	.34 .76	-1.17 .78	-1.06	.82	.77	68.8	63.7	164LB
28	96	32	1.68	.34 1.17	.76 1.15	.68	.58	.78	68.8	66.0	014PB
96 100 107	96 96 96	32 32 32	1.68 1.68 1.68	.34 .90	-1.04 .76 38 .90 .36 1.08	34	.76	.78	75.0	66.0 66.0 66.0	154PA
109	95	32	1.56	.35 .60	-1.87 .63	-1.67	.80	.78	78.1	66.8	204PC
85 92	94 94	32 32	1.44 1.44		-1.20 .66 2.43 1.71					67.9 67.9	
113	92	32	1.20	.35 .78	81 .76	86	.75	.78	78.1	69.3	244LA
55	91	32	1.08	.35 .57	-1.82 .66	-1.32	.80	.78	84.4	69.9	034LD
112	91	32	1.08	.35 .39	-2.89 .48	-2.25	.93	.78	90.6	69.9	234PB
97	90	32	.96	.35 .80	67 .90	27	.70	.78	81.3	70.6	124PA
114	88	32	.71	.35 1.50	1.63 1.48	1.54	.71	.78	59.4	71.7	254PA
103	82	32	03		2.02 2.21						
MEAN P.SD	98.2 6.2		1.95 .75	.35 1.01 .01 .41	.0 1.00 1.6 .40	1 1.5			68.7 10.6	66.0 3.4	

FIGURE 1. The Output Measure Order Using Winstep 4.4.3

The mathematical resilience level of student teachers can be summarized in Table 2. Most mathematics student

teachers have moderate resilience (17), seven people with high resilience and five people with low resilience.

TABLE 2. Resilience Level	Categorization Results
Resilience Level	Frequency
High	6
Moderate	17
Low	5

Next, one research subject from each category of mathematical resilience was chosen, RF (coded S1) for the high category, SN (coded S2) for the medium category, and LG (coded S3) for the low category. The three subjects were chosen by purposive sampling in order to achieve the objectives of this study. The data analysis results of each subject and the triangulation of the method carried out will be discussed in the following section.

Discussion

The discussion of the three research subjects is presented one by one, starting from the research subject with high, followed by medium and low resilience levels.

S1 with High Resilience

Problem 1: Let there is a 12-hour clock. It contains the numbers 1,2,3...,12, assumed to be S and 2x8 = 4, 3x6 = 6, 5x7 = 11 and so on applies. In addition, we can also construct a system named U (12), consisting of {[1], [5], [7], [11]}, which is a group.

Note that:

Similar to the 12-hour clock, we can also construct another clock system.

Suppose R = $\{1, 2, 3, ..., 15\}$ is the set containing all numbers in the 15-hour clock and U (15) = $\{x \in RI \ GCF \ (x, 15) = 1\}$.

Question: Clarify the similarity mentioned in point an and explain the principles, rules, or concepts related to the similarity!

The indicator of Problem 1 is able to analyse the truth of the question/solution/analogy or generalization of mathematics. The research subject is asked to clarify or analyse the truth of the analogy or similarity of the case in the problem. Figure 2 shows S1's answer for Problem 1, and Table presents the result of the S1 interview related to Problem 1.

a. Minurut saya sistem pure 12-an dengan sistem jam 15-an itu schupa yakni sama-sama manuat bilangan tintuk jam 12-an menuat jika puola jam (2-an briaky 2×6=4, 3×6-6, 5×7) = 11 dan setensnya Latau pada jam 15-an beriaku 2x021, 3x6=3,5x7=5 dan situunya. Jadi angka 12 dan angka 15 pada maxing-maxing sitem jam Arrebut manjadi patotan dalam pertajian yang menghanithan sina dari Porlavian inschul. Doda jam is-an benaku: · 288 21 karena 280 stl 15 menghasetkan sika 1, mala 288 21 tenapa dibagi dengan 157 katena menggunakan sistem jam 15-an · 3x b= 3. Kaina 326=10:15 merghasillean sisa 3, make 3x6=3. · s>3 = s, lealling sig sigs is minighasilitan sign s, malia sig > s Hal in hertoku unher thurn perhaven stenienya young menggunakar Ustern Jam 15-an Jash, se Kap hilaryan she dikati dengan bilangan larmua akan monghasrilkan sisa bilangan tertentu dengan prekasian jam 15-en. Murainya 41xy = 16, 16 dihagi 18 Scanya 1 mata 4x4=1, dun setenesnya. (In ulic) = (x6 k) FPR (x,15) 2) maka 11(15)= 11.3.5,15)

FIGURE 2. S1 answers for Problem 1

Researcher (R)	Subject 1 (S1)
Do you understand the problem?	Alhamdulillah, I can understand it clearly.
Please, Explain.	So Problem 1 asks us to find and explain the
	similarities in this problem.
How many similarities have you found?	There are several similarities. For example, the 12-
	hour clock is similar to the 15-hour clock system, S12,
	and R15. Then, the operations that apply to S12 are
	also similar to the operations apply to R15. If S12
	applies modulo 12, if R15 applies modulo 15.
	Also, U12 and U1 have the same members of the set,
	and both are the GCF of 12 or 15, that is 1.
Did you just read and answer when you did this	I didn't understand it right away, but it can be
problem, or did you need time to understand the	understood if you read it slowly, think about it
problem?	carefully, understand what the question wants, look at
	what is known in this problem one by one.
After solving problem 1, did you re-check the	I re-checked it. I'm worried that I missed some
solution?	information, so I have to re-check it before continuing
	to Problem 2
Are you sure with your answer?	God's willing. Hopefully, it is perfect.
Can you re-check the last sentence?	Oh yes, something is incorrect; I was not careful in calculating the GCF x with 15.

TABLE 3. S1 Interview Results for Problem 1

Figure 2 and Table 3 reveal that S1 cannot directly answer Problem 1. However, S1 was confident that s/he could answer this question even though it takes time to understand the problem. The answers show that S1 could answer this question well. S1 could translate all the information in the problem and clarify all similarities in the problem. S/he also reflected at the end of the stages before moving on to other problems. There was an error at the end of the solution due to not being careful, and s/he could realize the mistakes if given another chance.

Furthermore, we analyse S1's answer for question Problem 2, as follows.

Problem 2: Let (Z6, +) is a group. From the following five subsets of Z6: A. {0}; B. {0,1}; C. {0,3}; D. {0,2,4}; E. {0,1,2,3,4,5}.

Question:

- a. Identify all the concepts, rules, properties, or formulas used to make the five subsets above into a subgroup (Z6, +).
- b. If any, choose a subset that is not a subgroup of (Z6+), and explain!

This indicator of this problem is able to identify mathematical concepts or formulas used in non-simple math problems. S1's answer and interview results are presented in Fig. 3 and Table 4.

(21. +1) 74:	Adalah	2 4	uch	grup			- Comment		6. 1013	3.	-	Acrup	akon	subg	hip d	kani (25, ts)
4	0	2141		-	1	s			the	0		3]				
0	0		2	3	4	s			0	0	-					
		1	2 3	4	5	0			2	2		3				
	1	2		5	0	1			12	1 2	1.			110		
2	23	3	ч	0	1	2			0							1 - /0 / 1
3		ч	5	1	2	3			D. 101	2,4	7 -	- I	onto	ilcan	su has	mp dani (78, tr)
4	Ч	5	0	2	3	4	(0+1		TT.	U	11		11		J	
15	15	0		e.h	IND	Tuaih	+ Minulkan (C.*) + full kosong dan		0	10	-	-	-			
a). V	ketahu	i pe	misi	4	alah	subse	t full kosong dan up dan (C. *)			12			1			
6706	uun e	map .		1		cuba 1	up dan (12	14	14	10	2	1.4		
9	· Ma	cal	1, 1	0.00	n."	-	up dan (C,*) subgrup ubset tak tosong dan		14	4	10	12	-	- 11		1d. 1
ja	ea (th	*) 9	actor	- m	me	nai .	aubgrup dui			1			-			CALL COLOR
6	in oda	31	out m	in H	adal	gh s	ubset tak kosong dan ubset tak kosong dan un 6 Nea dan hanya		· E. to	11.2,	3, 4,	53.	-	you	Jalan	sungrup dan' (26, 4
. 7	CITINA	1 . 1.	1 Stiles	di G	uban	up d	in & Med dan hanga		146	0	11	12	12	4	10	
P.,	NO 6.	 Londa 	6 65			1	ILL ALL GH		0	-	1.	1º	7	-	2	
Ť	ka un	MIE 5	An	ally an	Иа	de si	ni & Plea dan kanya uku abi E.H. bart tak kasung dan E Plea dan hanya Plea		0	10	11	2	3	4	5	1.52.8.4
.7	count .	12	in la	di a	ham	p das	G The dan hanya plan		1	10	12	3	4	S	0	and the set of the
1	mp G	- 1-00	Han L	14	berto	the	6 file dan hanya plea abéti dan b ⁻¹ éti.		2	21	3	4	5	0	1	A
L	infut	enar.	10	- A	mar	AMA			13	11	й	9	0	1	2	
	Cott M	4 '>	· 6m	Cube	1 40	1. 105	ing dan grup berhingga	:	u	4	01	ó	1	1	2	
	Mrsalles	un n	201	- 10	- G	ing	den hunya jilea		10	t it	61	1	7	14	7	President and the second
1	valca	11 5	angin	1.	L L	- adk	, ADEH		12	-1	V	. 1	-	1	91	
									1 11							
No	in, her	dager	icon	5041	IL TY	DU F	203, 6013, 60133,). Ada	Yale	ni	011	3 -	- Bu	kan n	nenepalian subgrup
501	2,73,	£0,1,	1. 3, 4	183	L.C.	il i	in subset 21 namur		11	0	1	-		à	16 (3	i ti) kanna add
12.4.4	Crm.	67 541	h64 (u an	25 60	wan.	Jakand) and Collar.		To	0				e	amen	langgota zy fidale
Con	truga c	enclar	a 10	mou	14 14	Der 1	erdasatean subset tsb,		1	1.1	1	51		t	Mandrul	le dais la constante
fala	u ada	sidu	has	1 10	nel ?	9 mu	un anggota dan		-	1,	10	1		Je	111102 (2)	le dan Bong yakni,
Subs	et tsb	mal	a s	ubset	15	DP	ulian subgrup.							-		
(0	tob :								G. Alda	an yo	dig	unaka	in a	alah	diltofi	ahui f1 = 1 0,13
A	102	-	idala	h sul	banep	, das	(71.+1)		dibu	chlean	nd	tib	unh	le sa	hap a.	b E H
ß	2012	-	butea	n si	ibgn	up de	in (26,ts.)		Red	latar	har	n	n hu	abres	5	has about the
17 -	Sou 7		1	-	1	-	0.0	· · · · · ·	- Pen	in July	bur.	par	- Date	enan	1	tas shap a, b Ell,
1	41 1	01	1.0				······································	-	Kde	ale pl	nale	4 pi	ada	a +1	2 0	EH drau a Hz b &
	-	-							117	n Me	nggu	ralear	1 71	torem	a wh	grup ataupan pombulakar
	0	0	à								. /	1ksan	na a	mp		and the second s

FIGURE 3. S1's answers for Problem 2

Researcher (R)	Subject 1 (S1)
Problem 2 is easy, isn't it?	Not really. Many steps must be taken. If you are not careful, you can
	make mistakes when choosing a non-subgroup.
What should be done to	First, I summarized the concepts for the subgroup. The next step was
solve Problem 2?	to choose one of the subgroup concepts that I could use for the five
	subset options in the problem. Then, I checked options A to E to
	determine which ones are not the subgroup of $(Z6, +)$.
After concluding which	Yes, I re-checked to ensure there were no forgotten concepts and
option is not a subgroup of	typos.
Z6, did you re-check your	
long answer?	
So, are you sure about your answer?	God's willing Yes, I am sure.

Figure 3 and Table 4 indicate that S1 could identify the concepts asked by the problem, solve mathematical problems given calmly and not in a hurry, was confident and sure that s/he could solve this problem even though the stages were not simple. S1 was also able to carry out the reflection stage at the end before moving on to the next problem. Figure 3 and Table 4 also inform that S1 could find various strategies for solving mathematical problems, connect old experience with new knowledge in solving math problems, carefully think when solving the problem step by step, and not forget to re-check the solution before moving on to another problem.

Subjects 2 (S2) with Medium Resilience

S2's answers can be seen in Figure 4 and Figure 5, and the interview results are shown in Table 5.

L	a = S = { 1,2,3,, 12} Himponian Bilangan 12-an
_	R: [1, 2, 3,, 153 Himpinan Bilangan 15-an
	U(12) = { [1], [5], [7], [11) } U(12) adaluch grop (U(12), x }
	U(15) = { x & R FPB (x15) = 1 } anggota himpunan U(15) m
	merupakan elemen dun R dun FPB dari (x,15) yang
	hasilnya 1
_	U(15) = { 1.2,4,7,8,11,13,14 3
	Untuk mencari anggota dari himpunan Udari Urz dan Urs
	Menggunakan konsep, aturan dengan mencani x dari elemens
	dan R penuditan wencari FPB atau GCN mya dari tiap
	anggota himpunan yang menghasilkan milai l
	\$PB (X,15)=1 / \$PB (X112)=1
	Schingga untre weighting Un adular himpuran 61. Usut
	Módulo n, yang linsur-unsurnya relatif prima dengan n.

FIGURE 4. S2's answers for Problem 1

2. a. fo's menulity: lifed tertulop, namun tidak asostantf, maka butan cuborop (20,76)	
10.13 to 0 1 - Tonting Kanena a, b E Zc, a+6 E ZL	101121314153
0 0 1 - Tidale asostatij karena hanya ada	+ 0 1 2 3 4 5 - Torbity Farence Vaib E 26, at 6 E 26 ox. 1+2+3
1 2 2 elemon, maka bukan Subsymp (20, to)	0 0 1 2 3 4 5 - assisting Keneral (arb)+(=ar(brc)
f0,32 tc 0 3 - Tertutup 0 0 3 - Tidat asostatij kanena hanya adu	1 1 2 3 4 5 0 - neurilari identitas o
3 2 0 2 eleven, maka bolcan subjup (24,46)	2 2 3 4 5 6 1 - metwolick: (nucers at a -1 = 0 ex. 2+4 = 0; 2 inverses 4
10,2,43 to 2 4 1 - Terbur karena a, 6 8 6, a+6 6 72	3 3 4 5 0 1 2 i. Farens Kengataksians terpenuhi make dia subgrap (22
$0 \ 0 \ 2 \ 4 \ - \ asoliticity karence (are) + c = \ at(b+c)$	4 4 5 0 1 2 3
2 2 4 0 (0+2) +4 = 0+(2+4)	
4 4 0 2 2+4 = 0+5	5 5 0 1 2 3 4
6 = 6	1 C y C 1) C 2 huter piller (2 tc)
- menucchi elemen Identitas yaita o	h Lori J. for 33, Lo 3 bukan subgup (70. to)
9+0+0 Pt . 2+0 = 2 4+0 = 4	C. Kanen Sudich de buchikan Pada A. Ketiga subset sulan menyakansungny
- memoliki Invers at a"=0	
2 Milesonyn 4 dan 9 Mullisonyn 2, 2+4=0	in the second
. Maten forzy y subamp dun (to. +6) larene fatsiona terpenshi	

FIGURE 5. S2's answers for Problem 2

	TABLE 5. The Interview results of S2
Researcher (R)	Subject 2 (S2)
We will discuss Problem 1	Ok
Do you understand the problem?	Yes, the similarity analysis of the data in Problem 1.
Explain!	So, in this question, there are several same analogies. Now, we are asked
So, how many of the same	to analyse whether the analogies in this problem are the same. First, the concepts of the 12-hour or S clock system are the same as the

Researcher (R)	Subject 2 (S2)
analogies did you manage to	15-hour or R clock. The S members are 1 to 12, while R members are 1
analyse?	to 15
	Then, U12 and U15 have similarities because both members have GCF $= 1$, with 12 for U12 and with 15 for U15.
Are there any other analogies that	I think that's all
have not been analysed?	
Are you sure this is the correct answer?	Yes, I am sure. Hopefully, it is correct.
This problem is easy, isn't it?	Not really. I had to re-read many times to understand what the problem wanted. This question is a bit different from the usual questions.
After you did this problem, did you re-check your answers?	Yes, I did. To ensure whether there is anything wrong in the calculation or writing of the symbol.
Problem 2 is not difficult, is it?	It is the same as Problem 1. It needed concentration, and it was not enough to just read it once. I needed to re-read so that I didn't make a wrong step.
What are the steps to solve it?	I understand the data given in the problem.
-	I looked for what was asked in the problem, then explained the concept of a subgroup using four group axioms. Next, I tried out the concept of the five known subsets in the problem and concluded which subset is not a group.
After concluding which option is not a subgroup of Z6, did you re- check your answer?	Yes. I re-check, but I am a little doubtful. There are so many that are not subgroups.

Figure 4, Tables 4 and 5 show that S2 cannot immediately solve Problem 1. However, S2 tried to re-read the problem so that s/he could understand and re-try to solve Problem 1. Although s/he was not completely sure of the answer, S2 kept trying, as evidenced by some concepts that had not yet been clarified and S2's explanation not as detailed as S1. This indicates that S2 had not been able to translate all the information. However, S2 reflected at the end of the stage before moving on to another problem.

Furthermore, for Problem 2, S2 could not identify all the concepts requested by the problem, find various strategies for solving mathematical problems, not connect old experience with new knowledge well in solving math problems.

Subject 3 (S3) with Low Mathematical Resilience

S3's answers can be seen in Fig. 6 and 7, and the interview results are shown in Table 6.

Diketa	hui \$ 1,2,3,12 y	
	Berlaku 2×8=4,3×6=6.5×7=1	-
	U(w) · {(1),[s],[1],[1]]3	
	Menpaka ony 1	_
6	R . (1,2,3, 15 Y	_
0	U(15) = {x = R FPB (x,153=13	
	Ditanya : Klarifika; Kesenpaan yang dubebutkan peda pom a	
	dan selaskan prinsip, atran iaku konsep yang	
	berhuburgan dengan keseripaan nya	_
Penyel	esain	_
Diber	ikan hahma (26, tc) adalah 3 np davi lehima subset Ze dihawah	ini
A.	{o} d. [o11,4]	-
Β.	fuily e. 2011,213,4 15 3	_
ζ.	(0,37	

	FIGURE 6	. S3's answers	for Problem 1
--	----------	----------------	---------------

(a.) I dentifikasi tronsep, aturan, sifat-atau prinula yang dipalan	C. Jelylun alaran apa your dismalian dalam menen theas
while mentradilican kelima subset di ata, mentradi ser un gap (70, 11)	pillan & drates tel 0 2 4
20 (1) Berlak (jat lerby hp leanene untile setting a, 6 th	
Gis Signt asosiahs	4 4 8 2
(a+5)+c= (a+5)+c	(i) Bertale situt terturp lanen ach 60
(0+2)+4 = (0+2)+4)	(i) aibic menpalian elemen D = [0,2,4 }
6= 6 berlan signat associations	$\frac{(1+5)t C = (a+5)t C}{(0+2)t4 = (0+2)t4}$
(iii) Membrat elemen netral larena	0+6 = 2+4
$b_{4}a = a_{7}o = a_{7}$	6 = 6 rbertahu synt asostaht
0+1=1 to = 1	(iii) p memilili; elemen netral yaih 0, formene
(10) liter = 0 to = 5 likesaya 5 mala laverrya terpenuch."	0t a 2 ato 2 a 0 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2
	04 L = 240 = 2
Ihala (22,+6) menpalan Selvah subg up Karena memeruhi kegalaioma	(1V) ata-1 20
	2 meesny q karen untric setrap a-1 menpahan
(b) Alen & pilit subset up wenpalean Subgrip den (76,46) =0 P = {0,2,4}	elemen mala terpenuly
() that is find subset of the opplican is grop ach [16,46) at 1 > 20,214]	
	and the state of the

FIGURE 7. S3's answers for Problem 2

TABLE 6. The Interview Results of S3

Researcher (R)	Subject 2 (S2)
The answer to Problem	Yes, I had read it many times but still didn't understand. I tried to write
1 is interesting. Please,	something, but I was not confident. I finally gave up and moved on to
explain it to me.	another problem because I was worried that I would run out of time.
What about Problem	It was easier to understand than the previous problem, but I still had
2? Is it also hard?	doubts. There are many things to identify. There are subsets, subgroups, and not to mention groups.
After doing the	No, I surrendered. I had a headache.
problem, did you re-	
check your answer?	

Figure 6 and Table 6 reveal that S3 could not solve Problem 1. She felt the problem was difficult and did not understand what was being asked in the problem. S3 felt hopeless and was unsure that s/he could solve the problem, so s/he quitted trying and failed. However, S3 managed to identify the known data in the problem. S3 also made an

error in presenting the mathematical concept requested by Problem 2, did not match the concept to all the data given in the problem, and did not reflect before moving on to another problem. S3 could not discover various strategies for solving math problems nor connect previous experiences with new knowledge in solving math problems.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results and discussion in this study, the following are the conclusion of this study.

- a. Mathematics student teacher with a high level of mathematical resilience could meet all indicators of mathematical reflective thinking ability, explain the identification of known facts and data, identify the problem and explain strategies to conduct, link experience with new knowledge, think with full consideration, confidence and certainty when working problem-solving step by step. The student also did not forget to re-check the answer before moving on to other problems.
- b. The student with moderate mathematical resilience did not fulfill all indicators of mathematical reflective thinking ability. However, they could explain the identification of known facts or data, explain the identification of the problem. S/he could not translate all the available information but could explain the strategies conducted despite not being detailed. S/he continued to try to solve the problem and did not give up. S/he also reflected at the end of the stages before moving on to other problems.
- c. The student with low mathematical resilience could only fulfill some indicators of mathematical reflective thinking ability. S/he could explain the identification of facts despite incomplete. On the other hand, s/he could not explain the problem and the strategies used. S/he was careless in solving the problem, could not explain the conclusions correctly, and quitted when experiencing problems in solving problems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the Research Institution (Lemlit) of Uhamka for funding this research.

REFERENCES

- 1. T. Hidayat, "Trend Teknologi Revolusi Industri 4.0," 19 agustus, 2019.
- 2. M. A. Purba and A. Defriyando, "Pemanfaatan Teknologi Informasi dalam Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran di Era Revolusi Industri 4.0," in *SNISTEK 3*, (2020), pp. 96–101.
- 3. A. P. Natasuwarna, "Tantangan Menghadapi Era Revolusi 4.0-Big Data dan Data Mining," in *Seminar Nasional Hasil Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat 2019 SINDIMAS 2019 STMIK Pontianak*, vol. 29, (2019), pp. 23–27.
- 4. N. Sangaji, V. H. Wiyono, and T. Mulyaningsih, "Pengaruh Revolusi Industri 4.0 Pada Kewirausahaan Untuk Kemandirian Ekonomi," in *Semin. Nas. Call Pap. Semin. Bisnis Magister Manaj.*, (2019), pp. 226–232.
- 5. K. Osman et al., "Engineering and Mathematics Education Selection and/or peer-review under responsibility of Mohd," in *Procedia-Social Behav. Sci.*, vol. 102, (2013), pp. 188–194, doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.10.732.
- 6. P. Griffin and E. Care, *The ATC21S Method*, (Springer, Netherlands, 2015).
- 7. Pacific Policy Research Center, 21st Century Skills for Students and Teachers, (Kamehameha Schools, Research & Evaluation Division, Honolulu, 2010).
- F. King, L. Goodson, M. Faranak Rohani, R. Caladine, and L. Lee, "Higher Order Thinking Skills Definition

 Teaching Strategies Assessment A publication of the Educational Services Program, now known as the Center for Advancement of Learning and Assessment," in *Voices from Middle*, vol. 88, no. 18, (2003), pp. 495–496.
- 9. P. Kapranos, "21 St Century Teaching & Learning Kolb Cycle & Reflective Thinking as Part of Teaching, Creativity, Innovation, Enterprise and Ethics to Engineers.," in *Int. Symp. Eng. Educ.*, (2007).
- 10. Rodgers C., "Defining reflection: Another look at John Dewey and reflective thinking [Electronic version]," *Teach. Coll. Rec.*, vol. 104, no. 4, (2002), pp. 842.
- 11. A. Fuady, "Berfikir Reflektif Dalam Pembelajaran Matematika," in *JIPMat*, vol. 1, no. 2, (2017), pp. 104–112, doi: 10.26877/jipmat. v1i2.1236.

- J. Kooken, M. E. Welsh, D. B. McCoach, S. Johnston-Wilder, and C. Lee, "Development and Validation of the Mathematical Resilience Scale," in *Meas. Eval. Couns. Dev.*, vol. 49, no. 3, (2016), pp. 217–242, doi: 10.1177/0748175615596782.
- 13. C. Lee and S. Johnston-Wilder, The Construct of Mathematical Resilience, (Elsevier Inc., 2017).
- E. P. Cahyani, W. D. Wulandari, E. E. Rohaeti, and A. Y. Fitrianna, "Hubungan Antara Minat Belajar Dan Resiliensi Matematis Terhadap Kemampuan Pemahaman Matematis Siswa Kelas VIII SMP," in *J. Numer.*, vol. 5, no. 1, (2018), pp. 49–56.
- 15. A. Widayanti, N. I. Meifiani, and Mulyadi, "Hubungan antara resiliensi terhadap hasil belajar matematika siswa kelas x mipa ma negeri pacitan," (2020).
- 16. H. I. Kurnia, Y. Royani, H. Hendiana, and P. Nurfauziah, "Analisis Kemampuan Komunikasi Matematik Siswa Smp Di Tinjau Dari Resiliensi Matematik," in *J. Pembelajaran Mat. Inov.*, vol. 1, no. 5, (2018), pp. 933–940.
- 17. A. Kartono and P. D. Mariani, "Analysis of students' mathematical reflective thinking on problem based learning (PBL) based from learning styles," in *Unnes J. Math. Educ.*, vol. 8, no. 1, (2019), pp. 34–41, doi: 10.15294/ujme. v8i1.24239.
- R. P. Antonio, "Developing students' reflective thinking skills in a metacognitive and argument-driven learning environment," in *Int. J. Res. Educ. Sci.*, vol. 6, no. 3, (2020), pp. 467–483, doi: 10.46328/ijres. v1i1.1096.
- 19. N. Rahmi, C. M. Zubainur, and Marwan, "Students' mathematical reflective thinking ability through scaffolding strategies," in *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1460, no. 1, (2020), doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1460/1/012022.
- 20. I. Nuriadin, Y. S. Kusumah, J. Sabandar, and J. A. Dahlan, "Enhancing of Students' Mathematical Reflective Thinking Ability Through Knowledge Sharing Learning Strategy in Senior High School," in *Int. J. Educ. Res.*, vol. 3, no. 9, (2015), pp. 255–268.
- S. Hartati, R. A. Bilqis, and A. Rinaldi, "Mathematical problem-solving abilities and reflective thinking abilities: The impact of the influence of eliciting activities models," in *Al-Jabar J Pendidik. Mat.*, vol. 11, no. 1, (2020), pp. 167–178, doi: 10.24042/ajpm. v11i1.6709.
- 22. M. Yasin et al., "The effect of SSCS learning model on reflective thinking skills and problem solving ability," in *Eur. J. Educ. Res.*, vol. 9, no. 2, (2020), pp. 743–752, doi: 10.12973/eu-jer.9.2.743.
- 23. A. Muin, L. Novianti, and E. Musyrifah, "Analysis of Mathematical Reflective Thinking Skills Based on Learning Model and Mathematical Prior Knowledge," in *Adv. Soc. Sci. Educ. Humanit. Res.*, vol. 115, no. Icems, (2018), pp. 21–27, doi: 10.2991/icems-17.2018.5.
- 24. M. Muntazhimah, T. Turmudi, and S. Prabawanto, "The relation between prior knowledge and students' mathematics reflective thinking ability," in *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1731, no. 1, (2021), doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1731/1/012043.
- 25. J. Jaenudin, H. Nindiasari, and A. S. Pamungkas, "Analisis Kemampuan Berpikir Reflektif Matematis Siswa Ditinjau Dari Gaya Belajar," in *Prima J. Pendidik. Mat.*, vol. 1, no. 1, (2017), pp. 69, doi: 10.31000/prima. v1i1.256.
- Y. Yenni and R. Sukmawati, "Analisis Kemampuan Berpikir Reflektif Matematis Berdasarkan Minat Belajar Pada Mata Kuliah Struktur Aljabar," in *Teorema Teor. dan Ris. Mat.*, vol. 4, no. 2, (2019), pp. 75, doi: 10.25157/teorema. v4i2.2283.
- N. Hidayat, B. Usodo, and D. R. S. Saputro, "Reflective Thinking Ability of Junior High School Students of 2 Pleret Viewed from Self-Confidence," in *Int. J. Multicult. Multireligious Underst.*, vol. 7, no. 8, (2020), pp. 117, doi: 10.18415/ijmmu. v7i8.1818.
- 28. K. S. Asih, Isnarto, Sukestiyarno, and Wardono, "Resiliensi Matematis pada Pembelajaran Discovery Learning dalam Upaya Meningkatkan Komunikasi Matematika," in *Prism. Pros. Semin. Nas. Mat.*, vol. 2, (2019), pp. 862–868.
- 29. R. Rahmatiya and A. Miatun, "Analisis Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis Ditinjau Dari Resiliensi Matematis Siswa SMP," in *Teorema Teor. dan Ris. Mat.*, vol. 5, no. 2, (2020) pp. 187–202, doi: 10.31851/wahanadidaktika. v18i2.4387.
- I. Afriyanti, Mulyono, and T. S. N. Asih, "Mathematical Literacy Skills Reviewed from Mathematical Resilience", in *The Learning of Discovery Learning Assisted by Schoology*, (Unnes J. Math. Educ. Res. 2018), vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 71–78.
- 31. R. A. Sari and R. Untarti, "Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif Matematis dan Resiliensi Matematis," in *Mandalika Math. Educ. J.*, vol. 3, no. 1, (2021), pp. 30, doi: 10.29303/jm. v3i1.2577.

- I. Pratiwi, D. Yulianti, and A. Y. Fitrianna, "Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif Matematik Siswa Mts Ditinjau Dari Kemampuan Resiliensi Matematik Siswa," in *JPMI (Jurnal Pembelajaran Mat. Inov)*, vol. 1, no. 2, (2018), pp. 171, doi: 10.22460/jpmi.v1i2.p171-184.
- 33. E. E. Rohaeti and D. Koswara, "Mathematical critical thinking and resiliency: Experiment of grade-7 students using scientific approah," in *J. Ris. Pendidik. Mat.*, vol. 5, no. 2, (2018), pp. 223–232, doi: 10.21831/jrpm. v5i2.17322.
- 34. O. F. Haryanti, M. S. Zuhri, and L. Ariyanto, "Profil Berpikir Reflektif Siswa dalam Pemecahan Masalah Ditinjau dari Resiliensi Matematis Siswa," in *Imajiner J. Mat. dan Pendidik. Mat.*, vol. 3, no. 3, (2021), pp. 247–257.
- 35. L. Moleong, Metodologi penelitian kualitatif (edisi revisi), (PT. Remaja Rosda Karya, Bandung, 2017).