WRITING STRATEGIES USED BY SKILLED STUDENTS IN RECOUNT TEXT AT RUHAMA VOCATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL CIPUTAT

THESIS

Submitted to fulfill requirement for Thesis writing of the Master of Education Degree in English

By LASTARI AGUSTINA NIM 1609067004



DEPARTEMENT OF ENGLISH EDUCATION
GRADUATE SCHOOL
UNIVERSITY OF MUHAMMADIYAH PROF . DR. HAMKA
2018

ABSTRACT

Lastari A gustina. 2018. Writing Strategies Used by Skilled Students in Recount Text at Ruhama Vocational High School Ciputat. Thesis. The English Education Graduate School University of Muhammadiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA.

The o bjectives of this research are to find out: (1) S tudents' writing strategies through Think Aloud Protocols (TAPs), (2) The significant impacts of Students' writing strategies on their writing products.

This is qualitative r esearch which was conducted at S MK R UHAMA Ciputat in academic year 2017/2018. There were four participants in this research. The instruments are writing task, observation, Think A loud P rotocols (TAPs), retrospective and semi structure interviews.

Based on the research result, the researcher's findings were as follows: (1) the students applied planning, questioning, reading, writing, repeating, pausing, translating, look up the word, and editing of writing strategies in the process of their writing. (2) Students' writing strategies influence the quality of their writing products. Students followed the stages process of writing and used more strategies got good score than poor score categories.

Key words: writing strategies, writing product, Think Aloud Protocols (TAPs)

ABSTRAK

Lastari Agustina. 2018. Strategi-startegi Menulis yang digunakan Siswa yang pintar dalam text Recount di SMK Ruhama Ciputat. Thesis. Jurusan Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris. Sekolah Pascasarjana Universitas Muhammadiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA.

Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah untuk mencari: (1) Startegi-strategi menulis siswa dengan Think A loud Protocols (TAPs), (2) Pengaruh signifikan dari startegi-strategi menulis siswa terhadap hasil tulisan siswa.

Penelitian ini adalah kualitatif yang diadakan di SMK RUHAMA Ciputat di tahun akademik 2017/2018. Ada 4 orang peserta pada penelitian ini. Instrumen – instrument yang digunakan adalah tugas menulis, observasi, Think A loud Protocols (TAPs), retrospektip dan wawancara semi struktur.

Berdasarkan hasil penelitian, kesimpulan penulis adalah sebagai berikut: (1) siswa- siswa telah menggunakan strategi — strategi dalam menulis; perencanaan, pertanyaan, membaca, menulis, mengulangi, berhenti sejenak, menerjemah, melihat kata-kata and mengedit, (2) strategi-strategi menulis yang digunakan siswa-siswa berpengaruh dengan hasil tulisan mereka, siswa-siswa yang mengikuti step-step proses menulis and menggunakan strategi-strategi menulis mempunyai hasil lebih baik dibandingkan siswa dengan katagori nilai yang rendah.

Kata kunci: strategi menulis, hasil menulis, Think Aloud Protocols (TAPs).

THESIS COMMITTEE APPROVAL

WRITING STRATEGIES USED BY SKILLED STUDENTS IN RECOUNT TEXT AT RUHAMA VOCATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL CIPUTAT

THESIS

By

LASTARI AGUSTINA

1609067004

The Thesis Committee and Oral Defense Committee have approved this Thesis as partial fulfillment of requirement of the Master of Education Degree in English

August 27, 2018 Signature Thesis Committee Prof. Dr. H. Abd. Rahman A. Ghani, M.Pd (Chair) Hamzah Puadi Ilyas, Ph.D. (Secretary) Dr. Syaadiah Arifin, M. Pd (Thesis Advisor 1) Dr. Santi Chairani Djonhar, M.A. (Thesis Advisor 2) Hamzah Puadi Ilyas, Ph. D (Oral Defense Committee I) Herri Mulyono, Ph. D (Oral Defense Committee 1) Jakarta. .. Director of Graduate School University of Muhammadiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA

Prof. Dr. H. Abd. Rahman A. Ghani, M.Pd

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i	
ABSTRAK	ii	
DEDICATION	iii	
ACKNOLEDGEMENTS		
THESIS ADVISOR APPROVAL		
THESIS COMMITTEE APPROVAL	iv	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi	
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1	
A. Background of the Study	1	
B. Identification of the Problems		
C. Limitation of the Problem	6	
D. Statement of the Problem		
E. Objective of the Study	7	
F. Significant of the Study	7	
1. Theoretical	7	
2. Practical	7	
CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW	8	
A. Previous Studies	8	
B. Theoretical Framework	14	
1. Definition of Writing	14	
2. The Aspects of Writing	15	
3. Writing Process and Writing Strategy	17	
a. Writing process	17	
b. Writing Strategy	18	
4. Definition of Recount Text		
CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	24	
A. Setting	24	
1. Place of Research	24	

2	. Time of Research	25
В.	Research Design	25
C.	Participantss	27
D.	Technique of Collecting Data	28
E.	Techniques of Analyzing Data	35
CHAI	PTER IV: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS	41
A.	Findings	41
1.	. Writing processes and strategies use by students	41
2. w	the influence of writing strategies used by students for the quality of the product	
В.	Discussions	46
CHAI	PTER V: CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	51
Α.	Conclusions	51
В.	Suggestions	52
Biblio	graphy	54

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

This chapter consists of six parts. It firstly discusses the background of the problem. Secondly, the identification as well as the limitation of the problems are discussed in part two and three. The next part discusses the formulation of the problems and objectives of the research. Finally, the last part discusses the significance of the study.

A. Background of the Study

Most I ndonesian's tudents I earn how to write in Indonesian and English at school. The main goal of learning English as a foreign language is to learn its skills such as, reading, listening, and writing. Writing ability, both in native I anguage (L1) and I earned I anguage (L2), is considered the most difficult language ability (Harmer, 2007). In order to achieve quality writing, writers need to practice a lot. Moreover, writing is a long process as writers have to go through some stages in order to produce something in its final form (Harmer, 2007). Similarly, Hedge (2000) stated that writing is the result of using some strategies to control the writing process. Writing strategies involve some activities such as setting goals, generating information, writing a draft, reading/rereading, reviewing, then revising and editing it. Therefore, writing is a long and complex process. Despite its complexity, writing is a dynamic process; it is not one step process. Students plan, write, revise, read/

reread, rewrite and revise. They do these activities several times until they are satisfied with their writing.

Writing is one of English skills that teachers teach their students to feel enjoyable in exploring and developing their ideas, opinions, arguments, stories, a nd e xperiences. S tudents w rite be cause t hey w ant t o e xpress something about themselves; what they have experienced and how they feel. According to Education (2005) writing is a powerful instrument for students to us e and express their thoughts, feelings, and judgments about what they have read, seen, or experienced; students often have many ideas in their mind; however, they are not a ble to express their i deas in written form. N ation (2009) pointed out that it happened because they had delayed in expressing their thoughts or ideas in their written form through good language. It is one of reasons why students have difficulty in writing.

Indonesian students have problem not only in writing English, but also in writing Indonesian. T eaching writing in Indonesian and English is considered uns uccessful (Syamsi, 2000). The traditional way of teaching writing in Indonesian and English is the main problem. Students just practice writing without knowing how to write it properly. Teachers provides ome topics, and students choose one of them, and then students' works are corrected and rated by the teachers. This situation has been taking place for a long time since they were in the elementary school; consequently, students feel bored, demotivated, and consider writing as a burden (Arifin, 2016). When the students are in secondary schools, teachers only give the theories of

writing, such as the theory of narrative, recount, descriptive, argumentative, and ex planation t ext t ypes. H owever, t eachers rarely as k t heir s tudents to write those kinds of texts.

Other reasons are lack of practice and time constrain. In curriculum 2013 (Kurtilas) of SMK/SMA, there are four cores of competencies in (KI): (KI - 1) Spiritual, (KI - 2) Social, (KI - 3) Knowledge and (KI - 4) Skill. (KI - 3)- 3) analyzes social function, text structure and grammatical for stating and asking about argumentation and thinking based on the context. Then, students are expected to explore the idea of interpersonal and transactional texts. They can be formally or informally, in genres of r ecount, na rrative, pr ocedure, descriptive, ne ws i tem, r eport, a nalytical e xposition, hor tatory exposition, spoof, explanation, discussion, and review in daily life context. For the senior high s chool (SMA/SMK), The Graduate Competency Standards (SKL) for writing students are able to understand, a pply and a nalyze functional, conceptual, procedural and metacognitive knowledge in technology, art, and culture. They are also expected to apply procedural knowledge in specific concept for s olving t he pr oblems. Therefore, t he s tudents of s enior h igh school (SMA/SMK) a re r equired to le arn e ach w riting s kill in many text forms, so they can explore their ideas of the text.

However, in reality, SMA/SMK students only learn English three times a week. Each meeting consists of 90 minutes. Writing needs a lot of time while they also have to learn other skills such as reading, speaking, and listening. To make it worse, teachers focus on teaching reading because

English is one of the subjects tested in National Exam (UN). In fact the time of E nglish writing is 1 imited in the school. Finally, students cannot write effectively and efficiently. This problem is also supported by a study conducted by (Khuder, B & Harwood, and N 2015). They stated that time can also affect the writing process as it can motivate writers to engage in more extensive planning.

In this r esearch, researcher did the r esearch in R uhama S chool. Rumaha is one of Islamic schools in Ciputat. Based on the students' portfolio and s ome in formation from the E nglish te achers there, there are many students who got good scores in learning English. Based on the researcher's observation in R uhama C iputat, the main problems in developing their English is time constrain and the condition in the classroom. The teacher has not had enough time to give sufficient explanation and practice to the students due to time limitation. Harmer (2001) argues that the process of writing in classroom is not a ppropriate and s imply because the time of learning is limited. However, teacher wants students to write quickly or compose the story or argumentation briefly.

Another obs tacle is students cannot choose the text type when expressing their ideas. Teachers have to follow the syllabus when teaching any subject. As a result, students' creativity be comes obstructed. The next difficulty is students ignore the process of writing. They only focus on the product (output) and lack attention to each stage of the writing process. They cannot be creative when writing. Nunan (2013) suggests that when students

imagine, organize, edit, read, and reread, they follow the process of writing. What the readers see and read an essay, letter, and story or research report is the product of writing. The last difficulty is in terms of selection of the right words. The main reason of this problem is students read less, so they do not have s ufficient v ocabulary r eferences and good g rammar. S urely, t his problem can be solved by increasing the frequency of reading a book. K ane (2000) points out that grammar rules are not the pronouncements of teachers, editors, or other authorities, but when people speak and write is different from when they write; the rules of grammar are become different.

In a ddition, to observe the process and product of writing, Think Aloud P rotocols (TAPs) procedures a re c ommonly us ed by r esearchers. According to S ugirin (2002) when the writers verbalized everything from their mind in to paper during writing process, they us ed think a loud procedures. All draft and s craps of paper are collected as a recording of writing process. An audio tape is the tool to record the subject through the process and writing movement. Finally, the researcher analyzes it.

So far, there has been little discussion a bout "writing strategies used by high school students in Indonesia during the process of their writing, particularly at Ruhama vocational high school Ciputat".

B. Identification of the Problems

Based on the background presented above, the researcher identifies some main problems regarding writing:

- 1. Some students ignore the process of writing and lack using writing strategies when they write as they only focus on the product.
- 2. Some students are not able to choose appropriate words and to develop their ideas when writing.
- 3. Some students read less; as a result, they have insufficient vocabulary references and knowledge of grammar.

C. Limitation of the Problem

Regarding t o t he pr oblems i dentified a bove, t his research w as limited to some problems: First, the participants of this current study were only 4 student writers chosen from one vocational high school that might not represent the whole population. Therefore, the findings of the present study may not be generalized to a larger EFL population.

The second limitation was related to the genres of writing produced by the participants. The present's tudy only focused on recount text. Therefore, the strategies used by the writers when writing recount texts might be different from those used by the writers when writing other genres such as, descriptive, argumentative or narrative writing.

D. Statement of the Problem

Based on the background and problem identified above, the researcher poses two research questions:

1. What w riting s trategies do t he s tudents us e i n t he pr ocess of t heir writing?

2. Do writing strategies used by the students influence the quality of their writing product?

E. Objective of the Study

Based on t he que stions formulated a bove, the objectives of this study are as follows:

- 1. To in vestigate the s tudents' w riting s trategies th rough Think A loud Protocols (TAPs).
- 2. To a nalyze whether writing s trategies us ed by s tudents g ive s ignificant impacts on their writing products.

F. Significant of the Study

1. Theoretical

The findings of the research are expected to be beneficial support the existing theory on process, product of writing and difficulties face by senior high school students.

2. Practical

The r esearch f indings ar e ex pected to give m ore i nformation and suggestion to English teacher. Moreover, it can also be used as a reflection in i nvestigating process and product of writing of students.

Bibliography

- Arifin, S. (2016). *L1 and L2 Writing Strategies of EFL Graduate Students A Case Study*. Unpublished Ph. D Thesis, University of Atmajaya.
- Beare, S. (2000). Different in Content Generating and Planning Process of Adult L1 and L2 Proficient Researchers. Unpublished PhD Thesis, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario.
- Bloor & Wood. (2006). Keywords in Qualitative. Sage Publication: London.
- Boardman, C, A. 2008. Writing to communicate Paragraph and Essay Third Edition, New York: Person Longman.
- Boardman, C, A. 2008. Writing to Communicative 1: Paragraphs, New York: Pearson Education, Icn.
- Creswell, J hon, W. 2012. Educational Research, Planning, Conducting and Evaluating Quantitative & Qualitative Research 4th Ed. University of Nebraska: Lincoln Pearson.
- Emilia, E. (2010). Teaching Writing Developing Critical Learners. Bandung:
- Education, O. (2005). *Instruction in Writing Kindergarten to Grade 3*. Francis: Ontario Ministry of Education.
- Epting L. K, et al. (2013). Read and think before you write: Prewriting time and level of print exposure as factors in writing. *Journal of Writing Research*, 4 (3),239-259.
- Haghi, E. B. (2012). Process-Product Approach to Writing: the Effect of Model Essays on E FL Learners' Writing A ccuracy. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics & English Literature*, 2 (1), 2200-3592
- Harmer, J. (2004). How to Teach Writing. Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.
- Hill, S. (2006). *Developing Early Literacy Assessment and Teaching*. Chicago: Eleanor curtain publishing.
- Hinkel, E li. (2004). *Teaching Academic ESL Writing Practical Technique in Vocabulary and Grammar*. Mahwah, N J: L awrence E ribaum A ssociates Inc. Publishers.
- Hogue, A. O. (2006). Writing Academic English. New York: Pearson Education Inc.

- Hsiao, T. Y. (2002). C omparing t heories of 1 anguage 1 earning s trategies: A confirmatory factor analysis. *The Modern Language Journal*, 368-383.
- Harmer, J. (2001). *The Practice of English Language Teaching*. Essex, England: Longman.
- Kane, T. S. (2000). *The Oxford Essential Guide to Writing*. New York: Berkley Book.
- Langan, J. (2010). *Exploring Writing Sentence and Paragra*. New York: Published by McGraw-Hill.
- Lee, A. (2012). a case study of Taiwanese vocational high school students English writing strategies and writing difficulties. Thesis. Ming Chuan University, Taiwanese.
- Ling, C. Y. (2013). Exploring First-Year Undergraduates' Difficulties in Writing the D iscussion S ection of a R esearch P aper: A S ingapore S tudy. *The English Teacher Vol. XLII* (2).
- Laksmi (2006) Scaffolding Students Writing in Efl Class: Implementing Process Approach. *Thesis. Universitas Negeri Malang, Malang.*
- Manchon, R. M. (2011). Learning-to-Write and Writing-to-Learn in an Additional Language. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Martha Rapp Ruddell, T. C. (2005). *Teaching Content Reading and Writing*. New Jersey: Willey Jossey-Bass Education.
- Nation. (2009). Teaching ESL/EFL Reading and Writing. UK: Routledge Taylor and Francis.
- Nunan, D. (2013). Practice English Language Teaching, First Edition. New York: Publish by McGraw-Hill.
- Nguyen. (2009). EFL learners in Vietnam: An Investigation of Writing Strategies. *Thesis.* Vietnam.
- Richard, J. C. (1997). *Dictionary of Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*. *Seventh Impression*. Harlow: Longman.
- Maftoon & Seyyedrezaei, P. M. (2012). Good Language Learner: A Case Study of Writing S trategies. *ACADEMY PUBLISHER Manufactured in Finland*, 1597-1602.
- Shapira, A, & Lazarowitz, R. H. (2005). Opening Windows on A rab and Jewish Children's Strategies as Researchers. *Language Culture and Curriculum*, 18 (1), 72-80.
- Stake (2010). Qualitative research: studying how things work. The Guilford Pres: New York.

- Sturm, A. (2016). Observing writing processes of struggling adult researchers with collaborative writing.
- Setiyadi, Bambang. (2006). *Metode Penelitian untuk Pengajara*n Bahasa *Asing*. Graha Ilmu: Yogyakarta.
- Sekolah P ascasarjana UHAMKA. (2013). *Pedoman Penulisan Tesis and Disertasi*. Uhamka Press: Jakarta Selatan.
- Sugirin. (2002). Think A loud P rotocols (TAPs) Analysis, A P ath to Process Studies: W hat, Why and H ow. The 50th TEFLIN International Conference, 1.
- Sugiono. 2011. Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, dan R&D, Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Syamsi, K. (2000). *Peningkatan Keteramilan Siswa Sekolah Dasar dalam Membaca (Penelitian Timdakan)*. Laporan pendiduikan Universitas Neferi Yokyakarta. R etrieved A ugust 2 015 f rom ht tp//prosiding.unesa.ac.id/download/konaspi-unesa-v/139.pdf.
- Tompkins, G. E. (1990). *Teaching Writing: Balancing Process and Product.* New York: Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data.
- Trang & Hoa (2008). Student w riting pr ocess, pe rceptions, pr oblems, and strategies in writing academic essays in a second language: A case study. *VNU Journal of Science, Foreign Languages* 24, 184-197.